

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_103117
Title of the Manuscript:	Pesticide Residue Contamination of Some Cereals and their Consequential Health Implication in the Food Chain of Taraba Northern Geo-political Region, Taraba State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is useful for scientific community</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Comments: This paper is written very good and clear idea of the health Implications of consuming cereals. However please justify and add following points</p> <p>1. Authors should be address the concentrations of RRs and HI index was higher in the children group in compare to adults. Justify the reason</p> <p>2. In Methods of Analysis section provide details of Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (GC–MS) methods, model, make and procedure which are essential part of the methodology.</p> <p>3. What are the mitigations of high concentration of RRs and explore it and accordingly incorporate in manuscript</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shivkumar Prajapati
Department, University & Country	Indian Council of Medical Research, National Institute of Occupational Health, India