

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_103280
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC ANALYSIS ON PRODUCTION AND MARKETING OF TURMERIC IN MAMIT DISTRICT OF MIZORAM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Author has tried to workout the economic analysis of Turmeric. Author has stated in their introduction that "India is the largest producer, consumer, and exporter of turmeric in the World". Need to provide a data and report for the same.</p> <p>Yes, Title is technically well defined.</p> <p>Abstract must be one paragraph. Also, does not provide information about number of samples taken in empirical study.</p> <p>Author has chosen 90 samples, but does not provide exact no. in each category: small, semi-medium, medium. Further, need to provide the methodology of empirical research. Further, in entire manuscript nothing is mentioned like material and methods; results. There is lack of discussion part in manuscript. Author must write a 400-500 words discussion part in it. Need to compare the status of turmeric with available data with State as well as National level.</p> <p>The reference is very less. It has only 5 references and all are very old, need to add latest at least 10-15 more references in discussion part.</p> <p>Overall manuscript needs a major serious revision. It seems written without profound care.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need to improve a language quality in conclusion.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Major serious revision</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Viralkumar B. Mandaliya
Department, University & Country	Marwadi University, India