

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_102739
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceptions on the Utilization of Learning Management System and its Effects on the Communication Skills of Private Senior High School Students in Manila
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is very important. It pays attention to LMS as a tool of modern education and relates it to students' communication skills. The results of the conducted survey show considerable positives of LMS, in all the investigated areas. Evaluation of respondents' verbal responses highlighted the following 3 key themes of LMS and communication skills: helpful, neutral and challenging.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable and captures the essence of the entire study.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the article are appropriate. It can only be recommended that the titles of the subsections of the Discussion section be formulated more formally and concisely, not as questions.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is correct from the view of basic scientific approach. However, despite the fact that the results show the significant importance of the LMS for teaching and communication with the lecturer, the responses were much weaker when communicating with peers. This aspect would deserve more attention in the authors' future study.</p> <p>In addition, it would be requested to pay more attention in the article to what is included in "communication skills". Is it just oral and written communication? For future research, I recommend the authors to also include communication skills, understood in the real context of formal or working life, where today they are assigned, e.g., assertiveness, empathy, active listening, persuasion and metacommunication?</p> <p>6. In order to increase the scientific emphasis and international prestige of the article, it would be appropriate to incorporate more literary sources into the article, especially from the years 2022 – 2023.</p> <p>It is needed to explicitly define the aims of the paper in the section of Introduction. In the current version, only such formulation is presented: "The current study examined students' perceptions of using LMS and its effects on communication skills."</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the English is in order. There is only small grammar imperfection: "The LMS utilization..." on page 19.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This is an interesting study that certainly develops and enriches current theory. After fulfilling the inspirations and questions mentioned above, I recommend the article for publication in the journal AJESS.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martina Blašková
Department, University & Country	Police Academy of the Czech Republic, Czechia