

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRN_101892
Title of the Manuscript:	An unusual case of acute obstructive kidney failure on renal transplant complicating a pulmonary Covid 19 infection
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Not very clear it can be An peculiar instance of acute obstructive kidney failure on renal transplant accompanying pulmonary COVID-19 infection. Yes, conclusion should be mentioned objective how to manage such medical condition. Yes Yes Yes , conclusion should be revised . 5	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	no	
Optional/General comments	This article does a good job of defining a case report, however the future action study didn't do a sufficient job of defining how to prevent such conditions and how to manage them.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neelesh Kumar Maurya
Department, University & Country	PK University, India