

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_101844
Title of the Manuscript:	Paedagogos (Pedagogue) and Demiurgos (Creator). A Study on Ambiguity, Polysemy and Reversal . Pedagogy and Creation in Ancient Greek Culture, Education and Drama.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Oke, to know the etymology of the words.</p> <p>Oke.</p> <p>Oke, but briefly add the results of the study.</p> <p>Oke, but also add the discussion of Demiurgos.</p> <p>Oke, but highlight the changes in meaning by using the semiotic tools</p> <p>No entries in the references are in the recent 5 years (2019-2023)</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Oke.	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Mention also previous relevant/related studies The article only focuses on Paedagogos (Pedagogue). Little is discussed about Demiurgos (Creator)!? Highlight the changes in meaning by using the semiotic tools (ambiguity, polysemy, reversal). It would be helpful for readers if there is a table summarizing what have been discussed on the basis of the purpose of the article. It would be better if the article also discusses the implication on today's education. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ismail Petrus
Department, University & Country	Sriwijaya University, Indonesia