

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_103603
Title of the Manuscript:	EMPOWERMENT OF RURAL WOMEN THROUGH UTILIZATION OF SHGs SERVICES IN SAMASTIPUR DISTRICT OF BIHAR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes. It brings an important subject to be analysed and discussed. Yes. Totally adequate No. It needs a full revision. It has incomplete data. Yes. They are. It needs a general and careful revision. It has many incomplete analysis and also data. The references do not appear in the text. The author needs to review the whole text and complete what is missing. Also, there are typing mistakes.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It needs a general review.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	João Luiz de Moraes Hoefel
Department, University & Country	Centre for Sustainability and Cultural Studies, UNIFAAT University Centre, Brazil