

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_102972
Title of the Manuscript:	SELF-DIRECTED LEARNING STRATEGIES IN STUDYING MODULARIZED ORAL COMMUNICATION IN CONTEXT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community because, even though the era of Covid-19 pandemic is over, learning styles of students are very important in enhancing learning outcomes in all fields of study. Self-learning is a very important learning styles in the current era of remote learning. Students should develop suitable strategies for successful self-learning with inputs of teachers and researchers.</p> <p>No. Alternative title is suggested on the manuscript.</p> <p>No. Guidelines are provided for reworking the abstract.</p> <p>No. Several irrelevant subsections were included making manuscript too lengthy for a journal article with more than 40pp with poor structure. Not quite, some corrections are needed especially in the analysis, results presentation and interpretation.</p> <p>Over 90 references (of which not more than ¼ were cited in the manuscript) are rather too many for a journal article. Citations of over 10 years (excepting theories) are considered obsolete and should be expunged/updated.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language of the article is fairly suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>As above and inside the manuscript.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Justina I. Ezenwafor
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria