

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_101724
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATION AND ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE OF STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS ISOLATED FROM NOSOCOMIAL SOURCES
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1-Yes. It's relevant to the public health of a country.</p> <p>2- No. may be: RESISTANCE TO ANTIBIOTICS OF STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS ISOLATED FROM NOSOCOMIAL SOURCES</p> <p>3- The summary needs to be redone and remove the name of the hospital and leave it only as a reference hospital in Nigeria.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5-No</p> <p>6- No. I left in the text.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. It's necessary.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Follow the text in word, in the e-mail and review the key words.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kátia Ferreira dos Santos</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Instituto de Infectologista Emilio Ribas, Brazil</b>