

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102964
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficient Utilization of Rice Fallows in Sandy Loam Soils of Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? It is very important to the scientific world as well as farming communities since rice paddies will not be left as fallows.</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? The abstract of the article is comprehensive but should include recommendations.</p> <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate but must include a paragraph on mustard and rapeseed. The introduction needs to be considered for more literature review.</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The manuscript is scientifically correct because of its nature and references cited and listed, but the views of the author are not well coming out. ▪ The statistics is not shown in all tables ie. Means, CV, SE± and P=0.05 ▪ I encourage the author(s) to critically list the references in alphabetical order regardless of what. ▪ All the four figures are not mentioned in the manuscript therefore, I suggest they be removed. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This is a important manuscript but the author(s) need to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Bring in more of their views ▪ Improve on the tables to look scientific 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Felix Mereka Chipojola
Department, University & Country	Malawi