

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_98358
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk Factors of Malaria Infection among School-Aged Children in Jalingo and Sardauna Local Government Area, Taraba State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Malaria surveillance and interventions in endemic countries often target young children at highest risk of malaria morbidity and mortality. But unfortunately the Ms failed to uncovered that ,</p> <p>Actually no , I suggest to amended it (as fixed on reviewed – version attached)</p> <p>No, some missed items to be added or corrected (attached comments)</p> <p>No</p> <p>Not much</p> <p>Need to be updated , only two of the 2022 others are so old and need to update them all .</p> <p>Research road map need to be clarified :</p> <p>Need to be clarified more as to mention the</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Parametors used 2. Season chosed 3. Guid line followed 4. Predictors of parasitemia and access to malaria interventions were not identified 5. Intervention outcomes modeled were: net use among all individuals, treatment seeking among individuals with history of fever within two weeks, and source of treatment (government versus informal shop) among those with a history of seeking treatment also need to be identified well. 6. To adjust for community-level malaria prevalence, what did the researcher (s) used as a guideline?? 7. can't find any sort of District and season were forced into all models, and best subset regression was used to select final models based on p-value <0.05 or substantial change in the coefficients of variables included in models??? 8. What are the Potential interactions were evaluated for each model?? 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Fair</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Scope of the research need to be mentioned</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nohad AIOmari
Department, University & Country	Al Noor University College, Iraq