

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_103375
Title of the Manuscript:	SUBSTANCE ABUSE AMONG RESIDENTS OF GWAGWALADA ABUJA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This research work is of great relevance, as it explores a serious problema, present in Gwagwalada Abuja, Nigeria, such as drug use and abuse.</p> <p>The title is appropriate.</p> <p>The abstract is apropiate, all relevant aspect are included.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is appropriate, its subsections too. In the text is recommended move part of the results to the conclusion section.</p> <p>The manuscript is scinetificaly correct. Is clearly and pertinently based, at a theoretical and methodological level.</p> <p>The references are sufficent and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language is appropriate.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The article exposes resulats of great relevance as it explores the use and abvuse of substances wich are not taken inti account in previous studies en the region.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rebelín Echeverría Echeverría
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, México