

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102965
Title of the Manuscript:	Distribution study of the different forms of soil acidity components and available nutrients in Upper Brahmaputra Valley Zone (UBVZ) of Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Partly.</p> <p>2. Title of article generally correspond with the manuscript content.</p> <p>3. Comprehensiveness of abstract is at an acceptable level.</p> <p>4. Structure of manuscript is appropriate generally.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is generally scientifically correct. The article generally meets the criteria for original research paper. I recommend adding (editing) the part Material and Methods (see comments of reviewer in manuscript). The discussion is "very brief". It would be appropriate to evaluate individual "categories" of soil samples - for example, "separately" soils with a higher sand content (light soils) and especially soils with a higher clay content (heavy soils); "separately" soils with higher and soils with lower organic carbon content and similarly with different nitrogen content, etc.</p> <p>6. In terms of number of key references, the article meets the criteria for original research paper. Not all References are cited (mentioned) in article and not all authors/sources cited in article are mentioned in References! All references must be cited/mentioned in article and all authors/sources cited in article must be listed in References! Check and unification of reference format is needed (see instructions for authors https://journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/about/submissions#authorGuidelines).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of article is generally suitable for scholarly communications. (see comments of reviewer in manuscript).</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the case of scientific articles, it is recommended to cite newer sources and, if it is possible, use newer methods of analysis.</p> <p>Suitable statistical processing of data is very important. I recommend calculation of standard deviation (Table 1 and table 2).</p> <p>I recommend adding (editing) the part Material and Methods (see comments of reviewer in manuscript). The discussion is "very brief". It would be appropriate to evaluate individual "categories" of soil samples - for example, "separately" soils with a higher sand content (light soils) and especially soils with a higher clay content (heavy soils); "separately" soils with higher and soils with lower organic carbon content and similarly with different nitrogen content, etc. Not all References are cited (mentioned) in article and not all authors/sources cited in article are mentioned in References! All references must be cited/mentioned in article and all authors/sources cited in article must be listed in References! This problem must be solved! Check and unification of reference format is needed (see instructions for authors https://journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/about/submissions#authorGuidelines).</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eduard Pintér
Department, University & Country	Institute of Horticulture, Slovak University of Agriculture, Slovak