

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_102539
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints on Cole crop of production and marketing by using Garrett ranking
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, the findings of the research is useful to the scientific community.</b></p> <p>2. <b>The title needs to be changed.</b> <b>Constraints on Cole crop of production and marketing: A case study of Sultanpur district of Uttar Pradesh.</b></p> <p>3. <b>The abstract is comprehensive.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes. But the references should be given in appropriate format.</b></p> <p>7. <b>Thorough revision of the result and discussion should be done. The way of presentation of the results is very inappropriate.</b></p> <p>8. <b>Avoid capital cases in between the lines unless it's appropriate.</b></p> <p><b>In the production constraint part, assistance from govt cannot be a constraint, change it into lack of assistance. Also, timely unavailability of labour should be changed to unavailability of timely labour.</b></p> <p><b>Revise the conclusion part.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. The language of the paper needs to be addressed.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Include more discussion part rather than merely giving the tables of results. Include suggestions too.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pooja Krishna J</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kerala Agricultural University, India</b>