

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_102739
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceptions on the Utilization of Learning Management System and its Effects on the Communication Skills of Private Senior High School Students in Manila
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is very important for scientific community. This manuscript is on current and trending issue. Many nations are adopting LMS for their teaching and learning. Covid-19 pushed forward the adoption and adaption of e-learning styles. LMS makes things easier for both teacher and student. Investigating the perception of students as done by this researcher will greatly contribute to learning.</p> <p>In my opinion, the paper is well-researched and very impressive. Yes, for the abstract.</p> <p>2. Yes, the sub-sections and structure are appropriate but attention should be paid to the levels of heading. There should be consistency.</p> <p>3. Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>4. Yes, the references are impressively sufficient and recent. Besides, the manuscript is long enough. There is no need adding more references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The researchers can reduce the length by choosing and maintaining one paragraphing style. Either indent without leaving space before paragraph or use spacing. I am also mindful of the fact that the paper is tightly packed. If space is not an issue, they might as well leave it the way it is.</p> <p>Additionally, in furtherance of reducing length, LMS can still be used in place of the full meaning in many other places it occurred outside the first full mention.</p> <p>The researcher should consider the minor adjustments suggested in the reviewed manuscript.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Obiageli Chinyelu Chukwuemerie
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria