

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_102048</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Antimicrobial Resistance Profile of Different Clinical Isolates against Augmentin, Imipenem and Ceftriaxone.</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	1. <b>Yes. It's show how's important the pattern of antibiotic profile among the bacteria isolates against antibiotics listed.</b>  2. <b>Yes, its suitable title with the contents.</b>  3. <b>Abstract should mention the main results finding with % and others relevant results.</b>  4. <b>Yes</b>  5. <b>Yes</b>  6. <b>References are ok and enough.</b>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, its ok.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	No comment.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rozila Bt Alias</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Selangor, Malaysia</b>