

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_102783
Title of the Manuscript:	Parental Involvement And Academic Performance Of Grade 12 Students
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes. The parental involvement and performance of the learners had been the focus of research especially during the height of the pandemic based on the premise that while the school is closed due to global health crisis brought about by COVID-19, teaching and learning should continue. Yes. Do not capitalize the words – AND, OF.</p> <p>Yes, however, the research design is quite superficial. It could have been better if there is a triangulation to support the quantitative data gathered through qualitative methodology. The correlation table yielded no significant results so the correlation coefficient should not be interpreted anymore. Remove the remarks 'slight correlation'.</p> <p>Use much recent literature. There were a lot of words capitalized that should not be. Follow APA V7. Use</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>It is highly recommended to have the paper undergo grammar checking and stylistics and editing. There were several typo errors also in the reference section.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Revisit the entire manuscript. Ask third party to do the proof reading to minimize punctuations errors on et al., capitalization issues, grammar, etc.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dennis G. Caballes</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The National Teachers College, Philippines</b>