

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_102445
Title of the Manuscript:	AVAILABILITY OF GROUNDWATER FOR RICE CULTIVATION UNDER OLDEMAN CLIMATE CLASSIFICATION IN TUBAN REGENCY, EAST JAVA PERIOD 2018-2022.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manuscript is interesting but its common research. The author should be revised. 2. Maybe. it better to change the title: EVALUATION OF GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY FOR RICE DURING PERIOD 2018-2012 IN TUBAN REGENCY, EAST JAVA 3. Need improve 4. Not appropriate for the original research, for example dont write the framework research in the original research, then also the analyses of rainfall pattern also not yet analyzed. 5. Not yet 6. Not yet sufficient (only 10), not recently research in term last 10 years and too old references. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The English quality is low 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is interesting but should be major revision to publish in the journal.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bayu Dwi Apri Nugroho
Department, University & Country	Indonesia