

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_EJNFS_102493   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Nutritional fish diseases in aquaculture: A human health hazard or mythical theory: An Overview |
| Type of the Article      | Review Article  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>Yes, it really a good collective review work, that can help the scientific community.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   |  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>Add following references</p> <p>Yılmaz S, Ergün S, Yiğit M, Yılmaz E. An extensive review on the use of feed additives against fish diseases and improvement of health status of fish in Turkish aquaculture sector. Aquaculture Studies. 2022 Jan 7;22(3).</p> <p>Mahdy MA, Jamal MT, Al-Harb M, Al-Mur BA, Haque MF. Use of yeasts in aquaculture nutrition and immunostimulation: A review. Journal of Applied Biology and Biotechnology. 2022 Jul 20;10(5):59-65.</p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                   |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Anil Savji Kotiya</b>          |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Kamdhenu University, India</b> |