

Original Research Article

Induction of bacterial leaf blight (*Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*) resistance in rice by potential endophytic bacterial consortium

ABSTRACT

The world's most important food crop and the most important staple food for the expanding Indian population is rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). In many regions of the world, the disease has resulted in epidemic causing crop losses up to 50 per-cent. Biocontrol has emerged as a useful tool in utilizing hostile microorganisms for a sustainable and friendly form of agriculture to protect crops from pathogens. Endophytes are microorganisms that reside inside the host plant and are known to be able to fight plant infections without creating any disease signs or negative effects. Since BLB of rice poses a significant damage to rice farming and chemical control strategies are not viable, alternate fertilizers are being used to combat this problem by maintaining soil fertility and by increasing productivity. Isolated 52 bacterial endophytes from rice based on antagonistic activities against *Xoo*, based on biochemical characterization, plant growth promoting activities, nutrient solubilization and molecular characterization and compatibility four potential isolates EBP26, EBP39, EBP47 and EBP52 identified as *B. subtilis*, *Pseudomonas fluorescence*, *Pseudomonas* sp. and *Pantoea dispersa* developed as bacterial consortium. Application of microbial consortium under glass house and induction of defence enzymes (PAL, PO and PPO) and PR proteins (chitinase and glucanase) were recorded highest in T₇ (Seed treatment with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/kg + seedling dip with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/l at 15DAS + foliar application with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 250g/ha at 30DAT) and challenge inoculated with BLB pathogen.

Key words: Chitinase, Consortium, Endophytic bacteria, Peroxidase, Phenylammonia lyase and Polyphenol oxidase

Introduction

For the ever-growing Indian population, rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most essential staple food, and it is the leading food crop in the world (Molina *et al.*, 2011). It contains a greater proportion of starch (72.8g/100g) than all other cereals (Rai and Mauria, 1999). India is the country with the largest area that grows rice (45.7 M ha) followed by China and Indonesia (INDIASTAT., 2020-2021). they are susceptible to many pests and diseases like blast, bacterial blight, sheath blight, brown spot, tungro virus and others. Among which bacterial leaf blight of rice (BLB) is the most economically important. Despite the fact that BLB affects rice all over the world, it is most economically important in Asia

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [AO1]: Suggestion: For the ever-growing Indian population, rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the essential staple food, and it is the leading food crop in the world (Molina *et al.*, 2011). It contains more starch (72.8g/100g) than all other cereals (Rai and Mauria, 1999). India is the country with the largest area that grows rice (45.7 M ha) followed by China and Indonesia (INDIASTAT., 2020-2021). they are susceptible to many pests and diseases like blast, bacterial blight, sheath blight, brown spot, tungro virus and. Among these bacterial leaf blight of rice (BLB) is the most economically important.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [AO2]: Suggestion: India has the most significant area that grows rice (45.7 M ha) followed by China and Indonesia (INDIASTAT., 2020-2021). they are susceptible to many pests and diseases like blast, bacterial blight, sheath blight, brown spot, tungro virus and. Among these bacterial leaf blight of rice (BLB) is the most economically important.

and some parts of Western Africa, where rice is grown in irrigated and low-land habitats (Das *et al.*, 2014). In many regions of the world, the disease has resulted in epidemic causing crop losses up to 50 per cent (Gnanamanickam *et al.*, 1999).

The severity and scope of BLB damage have led to the development of disease management techniques for rice. The majority of high-yielding varieties introduced for cultivation have been found to be susceptible to the BLB to variable degrees and efforts are being made to develop resistant types. Further, exploring antibiotics against *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* could be a viable technique for disease suppression through biological management. Endophytes are microorganisms that reside inside the host plant and are known to be able to fight plant infections without creating any disease signs or negative effects (Mousa *et al.*, 2015). Endophytic microorganisms improve the acquisition of plant nutrients from the environment, such as nitrogen, iron, and phosphorus, through various processes like biological nitrogen fixation (Suhandono *et al.*, 2016), phosphate solubilization (Kandel *et al.*, 2017) and siderophore production (Alkahtani *et al.*, 2020). Endophytes take nutrients from plants made available in the form of photosynthates (Guo *et al.*, 2017) in exchange. Additionally, they support the production of phytohormones such as auxins, gibberellins, and cytokinins (Aslam *et al.*, 2018). By creating antifungal and antibacterial substances (Ullah *et al.*, 2019) as well as 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC), which reduces the ethylene level of the plant caused by biotic or abiotic stress (Degrassi & Carpentieri-Pipolo, 2020), they help shield the plant against pathogenic diseases. Induced systemic resistance (ISR), which offers a better level of protection to a variety of phytopathogens (Alkahtani *et al.*, 2020), has also been observed to be activated by endophytic bacteria. As a result, it was considered necessary to explore tissue-specific bacterial endophytes that may be used as possible endophytic biocontrol agents for the management of BLB.

Material and methods

The pathogen was isolated from infected rice leaves. Plant samples were taken from different treatments at various intervals, including before inoculation and every 24 hours up to one week following *Xoo* challenge inoculation. Plant samples were crushed in a pre-chilled mortar and pestle homogenized with liquid nitrogen. The activity of defence-related enzymes phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), peroxidase (PO), polyphenol oxidase (PPO), and PR proteins like Chitinase, and β -1,3 glucanase was estimated using a spectrophotometer (Jockusch, 1966); (Hammerschmidt *et al.*, 1982) Ross and Sederoff's (1992) with slight modifications.

Surface sterilization of rice samples

Rice plant leaves, stem and root samples were rinsed in flowing tap water before being sliced into one-inch pieces. One gram of each sample was placed in a tube containing 0.1 per-cent Tween 20. (Sevilla and Kennedy, 2000). To eliminate bacteria clinging to the surface of leaves, stem and roots, the tubes were sonicated for 3 to 5 minutes. After that, the leaves, stem, and roots were dipped in 70% ethanol for 3 minutes before being rinsed with sterile distilled water. The leaves, stem, and roots were then immersed in 1 percent sodium hypochlorite for 2 minutes before being rinsed 5 to 6 times with sterile distilled water. To assess the success of surface sterilization, an aliquot of 0.1 ml from the final rinse was plated on Nutrient agar (Hameed *et al.*, 2015). Using a sterile mortar and pestle, surface sterilised rice root tissues were

aseptically crushed in one ml of sterilized water. Root homogenates were used for serial dilutions and plating on various medium (Piromyou *et al.*, 2015).

Root homogenates were serially diluted (10-fold) were spread over four different media, including Nutrient agar (NA; Hi media), Tryptic soy agar (TSA; Hi media), Pseudomonas isolation agar (PIA; Hi media) and Yeast extract mannitol agar (YEMA; Hi media). After two days of incubation at 30°C, bacterial isolates were obtained. After purification, isolates were grown on NA plates and used for further studies.

Isolation of the pathogen

The pathogen was isolated from infected rice leaves collected from different farmer's fields. The samples that showed characteristic leaf blight and exuded bacterial ooze from the cut portion were used for isolation. The bits were placed on a microscopic slide and cut into smaller pieces using a sterile blade. The bacterial suspension was made by dropping sterile distilled water onto the chopped pieces on the slide. With the aid of a sterilized inoculation loop, this suspension was streaked on a modified Wakimoto's agar medium (Wakimoto, 1960). The inoculated plates were incubated for 48 hrs at room temperature (27 ± 2°C). On the MWA plate, Typical pin head sized, mucoid, convex colonies of *Xoo* were observed. The pure culture, thus obtained was preserved in the refrigerator at 4°C for further investigations.

Collection of samples

Fresh leaves from each treatment were taken at random before and after pathogen inoculation for one week. The activities of Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), Polyphenol oxidase (PPO), Peroxidase, Chitinase, and β-1,3 Glucanase were estimated in rice samples.

Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) assay

The activity of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) was estimated using (Worthington, 1988); Whetten and Sederoff's technique (1992). Extracting 0.5 g of fresh leaves in 4 ml of 0.2 M borate buffer yielded an enzyme extract (pH 8.7). 1 ml enzyme extract, 2 ml 0.2 M borate buffer (pH 8.7), and 0.2 ml 0.1 M L-phenylalanine were added and incubated for 30 minutes at 32 ± 2°C. After that, 0.5 ml of 1 M trichloroacetic acid was added to halt the reaction. After incubation, the absorbance at 290 nm of the whole reaction mixture was measured in a spectrophotometer to estimate the amount of cinnamic acid generated (Zucker *et al.*, 1965). The cinnamic acid standard curve was created by measuring the absorbance of produced standard quantities of cinnamic acid at 290 nm. **(Insert Standard Graph of cinnamic acid)**

Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) assay

The activity of polyphenol oxidase (PPO) was measured using (Mayer *et al.*, 1965; Shanti *et al.*, 2014). Fresh leaf samples (0.5 g) were homogenized in 4 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), then centrifuged for 15 mins at 4°C for 10000 rpm. For the assay 500 µl of the supernatant was added to 2 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), and 500 µl of 0.01 M catechol was added for starting the reaction. The changes in the absorbance were recorded at 30-second intervals for 2 minutes at 495 nm. PPO activity was expressed as a change in absorbance of the reaction mixture min⁻¹ g⁻¹ of fresh weight.

Peroxidase assay

The reaction mixture for the peroxidase assay contained 100 µl of the enzyme extract, 2 ml of 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), and 100 µl of 0.4 mM guaiacol. 30 µl of 2 mM H₂O₂ were added to this mixture, and the subsequent change in absorbance at 436 nm was measured for two minutes at intervals of 30 seconds.

PR proteins

Chitinase assay

For the chitinase assay (Reissig *et al.*, 1959) 300 µl of crude enzyme extract, an equal volume of substrate (300 µl colloidal chitin) was added and incubated at 37°C for 60 mins. The reaction was terminated by centrifugation @ 5000 rpm for 5 mins. The supernatant 500 µl was collected. To this 0.1ml of borate buffer was added and incubated in boiling water for 3 mins. After cooling to room temperature 1 ml of dimethyl amino benzaldehyde (DMAB) was added. The mixture was incubated at 37±2°C for 20 mins, and absorbance were measured at 585 nm. One enzyme unit was expressed as the amount of enzyme that released one ml of N-acetyl glucosamine (NAG) from colloidal chitin in 1 hr, under the assay conditions. (Insert Standard graph of laminarin)

β 1,3- glucanase assay

In order to measure the amount of β-1,3-glucanase activity, 62.5 µl of enzyme extract, 4% w/v laminarin, and 200 µl of sodium acetate buffer, pH 5.2 were combined and incubated for 10 min at 40°C. With glucose as a standard, the amount of reducing groups released from laminarin was measured using the dinitro salicylic acid reagent (Pan et al., 1991). At 540 nm, the absorbance of the reaction mixture was assessed. (Insert Standard graph of Glucose)

Results and Discussion

Isolated 52 endophytic bacterial isolates, based on antagonistic activity against *Xoo*, biochemical characterization, plant growth promoting traits, nutrient solubilization, molecular characterization and compatibility test, four isolates EBP26, EBP39, EBP47, and EBP52 (*B. subtilis*, *Pseudomonas fluorescence*, *Pseudomonas* sp. and *Pantoea dispersa*) were used and developed potential endophytic bacterial consortium, and screened in glass house condition under pathogen pressure.

PR Proteins

Chitinase assay

Chitinase activity was significantly higher in treatments (T₁-T₈) before and after pathogen inoculation compared to pathogen inoculated control (T₉) and uninoculated control (T₁₀). The highest chitinase was recorded on 6th day in treatment T₇ (Seed treatment with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/kg + Seedling dip with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/l at 15DAS + Foliar application with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 250g/ha at 30DAT) and challenge inoculated with BLB pathogen having enzyme activity of 59.037 µmoles/min/gm followed by T₆, T₅, and T₈ with 52.415 µmoles/min/gm, 49.104 µmoles/min/gm and 42.481 µmoles/min/gm and lowest was recorded in T₉ (pathogen inoculated) and T₁₀ (uninoculated) with 22.614 µmoles/min/gm and 32.548 µmoles/min/gm. Peak activity of PPO was up to 6th day and declined on 7th day onwards. On 7th day highest enzyme activity was recorded in T₇ with 56.830 µmoles/min/gm and the lowest was recorded in T₉ (pathogen inoculated) and T₁₀ (uninoculated) with 20.407 µmoles/min/gm and 28.685 µmoles/min/gm. All treatments are significantly different with from each other. (Insert Table 1 and fig 1).

β-1,3 glucanase assay

β-1,3 glucanase activity was significantly higher in treatments (T₁-T₈) before and after pathogen inoculation compared to pathogen inoculated (T₉) and uninoculated control (T₁₀). Highest β-1,3 glucanase was recorded on 6th day in treatment T₇ (Seed treatment with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/kg + Seedling dip with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/l at 15DAS + Foliar application with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 250g/ha at 30DAT) and challenge inoculated with BLB pathogen having enzyme activity of 441.47 µmoles/min/gm which was on par with T₆ (Seedling dip with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/l at 15DAS + Foliar application with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 250g/ha at 30DAT) and challenge inoculated with BLB pathogen having enzyme activity of 393.56 µmoles/min/gm and lowest was recorded in T₉ (pathogen inoculated) and T₁₀ (uninoculated) with 182.72 µmoles/min/gm and 245.01 µmoles/min/gm. Peak enzyme activity of β-1,3 glucanase was up to 6th day and declined on 7th day onwards. On 7th day highest enzyme activity was recorded in T₇ with 403.14 µmoles/min/gm and the lowest was recorded in T₉ (pathogen inoculated) and T₁₀ (uninoculated) with 158.76 µmoles/min/gm and 201.88 µmoles/min/gm. All treatments are significantly different from with each other (Insert Table 2 and fig 2).

Induced systemic resistance.

The experiment was conducted under greenhouse conditions. Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Peroxidase (PO) were analysed in fresh leaf samples collected before and after BLB inoculation randomly for 7 days from each of the treatments. Treatments involved was different methods of application of potential endophytic bacterial consortium (T₁-T₇) along with chemical control (T₈), pathogen inoculated (T₉) and uninoculated control (T₁₀).

It is well known that the proper stimuli or signals are required to trigger defence genes and that is why the accumulation of plant defence-related enzymes (PO, PPO, and PAL) in plant tissues is are associated to the plant defence response and induced resistance by endophytic bacteria (Liang *et al.*, 2011), (Saikia *et al.*, 2016).

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL)

Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) activity was significantly higher in treatments (T₁-T₈) before and after BLB pathogen inoculation compared to pathogen inoculated (T₉) and uninoculated control (T₁₀). The highest PAL was recorded on 6th day in T₇ (Seed treatment with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/kg + Seedling dip with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/l at 15DAS + Foliar application with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 250g/ha at 30DAT) and challenge inoculated with BLB pathogen having enzyme activity of 10.647 μ moles/min/gm which was on par with T₆ (Seedling dip with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/l at 15DAS + Foliar application with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 250g/ha at 30DAT) and challenge inoculated with BLB pathogen having enzyme activity of 9.348 μ moles/min/gm and lowest was recorded in T₉ (BLB inoculated pot) and T₁₀ (Uninoculated pot) with 4.2 μ moles/min/gm and 5.24 μ moles/min/gm. Peak activity was declined on 7th day onwards. Among the ten treatments, highest PAL was recorded in T₇ with 9.418 μ moles/min/gm and lowest was recorded in T₉ (pathogen inoculated) and T₁₀ (uninoculated) with 3.898 μ moles/min/gm and 5.035 μ moles/min/gm. All treatments that are significantly different from each other were presented in the Table 3 and fig 3.

In response to pathogen infection, PAL the first enzyme of phenylpropanoid metabolism is crucial in controlling phenol production in plants (Lawton & Lamb 1987). In order to create the precursors for lignins, furanocoumarin phytoalexins and flavonoid pigments PAL converts phenylalanine to trans-cinnamic acid (Hahlbrock & Scheel 1989).

Peroxidase

Peroxidase activity was significantly higher in treatments (T₁-T₈) before and after pathogen inoculation compared to inoculated (T₉) and uninoculated control (T₁₀). The highest peroxidase was recorded on 5th day in T₇ (Seed treatment with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/kg + Seedling dip with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/l at 15DAS + Foliar application with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 250g/ha at 30DAT) and challenge inoculated with BLB pathogen having enzyme activity of 1.133 mmoles/min/gm which was on par with T₆ (Seedling dip with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/l at 15DAS + Foliar application with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 250g/ha at 30DAT) and challenge inoculated with BLB pathogen having enzyme activity of 0.952 mmoles/min/gm and lowest was recorded in T₉ (pathogen inoculated) and T₁₀ (uninoculated) with 0.273 mmoles/min/gm and 0.3 mmoles/min/gm. Peak activity of peroxidase was up to 5th day and declined on 6th day onwards. On 7th day highest enzyme activity was recorded in T₇ with 0.694 mmoles/min/gm and the lowest was recorded in T₉ (BLB inoculated) and T₁₀ (uninoculated) with 0.247 mmoles/min/gm and 0.250 mmoles/min/gm. All treatments are significantly different from each other were presented in the Table 4 and fig 4.

For plants to defend themselves against pathogen infections, peroxidases are required. It is necessary for the final polymerization of phenolic derivatives into lignin, the enzyme peroxidase is also involved in suberization, or the healing of wounds (Ward *et al.* 1991). When rice leaves were inoculated with microbial consortia and challenge inoculated with *Xoo*, there was an almost 100% increase in peroxidase activity as compared to control leaves. The increased peroxidase activity in rice leaves may have contributed to the formation of lignin.

Comment [AO3]: from the 7th day onwards. Among the ten treatments, the highest PAL was recorded in T7 with 9.418 μ moles/min/gm, and the lowest

Polyphenol oxidase

Polyphenol oxidase activity was significantly higher in treatments (T₁-T₈) before and after pathogen inoculation compared to inoculated (T₉) and uninoculated control (T₁₀). Highest PPO was recorded on 6th day in T₇ (Seed treatment with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/kg + Seedling dip with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/l at 15DAS + Foliar application with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 250g/ha at 30DAT) and challenge inoculated with BLB pathogen having enzyme activity of 10.305 nmoles/min/gm followed by T₆, T₅, T₈, T₃, T₄, T₂ and T₁ with 9.317 nmoles/min/gm, 8.047 nmoles/min/gm, 7.764 nmoles/min/gm, 7.623 nmoles/min/gm, 6.917 nmoles/min/gm, 6.211 nmoles/min/gm and 6.070 nmoles/min/gm. Lowest was recorded in T₉ (pathogen inoculated) and T₁₀ (uninoculated) with 4.517 nmoles/min/gm and 5.505 nmoles/min/gm. Peak activity of PPO was up to 6th day and declined on 7th day onwards. On 7th day highest enzyme activity was recorded in T₇ with 10.023 nmoles/min/gm and the lowest was recorded in T₉ (pathogen inoculated) and T₁₀ (uninoculated) with 4.235 nmoles/min/gm and 5.223 nmoles/min/gm. All treatments are significantly different with from each other were presented in the Table 5 and fig 5.

In comparison to untreated plants in the control, Nagendran *et al.* (2013) found increased PO and PPO activity in the combined treatment of seed treatment at 4g/kg + seedling dip at 4g/l + soil application at 500g/ha + foliar spray at 500g/ha with *B. Subtilis* (FZB 24). The increased activity of PO and PPO was observed only up to the third day of *Xoo* inoculation in untreated control plants, and thereafter there was a dramatic decline. Our results showed a similar tendency. Similar to this, plants inoculated with *Pseudomonas* sp. Rh323 and P-solubilizing bacteria showed enhanced activity of defense-related enzymes such as Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, Polyphenol oxidase, and Peroxidase in response to *Xoo*. (Yasmin *et al.*, 2016).

Results showed that, plants inoculated with efficient antagonistic endophytic bacterial consortium after challenge inoculation with the *Xoo* pathogen produced more defensive enzymes such PO, PPO, and PAL. The rice plants treated with endophytic bacteria have elevated defense-related enzyme activity, which either directly or indirectly inhibit pathogen growth.

Conclusion

Plants with systemic acquired resistance (SAR) have an accumulation of PR-proteins and defense enzymes. The findings of this study showed that increased chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase activity and accumulation in response to microbial consortia inoculation under pathogen pressure have boosted resistance to bacterial leaf blight disease in rice. These hydrolytic enzymes are thought to contribute resistance to bacterial leaf blight.

References

- Alkahtani, M. D. F., Fouda, A., Attia, K. A., Al-Otaibi, F., Eid, A. M., El-Din Ewais, E., Hijri, M., St-Arnaud, M., El-Din Hassan, S., Khan, N., Hafez, Y. M and Abdelaal, K. A. A. 2020. Isolation and characterization of plant growth promoting endophytic bacteria from desert plants and their application as bioinoculants for sustainable agriculture. *Agronomy*.10: 1-18.
- Aslam, A., Ahmad Zahir, Z., Asghar, H. N., and Shahid, M. 2018. Effect of carbonic anhydrase-containing endophytic bacteria on growth and physiological attributes of wheat under water-deficit conditions. *Plant Production Science*. 21(3): 244-255.
- Das, B., Sengupta, S., Prasad, M and Ghose, T.K. 2014. Genetic diversity of the conserved motifs of six bacterial leaf blight resistance genes in a set of rice landraces. *BMC Genetics*. 15: 82.
- Degrassi, G and Carpentieri-Pipolo, V. 2020. Bacterial Endophytes Associated to Crops: Novel Practices for Sustainable Agriculture. *Advances in Biochemistry and Biotechnology*. 5: 1-12.
- Gnanamanickam, S.S., Brindha Priyadarisini, V., Narayanan, N.N., Preeti Vasudevan and Kavitha, S.1999. An overview of bacterial blight disease of rice and strategies for its management. *Current Science*. 77(11): 1435 -1443.
- Guo, H., Glaeser, S. P., Alabid, I., Imani, J., Haghghi, H., Kämpfer, P and Kogel, K. H. 2017. The abundance of endofungal bacterium *Rhizobium radiobacter* (syn. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*) increases in its fungal host *Piriformospora indica* during the tripartite sebacinal symbiosis with higher plants. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 8: 1-13.
- Hahlbrock, K and Scheel, D. 1989. Physiology and molecular biology of phenylpropanoid metabolism. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology*. 40:347 – 369.
- Hameed, A., Yeh, M.W., Hsieh, Y.T., Chung, W.C., Lo, C.T and Young, L.S. 2015. Diversity and functional characterization of bacterial endophytes dwelling in various rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) tissues, and their seed-borne dissemination into rhizosphere under gnotobiotic P-stress. *Plant and soil*. 394 (1-2): 177-197.
- Hammerschmidt, R., Nuckles, E.M and Kuc, J. 1982. Association of enhanced peroxidase activity with induced systemic resistance of cucumber to *Colletotrichum lagenarium*. *Physiol Plant Pathology*. 20: 73–82.
- INDIASTAT., 2020 - 2021. <http://www.indiastat.com/agriculture/2/stats.aspx>.
- Jockusch, H. 1966. The role of host genes, temperature and polyphenol oxidase in the necrotization of TMV infected tobacco tissue. *Journal of Phytopathology*. 55: 185–192.

- Kandel, S.L., Herschberger, N., Kim, S.H. and Doty, S.L. 2017. Diazotrophic endophytes of poplar and willow for growth promotion of rice plants in nitrogen-limited conditions. *Crop Science*. 55 (4): 1765-1772.
- Lawton, M.A and Lamb, C.J. 1987. Transcriptional activation of plant defense genes by fungal elicitor, wounding and infection. *Molecular Cell Biology*. 7:335 – 341.
- Liang, J., Tao, X.R., Hao, Z.N., Wang, L.P and Zhang, X. 2011. Induction of resistance in cucumber against seedling damping-off by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) *Bacillus megaterium* strain L8. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 10: 6920–6927.
- Mayer, A.M., Harel, E and Shaul, R.B. 1966. Assay of catechol oxidase—a critical comparison of methods. *Phytochemistry*. 5(4): 783-789.
- Molina, J., Sikora, M., Garud, N., Flowers, J.M., Rubinstein, S and Reynolds, A. 2011. Molecular evidence for a single evolutionary origin of domesticated rice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. U S A. 108: 8351–8356.
- Mousa, W.K., Shearer, C.R., Rios, V., Zhou, T and Raizada, M.N. 2015. Bacterial endophytes from wild maize suppress *Fusarium graminearum* in modern maize and inhibit mycotoxin accumulation. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 6: 805.
- Nagendran, K., Karthikeyan, G., Peeran, M.R., Prabakar, K and Raguchander, T. 2013. Management of bacterial leaf blight disease in rice with endophytic bacteria. *World Applied Sciences Journal*. 28(12): 2229- 2241.
- Pan, S.Q., Ye, X.S and Kud, J. 1991. Relationship of β -1,3-glucanase and total soluble carbohydrate to the immunization of tobacco against blue mould caused by *Peronospora tabacina* . *Phytopathology* 79: 121-125.
- Piromyong, P., Greetatorn, T., Teamtisong, K., Okubo, T., Shinoda, R., Nuntakij, A., Tittabutr, P., Boonkerd, N., Minamisawa, K and Teaumroong, N. 2015. Preferential association of endophytic bradyrhizobia with different rice cultivars and its implications for rice endophyte evolution. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 81 (9): 3049-3061.
- Rai, M and Mauria, S. 1999. Coarse cereals, not for poor alone. *The Hindu Survey of Indian Agriculture*. 55-59.
- Reissig, J.L., Strominger, J.L and Leloir, L.F. 1959. A modified colorimetric method for the estimation of N-acetylamino sugars. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 217:959-966.
- Ross, W.W and Sederoff, R.R. 1992. Phenylalanine ammonia lyase from loblolly pine: Purification of the enzyme and isolation of complementary DNA clones. *Plant Physiology*. 98: 380-386.
- Saikia, R., Singh, B.P., Kumar, R and Arora, D. 2016. Detection of pathogenesis-related proteins– chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase in induced chickpea. *Current Science*. 89(4): 659–663.

- Sevilla, M and Kennedy, C. 2000. Genetic Analysis of nitrogen fixation and plant-growth stimulating properties of *Acetobacter diazotrophicus*, an endophyte of sugarcane. *Horizon Scientific Press*, Wymondham, UK. pp: 737-760.
- Shanti, R., Anannya, M., Parijatham, K., Mala, R and Sandra, S.H. 2014. Extraction, purification and characterization of polyphenoloxidase from peel and pulp of tomato. *International Journal of Current Research and Review*. 2: 74–82.
- Suhandono, S., Kusumawardhani, M. K., and Aditiawati, P. 2016. Isolation and Molecular Identification of Endophytic Bacteria From Rambutan Fruits (*Nephelium lappaceum* L.) Cultivar Binjai. *Hayati Journal of Biosciences*. 23(1): 39-44.
- Ullah, A., Nisar, M., Ali, H., Hazrat, A., Hayat, K., Keerio, A. A., Ihsan, M., Laiq, M., Ullah, S., Fahad, S., Khan, A., Khan, A. H., Akbar, A and Yang, X. 2019. Drought tolerance improvement in plants: An endophytic bacterial approach. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 103(18): 7385-7397.
- Wakimoto S. 1960. Classification of strains of *Xanthomonas oryzae* on the basis of their susceptibility against bacteriophages. *Annual Review of Phytopathological Society of Japan*. 26:193-198.
- Waksman, S. A. 1952. *Soil microbiology*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., Chapman and Hall Ltd., New York, London.
- Ward, E.R., Uknes, S.J., Williams, S.C., Dincher, S.S., Wiederhold, D.L., Alexander, D.C., Ahl-Goy, P., Me´traux, J.P and Ryals, J.A. 1991. Coordinate gene activity in response to agents that induce systemic acquired resistance. *Plant Cell*. 3:1085-1094.
- Whetten, R.W and Sederoff, R.R. 1992. Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase from loblolly pine: purification of the enzyme and isolation of complementary DNA clones. *Plant Physiology*. 98(1): 380-386.
- Worthington CC. 1988. Worthington enzyme manual: enzymes and related biochemicals. Worthington Biochemical Corporation.
- Yasmin, S., Zaka, A., Imran, A., Zahid, M.A., Yousaf, S., Arif, G and Mirza, M.S. 2016. Plant growth promotion and suppression of bacterial leaf blight in rice by inoculated bacteria. *Plos One*.1-19.
- Zucker, M. 1965. Induction of phenylalanine deaminase by light and its relation to chlorogenic acid synthesis in potato tuber tissue. *Journal of Plant Physiology*. 40: 779.

Table 1. Activity of chitinase ($\mu\text{moles}/\text{min}/\text{gm}$) in different treatments of rice cv. BPT- 5204 in glass house

Treatments	Before Pathogen inoculation	1 DAY	2 DAY	3 DAY	4 DAY	5 DAY	6 DAY	7 DAY
T ₁ - ST with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/kg	7.163 ^{ab}	10.474 ^b	13.785 ^b	18.751 ^c	23.718 ^b	31.996 ^{bc}	32.548 ^b	31.444 ^c
T ₂ - SD with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/kg at 15DAS	11.5778 ^c	17.096 ^c	20.407 ^c	25.926 ^d	31.996 ^d	34.755 ^c	35.859 ^c	34.755 ^d
T ₃ - FA with promising endophytic bacterial consortium 250g/ha at 30DAT	17.648 ^{dc}	20.407 ^d	25.374 ^d	30.340 ^{ef}	36.963 ^e	41.929 ^d	42.481 ^e	39.722 ^e
T ₄ - T ₁ + T ₂	15.440 ^d	18.751 ^{cd}	23.718 ^d	27.581 ^{de}	33.652 ^d	38.618 ^d	39.170 ^d	36.963 ^d
T ₅ - T ₁ + T ₃	22.063 ^{fg}	27.029 ^c	31.996 ^{ef}	36.963 ^g	41.929 ^f	46.896 ^c	49.104 ^f	48.000 ^f
T ₆ - T ₂ + T ₃	25.374 ^g	29.789 ^f	34.203 ^f	40.826 ^h	46.896 ^g	51.863 ^f	52.415 ^g	50.207 ^f
T ₇ - T ₁ + T ₂ + T ₃	40.274 ^h	43.585 ^g	49.655 ^g	53.518 ⁱ	57.933 ^h	59.589 ^g	59.037 ^h	56.830 ^g
T ₈ - -Chemical check- FS with Streptomycin sulphate @ 200 ppm + COC @ 0.3%	20.407 ^{ef}	25.3742 ^e	30.340 ^e	33.100 ^f	36.963 ^e	40.826 ^d	42.481 ^e	40.274 ^e
T ₉ - -Inoculated control	4.955 ^a	5.507 ^a	8.8185 ^a	12.129 ^a	17.096 ^a	20.407 ^a	22.614 ^a	20.407 ^a
T ₁₀ - -Uninoculated control	5.507 ^{bc}	9.922 ^b	12.129 ^b	15.440 ^b	28.685 ^c	30.340 ^b	32.548 ^b	28.685 ^b
CD(p=0.05)	0.023	0.015	0.02	0.02	0.017	0.02	0.01	0.015
SE(m) \pm	0.008	0.005	0.007	0.007	0.006	0.007	0.003	0.005
C.V	5.09	4.52	5.36	4.72	3.43	3.85	1.84	2.84

Numerical values with different letters are significantly different at 0.05 % level by **DMRT**; DMRT, Duncan's multiple range test.

ST- Seed treatment; SD- Seedling dip

Table 2. Activity of β -1,3 glucanase (μ moles/min/gm) in different treatments of rice cv. BPT- 5204 in glass house

Treatments	Before Pathogen inoculation	1 DAY	2 DAY	3 DAY	4 DAY	5 DAY	6 DAY	7 DAY
T ₁ - ST with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/kg	86.88 ^{ab}	127.13 ^b	139.59 ^b	173.13 ^b	187.51 ^b	225.84 ^b	245.01 ^b	225.84 ^b
T ₂ - SD with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/kg at 15DAS	120.42 ^b	149.17 ^{bc}	163.55 ^{bc}	182.72 ^{bc}	216.26 ^c	240.22 ^{bc}	259.38 ^b	254.59 ^c
T ₃ - FA with promising endophytic bacterial consortium 250g/ha at 30DAT	225.84 ^{cd}	173.13 ^{cd}	197.09 ^d	230.63 ^d	254.59 ^d	283.34 ^{de}	297.72 ^d	278.55 ^{cd}
T ₄ - T ₁ + T ₂	201.88 ^c	153.97 ^{bc}	182.72 ^{cd}	201.88 ^c	230.63 ^c	259.38 ^{cd}	278.55 ^c	259.38 ^{cd}
T ₅ - T ₁ + T ₃	249.80 ^{ef}	225.84 ^{ef}	259.38 ^e	288.14 ^e	316.89 ^e	345.64 ^f	374.39 ^e	360.01 ^e
T ₆ - T ₂ + T ₃	316.89 ^{gh}	254.59 ^f	297.72 ^f	331.26 ^f	350.43 ^f	374.39 ^g	393.56 ^f	364.80 ^e
T ₇ - T ₁ + T ₂ + T ₃	360.01 ^h	312.09 ^g	355.22 ^g	379.18 ^g	398.35 ^g	417.51 ^h	441.47 ^g	403.14 ^f
T ₈ - Chemical check- FS with Streptomycin sulphate @ 200 ppm + COC @ 0.3%	273.76 ^{fg}	211.47 ^{de}	230.63 ^e	245.01 ^d	264.18 ^d	288.14 ^e	312.09 ^d	288.14 ^{cd}
T ₉ - Inoculated control	43.75 ^a	53.34 ^a	86.88 ^a	115.63 ^a	130.01 ^a	158.76 ^a	182.72 ^a	158.76 ^a
T ₁₀ - Uninoculated control	48.55 ^a	77.30 ^a	134.80 ^b	173.13 ^b	192.30 ^b	216.26 ^b	245.01 ^b	201.88 ^b
CD(p=0.05)	0.03	0.028	0.02	0.01	0.014	0.017	0.012	0.02

SE(m) ±	0.01	0.009	0.007	0.006	0.005	0.006	0.004	0.007
C.V	2.58	2.45	1.84	1.45	1.12	1.34	0.93	1.55

Numerical values with different letters are significantly different at 0.05 % level by DMRT; DMRT, Duncan's multiple range test.

Table 3. Activity of Phenylalanine ammonia lyase ($\mu\text{moles}/\text{min}/\text{gm}$) in different treatments of rice cv. BPT- 5204 in glass house

Treatments	Before Pathogen inoculation	1 DAY	2 DAY	3 DAY	4 DAY	5 DAY	6 DAY	7 DAY
T ₁ - ST with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/kg	3.782 ^b	3.922 ^{bc}	4.246 ^{bc}	4.756 ^b	4.965 ^c	5.197 ^c	5.800 ^c	5.499 ^{bc}
T ₂ - SD with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/kg at 15DAS	4.409 ^c	4.339 ^{bc}	5.012 ^b	5.313 ^c	5.522 ^d	5.823 ^d	6.357 ^d	6.171 ^c
T ₃ - FA with promising endophytic bacterial consortium 250g/ha at 30DAT	5.846 ^e	5.336 ^d	6.357 ^d	6.704 ^c	6.890 ^f	7.238 ^f	8.026 ^f	7.725 ^d
T ₄ - T ₁ + T ₂	4.965 ^d	4.826 ^{cd}	5.684 ^{cd}	6.078 ^d	6.310 ^e	6.635 ^e	7.191 ^e	6.960 ^d
T ₅ - -T ₁ + T ₃	6.241 ^f	7.099 ^{fg}	7.957 ^{ef}	8.189 ^g	8.490 ^h	8.768 ^h	9.070 ^g	8.931 ^e
T ₆ - -T ₂ + T ₃	6.867 ^g	7.864 ^{gh}	8.165 ^{fg}	8.513 ^g	8.792 ⁱ	8.931 ⁱ	9.348 ^h	9.186 ^e
T ₇ - -T ₁ + T ₂ + T ₃	7.331 ^h	8.513 ^h	8.652 ^g	8.954 ^h	9.139 ^j	9.279 ^j	10.647 ⁱ	9.418 ^e
T ₈ -- Chemical check- FS with Streptomycin sulphate @ 200 ppm + COC @ 0.3%	6.403 ^f	6.496 ^c	6.890 ^c	7.191 ^f	7.191 ^g	8.931 ^g	9.557 ^j	8.560 ^e
T ₉ -- Inoculated control	2.716 ^a	2.623 ^a	2.878 ^a	3.156 ^a	3.388 ^a	3.736 ^a	4.200 ^a	3.898 ^a
T ₁₀ -- Uninoculated control	3.620 ^b	3.574 ^b	3.991 ^b	4.200 ^b	4.525 ^b	4.756 ^b	5.243 ^b	5.035 ^b
CD(p=0.05)	0.03	0.13	0.04	0.02	0.036	0.093	0.25	0.032
SE(m) ±	0.011	0.044	0.016	0.008	0.012	0.031	0.086	0.011

C.V	2.80	10.65	3.53	1.64	2.41	5.86	5.76	1.94
-----	------	-------	------	------	------	------	------	------

Numerical values with different letters are significantly different at 0.05 % level by **DMRT**; DMRT, Duncan's multiple range test.

Formatted: Highlight

Table 4. Activity of Peroxidase(μ moles/min/gm) in different treatments of rice cv. BPT- 5204 in glass house

Treatments	Before Pathogen inoculation	1 DAY	2 DAY	3 DAY	4 DAY	5 DAY	6 DAY	7 DAY
T ₁ - ST with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/kg	0.185 ^c	0.191 ^g	0.207 ^c	0.237 ^d	0.273 ^c	0.316 ^c	0.286 ^f	0.253 ^f
T ₂ - SD with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/l at 15DAS	0.2 ^d	0.209 ^f	0.206 ^c	0.261 ^e	0.306 ^f	0.346 ^f	0.321 ^e	0.286 ^c
T ₃ - FA with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 250g/ha at 30DAT	0.222 ^c	0.230 ^{de}	0.25 ^d	0.277 ^d	0.463 ^c	0.53 ^c	0.463 ^c	0.375 ^c
T ₄ - T ₁ + T ₂	0.207 ^d	0.216 ^{ef}	0.214 ^e	0.27 ^d	0.375 ^d	0.419 ^d	0.401 ^d	0.334 ^d
T ₅ - T ₁ + T ₃	0.261 ^b	0.274 ^{bc}	0.316 ^b	0.362 ^c	0.654 ^b	0.821 ^{bc}	0.694 ^{ab}	0.463 ^b
T ₆ - T ₂ + T ₃	0.281 ^b	0.301 ^{ab}	0.353 ^a	0.502 ^b	0.821 ^a	0.952 ^{ab}	0.672 ^{ab}	0.502 ^b
T ₇ - T ₁ + T ₂ + T ₃	0.316 ^a	0.334 ^a	0.393 ^a	0.672 ^a	0.952 ^a	1.133 ^a	0.793 ^a	0.694 ^a
T ₈ - Chemical check- FS with Streptomycin sulphate @ 200 ppm + COC @ 0.3%	0.225 ^c	0.25 ^{cd}	0.286 ^c	0.353 ^c	0.548 ^b	0.722 ^c	0.604 ^b	0.45 ^b
T ₉ - Inoculated control	0.162 ^f	0.166 ^h	0.181 ^f	0.197 ^g	0.24 ^g	0.273 ^h	0.261 ^g	0.247 ^f
T ₁₀ - Uninoculated control	0.171 ^g	0.181 ^g	0.187 ^f	0.217 ^f	0.259 ^f	0.3 ^f	0.29 ^f	0.25 ^f
CD(p=0.05)	0.15	0.169	0.165	0.192	0.15	0.104	0.138	0.145
SE(m) \pm	0.05	0.057	0.056	0.065	0.05	0.035	0.046	0.049

C.V	3.74	4.43	4.66	6.54	6.86	5.56	6.58	5.84
-----	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

Numerical values with different letters are significantly different at 0.05 % level by DMRT; DMRT, Duncan's multiple range test.


Table 5. Activity of Polyphenol oxidase(nmoles/min/gm) in different treatments of rice cv. BPT- 5204 in glass house

Treatments	Before Pathogen inoculation	1 DAY	2 DAY	3 DAY	4 DAY	5 DAY	6 DAY	7 DAY
T ₁ - ST with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/kg	1.694 ^c	2.164 ^c	2.682 ^c	4.094 ^c	4.564 ^c	5.364 ^c	6.070 ^c	5.788 ^c
T ₂ - SD with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 4g/l at 15DAS	2.305 ^d	3.011 ^d	3.341 ^d	4.423 ^c	4.941 ^c	5.505 ^c	6.211 ^c	5.929 ^c
T ₃ - FA with promising endophytic bacterial consortium @ 250g/ha at 30DAT	2.917 ^c	3.952 ^e	4.235 ^{ef}	5.411 ^e	6.070 ^e	6.729 ^e	7.623 ^e	7.341 ^e
T ₄ - T ₁ + T ₂	2.541 ^d	3.529 ^d	4.047 ^c	4.941 ^d	5.505 ^d	6.117 ^d	6.917 ^d	6.635 ^d
T ₅ - T ₁ + T ₃	4.423 ^g	4.705 ^g	5.223 ^g	6.164 ^f	6.635 ^f	7.2 ^f	8.047 ^f	7.764 ^f
T ₆ - T ₂ + T ₃	5.082 ^h	5.6 ^h	6.070 ^h	7.388 ^g	8.094 ^g	8.611 ^g	9.317 ^g	9.035 ^g
T ₇ - T ₁ + T ₂ + T ₃	6.494 ⁱ	7.011 ⁱ	7.529 ⁱ	8.752 ^h	9.317 ^h	9.6 ^h	10.305 ^h	10.023 ^h
T ₈ - Chemical check- FS with Streptomycin sulphate @ 200 ppm + COC @ 0.3%	3.247 ^f	4.094 ^f	4.517 ^f	5.694 ^e	6.352 ^{ef}	7.058 ^{ef}	7.764 ^e	7.482 ^e
T ₉ - Inoculated control	0.705 ^a	0.894 ^a	1.129 ^a	2.258 ^a	2.917 ^a	3.576 ^a	4.517 ^a	4.235 ^a
T ₁₀ - Uninoculated control	1.364 ^b	1.411 ^b	2.117 ^b	3.482 ^b	4.047 ^b	4.658 ^b	5.505 ^b	5.223 ^b
CD(p=0.05)	0.023	0.025	0.023	0.024	0.03	0.025	0.02	0.017
SE(m) ±	0.05	0.057	0.056	0.065	0.05	0.035	0.069	0.046


C.V	6.21	5.62	4.63	4.30	4.20	3.25	2.22	2.03
-----	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

Numerical values with different letters are significantly different at 0.05 % level by DMRT; DMRT, Duncan's multiple range test.


UNDER PEER REVIEW




Graph 1. Standard curve of laminarin at 585nm



Graph 2. Standard curve of glucose at 540nm



Graph 3. Standard curve of cinnamic acid at 290nm






Fig 1. Activity of chitinase ($\mu\text{moles}/\text{min}/\text{gm}$) in different treatments of rice cv. BPT-

$\mu\text{moles}/\text{min}/\text{gm}$

UNDER PEER REVIEW

5204
experiment.

in

glass

house




Fig 2. Activity of β -1,3 glucanase ($\mu\text{moles}/\text{min}/\text{gm}$ FW) in different treatments of rice cv. BPT- 5204 in glass house experiment.

$\mu\text{moles}/\text{min}/\text{gm}$

UNDER PEER REVIEW

$\mu\text{moles}/\text{min}/\text{gm}$




Fig 3. Activity of Phenylalanine ammonia lyase ($\mu\text{moles}/\text{min}/\text{gm}$) in different treatments of rice cv. BPT- 5204 in glass house experiment.




Fig 4. Activity of Peroxidase(µmoles/min/gm) in different treatments of rice cv. BPT-5204 in glass house experiment.

µmoles/min/gm

UNDER PEER REVIEW

nmoles/min/cm




Fig 5. Activity of Polyphenol oxidase(nmoles/min/gm) in different treatments of rice cv. BPT- 5204 in glass house experiment.

UNDER REVIEW