

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_102446
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Cognitive Degree of Pre-service Junior High School Mathematics Teachers for Geometric Intuition Literacy
Type of the Article	The research is experimental in nature

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>An exposition on the Cognitive Degree of Pre-service Junior High School Mathematics Teachers for Geometric Intuition Literacy</p> <p>There is need to include sampling technique use</p> <p>The subsections are appropriate only that the numbering make it look like a dissertation and not journal paper</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the language used is very alright and understandable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>On a general note, the use of research questions and hypotheses at the same is welcome, but a situation where research questions are not referred to in the discussion of the result then it is not necessary to include the research questions. Secondly, the results of the study should be linked to other research done before either corroborating on the findings or opposing it. It would make the paper more robust</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bamidele, A. D
Department, University & Country	Oyo State College of Education, Nigeria