

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_102783
Title of the Manuscript:	Parental Involvement And Academic Performance Of Grade 12 Students
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is very important for the scientific community since it deals with a theme that is in force and presents a social and educational problem.</p> <p>The title is very adequate and responds perfectly to the content of the manuscript. The summary also clearly and perfectly details the subsequent reading. The structure of the manuscript is correctly planned, with its sections, sections and subsections, which help the reader and facilitate its understanding.</p> <p>The manuscript perfectly adapts to scientific rigor, in addition to its structure, with its procedure and methodology, as well as the tools used for data collection and subsequent analysis. Presenting the results correctly.</p> <p>The author is only recommended to expand the conclusions, since it is considered, based on the results, that it is a very important contribution and therefore, the conclusions should be reflected in greater detail.</p> <p>Regarding the references used, they are appropriate and up-to-date with respect to the theme and timing of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Mercedes Vernia Carrasco
Department, University & Country	Jaume I University, Spain