

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_102854 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Standardization of Siddha Herbo Mineral Formulation Arputha Mathirai for Poly Cystic Ovarian Syndrome (PCOS) |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Yes, It is important because of large number of adverse effects of allopathic medicines. Whereas WHO also encourages the use of natural products</p> <p>Not completely suitable. Article only described the detail of standardization part of formulation, not any therapeutic evidence of this formulation for Poly Cystic Ovarian Syndrome (PCOS)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It is partially correct. No literature and evidence is mentioned regarding its therapeutic use specifically for Poly Cystic Ovarian Syndrome (PCOS)</p> <p>More references are required to be added to support the study and should be in proper format as per Journal guidelines</p> <p>In material and methods, collection of raw materials should be from natural sources</p> <p>Proper formatting of article is required</p> <p>Method of grounding the ingredients is not mentioned</p> <p>Temperature mentioned of Muffle Furnace for calculating the Ash values is wrong (Muffle Furnace Specifications - 1200°C to 1800°C Maximum Operating Temperatures)</p> <p>No literature is mentioned to support the use of formulation for PCOS</p> <p>In last, article need to be revised as per the guidelines of journal and seem to be authentic.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Satisfactory | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | The mistakes are highlighted in the manuscript | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Maninderjit Kaur |
| Department, University & Country | School of Pharmaceutical sciences, Lovely Professional University, India |