

## Association and path coefficient analysis in F<sub>2</sub> population of tomato cross Anagha x IIHR 2896

### Abstract

The present experiment was conducted at College of Agriculture, Vellayani to evaluate F<sub>2</sub> segregating population of tomato cross involving Anagha x IIHR 2896 for correlation and path analysis. Correlation analysis revealed that plant height, number of primary branches, plant spread, number of days to 50% flowering, number of days to first fruit harvest, number of fruits per plant, fruit weight, fruit volume and number of locules were highly significant and positively correlated with fruit yield per plant. Path analysis revealed that number of fruits per plant, fruit weight and fruit volume had high positive direct effect on fruit yield per plant followed by plant height and plant spread. However, number of primary branches, number of days to 50% flowering, number of days to first fruit harvest and number of locules had negative direct effect on fruit yield per plant. Hence, the characters showing positive correlation and direct effect should be given more emphasis in selection programme for development of superior varieties.

Keywords: Correlation, Path analysis, Anagha, IIHR 2896

### Introduction

Vegetables play an important role in nutritional security, and economic viability and fit well into the predominant intensive cropping systems prevailing in different parts of our country. More than 60 kinds of vegetables are grown in India in tropical, subtropical, and temperate agro-climates. Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. 2n=2x=24) belongs to the large and diverse family Solanaceae, which includes more than 3000 species, occupying a wide variety of habitats (Knapp, 2002). It is a very important vegetable crops grown throughout the world. In fact, it is the fifth most important cultivated crop after rice, wheat, maize, and potato. The fruits are consumed either raw or cooked or processed into various products like juice, ketchup, sauce, paste, puree, etc. The popularity of tomato is on the rise among consumers, not only because of its good taste but also because it contains high levels of vitamin A, vitamin C, potassium, phosphorus, magnesium, and calcium. It also contains lycopene and carotene, which are antioxidants that promote good health. The high demand for tomatoes makes it a high value crop that can generate much income for farmers.

Yield is an important character associated with many other characters with varying degrees of influence. It is crucial to understand how various characteristics contribute to yield

**Comment [P1]:** Association and path coefficient analysis among F<sub>2</sub> segregating population of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.)

**Comment [P2]:** Please include the background statement by using one sentence

**Comment [P3]:** What is the rational of this study?  
After background statement include the problem statement also by using one sentence

**Comment [P4]:** at the

**Comment [P5]:** Vellayani,

**Comment [P6]:** evaluate the

**Comment [P7]:** of a

**Comment [P8]:** volume,

**Comment [P9]:** that the

**Comment [P10]:** had a

**Comment [P11]:** plant,

**Comment [P12]:** the number

**Comment [P13]:** the number

**Comment [P14]:** the number

**Comment [P15]:** the number

**Comment [P16]:** had a

**Comment [P17]:** in the

**Comment [P18]:** for the

**Comment [P19]:** Do not use words in the title as keywords instead use other words that you need to give emphasis

**Comment [P20]:** Your introduction lacks the problem statement that means the gap of the study. There is no single sentence showing the gap to be bridged in your study. So, please include the rationale/problem statement showing tomatoes varieties problem existing previously specially in regard to yield.

**Comment [P21]:** Source is needed that means citation, from where you obtain this idea?

**Comment [P22]:** Here also citation is important

**Comment [P23]:** Delete it

**Comment [P24]:** and occupies

**Comment [P25]:** crop

**Comment [P26]:** Source of information should be added

so that superior lines can be selected with higher yield and quality. Analysing correlations and path coefficients can provide insight into genetic variability within populations. Correlation coefficient analysis measures the mutual relationship between various plant characters and determines the component characters on which selection can be based for yield improvement. Path analysis splits the correlation coefficients into direct and indirect effects of a set of dependent variables on the independent variable. This aids in the selection of the elite genotypes. In self-pollinated crops such as tomatoes, yield, and quality are typically enhanced through the selection of genotypes with desirable character combinations present in nature or through hybridization process. For any selection program to be effective, it is essential to have information regarding the interrelationships between various characters. Considering the above facts, the present study was conducted to understand the association among the various traits in the F<sub>2</sub> tomato segregating population.

**Comment [P27]:** Delete it

**Comment [P28]:** through the

**Comment [P29]:** Please include the citation for the information you have used

### Materials and methods

**Comment [P30]:** Include the following:-

1. Design
2. Replication
3. Plot size
4. Intra and inter row spacing
5. Fertilizer types and rate you have used
6. Altitude, longitude and latitude of the study area
7. Planting materials should be included either in the appendix or supplementary section if the journal has supplementary section part

The experiment was conducted at the College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala from January 2023 to May 2023. The experimental materials consist of 222 F<sub>2</sub> segregating lines, derived from the cross Anagha x IIHR 2896 along with parents, and F<sub>1</sub> were evaluated for yield and its attributing traits. Each plant is labelled for recording ten quantitative characters which include plant height (cm), number of primary branches, plant spread (cm), number of days to 50% flowering, number of days to first fruit harvest, number of fruits per plant, fruit weight, fruit volume, number of locules and fruit yield per plant. Phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients were assessed as per the procedure suggested by Singh and Choudhary (1985). The direct and indirect effects of the yield contributing factors were estimated through path analysis (Wright, 1921; Dewey and Lu, 1959). The data were analyzed by using R 4.2.2 Software and GenStat.

**Comment [P31]:** Kerala,

**Comment [P32]:** 2896,

**Comment [P33]:** characters,

**Comment [P34]:** Here you mentioned how to analyze phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients but in your result and discussion part I did not see the genotypic correlation coefficient result, Why?

**Comment [P35]:** delete it

### Result and Discussions

**Comment [P36]:** During discussing your result indicate the implication of the agreement or disagreement with other researchers work. What does it mean when it correlated positively or negatively with that trait/s? So, write your outcome and then its implication immediately after respective traits.

#### Correlation Analysis

**Comment [P37]:** Please bring the result table 1 and Fig 1 in the middle of correlation analysis text may be after the first paragraph

In this investigation, correlation coefficients were worked out on all possible combinations of yield and yield attributing traits in 222 F<sub>2</sub> segregants of cross Anagha x IIHR 2896 and presented in Table 1. Correlation results indicated that fruit yield per plant expressed significantly positive correlation with all the characters under the study *i.e.*, plant height (0.69), number of primary branches (0.40), plant spread (0.75), number of days to 50% flowering (0.34), number of days to first fruit harvest (0.32), number of fruits per plant

**Comment [P38]:** of the

**Comment [P39]:** expressed a

(0.21), fruit weight (0.94), fruit volume (0.93) and number of locules (0.16). The results were in accordance with Lekshmi and Celine (2020); Kumar *et al.* (2021) and Nevani *et al.* (2022) for the characters such as plant height, number of primary branches, number of fruits per plant, and fruit weight. However, Rahman *et al.* (2015) reported a negative correlation for fruit weight.

**Comment [P40]:** with

Plant height had positive significant correlation with all the characters except number of fruits per plant (0.022) and number of locules (0.049). Similar results were also obtained by Mayavel *et al.* (2005); Akhtar and Najnine (2022). Fruit weight along with fruit volume showed positive significant correlation with plant height (0.67, 0.69), number of primary branches (0.38, 0.39), plant spread (0.70, 0.72), number of days to 50% flowering (0.33, 0.33), number of days to first fruit harvest (0.30, 0.32) and number of locules (0.17, 0.19), respectively. Similar findings were reported by Mayavel (2005), Ravindra *et al.* (2015) and Lakshmi *et al.* (2017) for fruit yield per plant and plant height.

**Comment [P41]:** had a positive and

**Comment [P42]:** except the

**Comment [P43]:** and the

**Comment [P44]:** showed a positive and

**Comment [P45]:** (

Number of primary branches exhibited a positive correlation with all characters. Number of fruits per plant showed a negative correlation with fruit weight (-0.11), fruit volume (-0.0960) and number of locules (-0.018). However, it showed positive association with plant height (0.022), number of primary branches (0.057), plant spread (0.10), number of days to 50% flowering (0.038) and number of days to first fruit harvest (0.04). Number of locules showed a negative correlation with number of days to 50% flowering (-0.04), number of days to first fruit harvest (-0.005) and number of fruits per plant (-0.018), whereas it showed positive correlation with remaining characters i.e., plant height (0.049), number of primary branches (0.12), plant spread (0.14), fruit weight (0.17) and fruit yield (0.19). The above results are in line with the finding of Madhurina and Paul (2012), Maurya *et al.* (2011), Ara *et al.* (2009), Kumar *et al.* (2014) and Singh (2007). The correlation plot of all the characters is depicted in Fig 1.

**Comment [P46]:** The number

**Comment [P47]:** The number

**Comment [P48]:** )

**Comment [P49]:** showed a

**Comment [P50]:** showed a

Correlation studies indicated that plant height, number of primary branches, plant spread, fruit volume, and fruit weight deserve greater weightage during selection for fruit yield in tomato. With increased fruit weight, fruit volume, plant spread, number of branches per plant and plant height, fruit yield per plant increases because of the presence of a greater number of branches and increase individual fruit mass. Therefore, selection for these traits would be rewarding as it shows a direct association with fruit yield per plant.

**Comment [P51]:** increased

**Comment [P52]:** they show

**Comment [P53]:** Similar to correlation analysis, write the agreement or disagreement with other scholars immediately after your outcome and then discuss it very well the implication of direct and indirect effects. What does direct effect or /and indirect effect implies during selection?

## Path Analysis

The association between yield and its component traits may be positive or negative; but it is the net result of the direct effect of that particular trait and indirect effects via other traits. Hence, it is necessary to determine the path coefficients which partition the observed correlation into direct and indirect effects and also to reveal the cause and effect relationship between yield and its related traits were computed and the results are presented in Table 2.

Comment [P54]: that

Comment [P55]: delete it

Comment [P56]: traits.

Comment [P57]: The path coefficients were computed,

The path analysis results revealed that a high positive direct effect was shown by fruit weight (0.7307), fruit volume (0.2077), and number of fruits per plant (0.3053) followed by plant height (0.0261) and plant spread (0.041) on fruit yield per plant. However, number of primary branches (-0.0114), number of days to 50% flowering (-0.0068), number of days to first fruit harvest (-0.0023), and number of locules (-0.0005) showed a negative direct effect on fruit yield per plant. The high positive indirect effect was shown by fruit weight through plant height (0.4902), number of primary branches (0.2746), plant spread (0.5142), number of days to 50% flowering (0.238), number of days to first fruit harvest (0.2209), fruit volume (0.714) and number of locules (0.1251) on fruit yield per plant. Fruit volume also expressed a positive indirect effect on fruit yield per plant through plant height (0.1425), number of primary branches (0.0811), plant spread (0.1499), number of days to 50% flowering (0.069), number of days to first fruit harvest (0.0661), fruit weight (0.203) and number of locules (0.0386). These findings are in accordance with Reddy *et al.* (2013) for plant height and number of fruits per plant, Asati *et al.* (2008) for plant height, number of primary branches, number of days to 50% flowering and fruit weight, Nevani *et al.* (2022) for number of fruits per plant and fruit weight, Joshi *et al.* (2004), Ghosh *et al.* (2010) and Monamodi *et al.* (2013) for fruit weight. The residual effect (0.12) obtained suggests that the characters selected are the major contributing characters for the fruit yield of tomato; also, small amounts of contribution were from the characters which are not considered in the study.

Comment [P58]: that were

## Conclusion

The outcome of the results indicated that all the characters studied shows positive correlation with fruit yield per plant. In path analysis high positive direct effect was exhibited by fruit weight, fruit volume and number of fruits per plant. High indirect effect was shown by fruit weight and fruit volume through all other characters except number of fruits per plant. Therefore, improvement in the yield can be brought by selecting the characters such as fruit weight, fruit volume and fruit yield per plant.

Comment [P59]: show a

Comment [P60]: analysis, a

Comment [P61]: volume, and the

Comment [P62]: A high

Comment [P63]: except the

Comment [P64]: brought about

## Reference

Akhter, S. and Najnine, F. Variability of Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) Genotypes for Higher Yield and Yield Contributing Traits. *North Am. Aca. Res.*,2022; 5(2).

Ara, A., R. Narayan, N. Ahmed and Khan, S. H. Genetic variability and selection parameters for yield and quality attributes in tomato. *Indian J. Hortic.* 2009;66(1):73-78.

Asati, B.S., Rai, N. and Singh, A.K. Genetic parameters study for yield and quality traits in tomato. *The Asian J. Hortic.*, 2008;3(2): 222-225.

Dewey D, R and Lu K, H. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed production. *Agron. J.* 1959;51: 515- 518.

Ghosh, K. P., Islam, A. K. M. A., Mian, M A K and Hossain, M. M. Variability and character association in F2 segregating population of different commercial hybrids of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manag.*, 2010;14 (2): 91 - 95.

Joshi, A., Vikram, A and Thakur, M.C. Studies on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis of yield and physicochemical traits in tomato. *Progressive Horticulture.* 2004; 36:51-58.

Kumar, R., Ram, C.N., Yadav, G.C., Deo, C., Vimal, S.C. and Bhartiya, H.D. Studies on correlation and path coefficient analysis in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *Plant Arch.*, 2014;14(1):443-447.

Kumar, R., Ram, R., Kumar, P., Bhargava, A.K. and Rawat, R. Correlation coefficient and path analysis studies in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *Ann. plant soil res.*, 2021;23(2):175-180.

Lakshmi, E., Gasti, D. V. and Mulge, R.Character interrelationship of yield and yield components in F2 generation of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App.Sci.*, 2017;11: 2351-2359.

Lekshmi, S, L and V.A. Celine. Correlation and Path analysis of tomato crop (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) under protected conditions. *J. Res. ANGRAU*, 2020;48(4):53-58.

Madhurina, M. and A. Paul. Studies on genetic variability and character association of fruit quality parameters in tomato. *Hort. Flora Res. Spectrum*, 2012;1(2): 110-116.

**Comment [P65]:** •In general there are two types of referencing style worldwide mostly (1) Harvard style and (2)APA (American Psychological Association). Which type have you used? For both the styles is

- Author or authors. ...
- Year of publication of the article (in round brackets) in APA and without brackets in Harvard style
- Article title.
- Journal title (in italics).
- Volume of journal (in italics).
- Issue number of journal in round brackets (no italics).
- Page range of article.
- DOI or URL.

But yours are out of both style, is it your local referencing style? If not correct it accordingly

**Comment [P66]:** This is incomplete, where is the page number of the journal?

Maurya, V., A. K. Singh, V. K. Rai and R. Mishra. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Ramanand Mishra Environment and Ecology, 2011;29(3): 1076-1081.

Mayavel, A., Balakrishnamurthy G. and Natarajan, S. Variability and heritability studies in tomato hybrids. *South Indian Hortic*, 2005;53(1-6): 262-266.

Monamodi, E. L., Lungu, D. M and Fite, G. L. Analysis of fruit yield and its components in determinate tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) using correlation and path coefficient. *J. Agri. Apl Sci*. 2013;9 (1): 11-19.

Rahman, Md. S., Parveen, S., Rashid, Md. H., Akter, R., Hossin, A. Y. and Robbani. Md. G. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of tomato germplasms. *Int. j. appl. sci. biotechnol.*, 2015;3(2): 223 – 226.

Ravindra Kumar, S. K., Singh, K., Srivastava and Singh, R. K., Genetic variability and character association for yield and quality traits in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). *Agriways.*, 2015;3(1): 31-36.

Reddy, B.R., Reddy, M.P., Reddy, D.S. and Begum, H. Correlation and path analysis studies for yield and quality traits in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *J. Agric. Vet. Sci*, 2013;4(4):56-59.

Sangamesh Nevani, O. Sridevi, Lokesh Kumar Verma, Akshaya M., Shreyas A. and B.D. Biradar. Study of Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path coefficient Analysis in F2 Segregating Population of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *Bio. For. Int. J*, 2022;14(1): 1477-1482.

Singh R, K. and Chaudhury. B, D. Biometrical methods of quantitative genetic analysis. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, 1985;12(2): 151-156.

Singh, A. K. Correlation and path coefficient studies in tomato under cold arid conditions of Ladakh. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, 2007;36(¾): 346-347.

Wright, S. Correlation and causation. *J. Agric. Res.* 1921;20: 557- 585.

Table 1: Phenotypic correlation coefficient for different pairs of characters in F2 population of tomato

	PH	NPB	PS	NDFF	NDFFH	NFPP	FW	FV	NL	FY
PH	1									
NPB	0.52**	1								
PS	0.83**	0.57**	1							
NDFF	0.53**	0.28**	0.42**	1						
NDFFH	0.46**	0.29**	0.39**	0.77**	1					
NFPP	0.022	0.057	0.10	0.038	0.04	1				
FW	0.67**	0.38**	0.70**	0.33**	0.30**	-0.11	1			
FV	0.69**	0.39**	0.72**	0.33**	0.32**	-0.096	0.98**	1		
NL	0.049	0.12	0.14*	-0.04	-0.005	-0.018	0.17*	0.19*	1	
FY	0.69**	0.40**	0.75**	0.34**	0.32**	0.21**	0.94**	0.93**	0.16*	1

\*, \*\* Significant at 5% and 1% levels, respectively

PH – Plant height (cm)    NPB- Number of primary branches    PS- Plant spread (cm)    NDFF- Number of days to 50% flowering  
 NDFFH- Number of days to first fruit harvest    NFPP- Number of fruits per plant    FW- Fruit weight (g)    FV- Fruit Volume (ml)  
 NL – Number of locules    FY – Fruit yield per plant

**Comment [P67]:** be consistent in using digits throughout the manuscript , use two decimal place for all

Table 2: Path coefficient for different pairs of characters in F2 population of tomato

	PH	NPB	PS	NDF	NDFH	NFPP	FW	FV	NL
PH	<b>0.0261</b>	0.0135	0.021	0.0138	0.012	0.0006	0.0175	0.0179	0.0013
NPB	-0.0059	<b>-0.0114</b>	-0.0064	-0.0031	-0.0033	-0.0006	-0.0043	-0.0044	-0.0013
PS	0.0340	0.0232	<b>0.041</b>	0.0171	0.016	0.0041	0.0288	0.0296	0.0057
NDF	-0.0036	-0.0019	-0.0028	<b>-0.0068</b>	-0.0052	-0.0003	-0.0022	-0.0023	0.0003
NDFH	-0.0011	-0.0007	-0.0009	-0.0018	<b>-0.0023</b>	-0.0001	-0.0007	-0.0007	0.0000
NFPP	0.0068	0.0174	0.0308	0.0117	0.0123	<b>0.3053</b>	-0.0329	-0.0292	-0.0054
FW	0.4902	0.2746	0.5142	0.238	0.2209	-0.0788	<b>0.7307</b>	0.714	0.1251
FV	0.1425	0.0811	0.1499	0.069	0.0661	-0.0199	0.203	<b>0.2077</b>	0.0386
NL	0.0000	-0.0001	-0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0001	-0.0001	<b>-0.0005</b>
Phenotypic correlation with fruit yield per plant	0.6891	0.3958	0.7473	0.3378	0.3164	0.2103	0.9398	0.9325	0.1637

**Comment [P68]:** Delete this row since you have these values in Table 1 above

Fig 1: Correlation diagram showing the correlation analysis between traits in segregating F2 population of tomato




Fig 2: Path diagram showing the direct and indirect effects on fruit yield per plant in segregating F<sub>2</sub> population of tomato

**Comment [P69]:** Indicate Fig 2 in the result part under path analysis

