Original Research Article

Evaluation of soil Fertility and Physico-chemical properties of the semi-arid regionof eastern JaipurRajasthan

Abstract

An investigation on ~~GPS~~ GPS-based soil chemical properties and fertility status of three different blocks and each block 3 villages (Chomu, Sanganer, Shahpura) ofJaipur district was ~~undertaken~~undertaken during the year 2021-22. 27 soil samples were collected at three different depths (0-15, 15-30, 30-40 cm) and analysed. Different results were reported. The colour of soil samples changed with the depth. The pH of soils ranged between (pH 7.40 - 7.58). The electrical conductivity of the soil of the entire studied area ~~were~~ wasless than 1 dSm^{-1}. The soil organic carbon status was low to medium, ~~ranges~~ rangingfrom 0.10 to 0.25 %, and organic matter ranged from 0.17 – 0.43%. The available nitrogen content of the entire studied area was low (166.37 to 192.75 kg ha^{-1}). The available phosphorus and potassium content varied ~~in~~ between 13.24 to 37.77 kg ha^{-1} and 146.88 to 232.32 kg ha^{-1}. The available zinc, iron, copper and manganese of the soil ranged between 0.56 to 0.32 mg kg^{-1}, 2.23 to 2.97 mg kg^{-1}, 0.40 to 0.67 mg kg^{-1} and 2.17 to 2.95 mg kg^{-1}. The fertility ~~data base~~database would be very useful for extension functionaries, agricultural officers, ~~scientist~~ scientists,and above all the farmers for ~~a~~ sustainable crop production.

Comment [A1]: https://www.thepharmajournal.com/archives/?year=2021

Keywords: Physico-Chemical properties, pH, E.C., O.C., Nitrogen, Potassium, Phosphorus, soil analysis, Jaipur district.

Introduction

Soil is the most vital and precious natural resource that sustains life on the earth. It takes almost 1000 years to produce an inch of ~~topsoil~~topsoil (Chandra *et al.*, 2009). The most important constituents in soil are organic matter, an appreciable amount of it in soil tremendously increases soil fertility. Decay of organic matter release nitrogen, phosphorus and mineral nutrients in forms available to plants. Organic carbon is also positively correlated with total and available nitrogen in all soil groups. Similarly, the soil reaction (pH) and electrical conductivity have a marked effect on plant growth (Verma *et al*., 1980).

Comment [A2]: https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1

Micronutrients are also essential for crop growth but are not regularly applied in the soil along with the common fertilizers used by the farmers. Their removal from the soil had been for centuries without any systematic replenishment (Sharma *et al.*, 2008).

Micronutrient deficiencies were first reported at the end of the 19th century and today it is well known that the extensive areas of our soils are ~~in~~ capable of supplying plants with sufficient amounts of micronutrients. The application of fertilizer in the soil having only major nutrients, the loss of micronutrients ~~thought~~ through plant uptake and leaching, the decreasing proportion of farm yard manure and other organic manures in comparison with fertilizers, and several other factors collectively contribute towards the deficiency of micronutrients in soils (Rattan *et al*., 2009).

Materials and Methods

The soil samples will be collected from various villages at least 10 ~~k.m~~km. apart from different blocks of Jaipur district having variations in slope/topography, colour and cropping pattern and behaviour. Three depth-wise samples viz., 0 to 15 cm, 15 to 30 cm and 30 to 45 cm will be collected and analyzed. Samples will be collected only from the open places. ~~Separate~~ A separate sampling calendar has been made for each parameter to be studied. The samples will be analysed for morphological, physico ~~phsico~~-chemical properties. A sample collection sheet is prepared for proper tagging and packing of the samples on the site. Jaipur is the capital city as well as the largest city ~~of~~ inthe state of Rajasthan. Geographically, Jaipur district lies at Longitude 26°9'1.24" N and latitude75°7'8.73" E. Total geographical area of the district is 11,06,148 ha. or 11061.48 sq km. The total Gross Cropped Area of the city is 8,48,313 ha with Net Sown Area being 6,63,167 ha out of which only 3,02,428 ha is Net Irrigated Area.Jaipur district falls in agro-climatic zone 3-A semi-arid eastern plain zone. The district is characterized ~~with~~ by mild winter and hot summer. The mean maximum & minimum temperature of the area is 40.6 Degree centigrade and 6.2 Degree centigrade respectively. The temperature fluctuates as high as 47 degrees centigrade in the month of May & June and as low as 1.0 Degree centigrade in the month of January. Jaipur district receives around 650 mm rainfall annually and hence the climate here is typically humid. Monsoon occurs from June ~~till~~ to September. Heavy rains and thunderstorms are observed in the monsoon season. Throughout the year, the temperature remains on the higher side.

Comment [A3]: http://openeprints.uk/1437/1/2072-Article%20Text-3801-1-10-20221011.pdf



Fig. 1. Sites of study area

List 1: Soil Samples Collection Site

S. No.	Blocks	Village	Latitude (^{0}N)	Longitude (^{0}E)
1.	Chomu (B_1)	Keshav Nagar (V_1)	26.9039^0	75.7844^0
		Morija (V_2)	27.2068^0	75.7582^0
		Nindola (V_3)	27.3185^0	75.7081^0
2.	Sanganer (B_2)	Goner (V_4)	26.8865^0	75.8341^0
		Shrikishanpura (V_5)	26.7998^0	75.8582^0
		Durgapura (V_6)	26.8518^0	75.7862^0
3.	Shahpura (B_3)	Shivpuri (V_7)	26.9426^0	75.7526^0
		Manoharpur (V_8)	26.2994^0	75.9571^0
		Nwalpura (V_9)	26.8103^0	75.8365^0

Collection of Soil Sample:

Soil samples were collected randomly from a site using Khurpi and Phawrah at the depth of (a) 0-15cm, (b) 15-30cm, (c) 30-45 cm. Composite soil samples (by the process of conning and quartering method) ~~was~~ werecollected by Stratified soil sampling method (Sahrawat*et al.*, 2008, 2011; Chander*etal.*, 2013) and processed to analyze~~d~~ the Physico-chemical properties and available nutrient content. The detailed information is as follows.The grid soil samples at desired depth were taken as per the objective of the experiments.Records of latitude and longitude were maintained using GPS.The soil samples were collected with Khurpi, Phawrah and Soil Auger.

Process of Soil Sampling: After collecting the soil samples, they were brought to the laboratory. These samples were dried under shade. After that the processing was done as follows:After the air drying under the shade the unwanted materials like roots, stones, and others are should be discarded. The clods in the sample would be broken by using the wooden mallet.After that, the samples should be sieved with 2mm sieve.Sieved samples should be stored in polybags for further estimation of different pPhysico-chemical parameters.

All the precautions were followed as the procedure described by Jackson, (1973) and the standard procedure outlined by the Page *et al.*(1982) were wasused to estimate the chemical properties of the soil.

Analysis of soil: Thephysico-chemicalpropertiesandavailablenutrientcontentofsoilaretobeanalyzed bythefollowingstandard protocols in table Table number 1.

The implementing design for the experiment was CRD (Completely Randomized Design) which is the most flexible and simplest design. It is used when the experimental units are homogenous as it involves two basic principles of the design of the experiment namely Replication and Randomization.

Methods of Analysis: The methods of analysis of different soil parameters are discussed below in table Table- 1

S.No.	Parameters	Method	Scientist
1.	Soil pH	Digital pH meter	Jackson,1958
2.	Electrical conductivity (dSm^{-1})	Digital EC meter	Wilcox,1950
3.	Organic Carbon (%)	Rapid Titration	Walkley and Black, 1947
4.	Available Nitrogen (kg ha^{-1})	Alkaline potassium permanganate	Subbiah and Asija, 1956
5.	Available Phosphorous (kg ha^{-1})	Colori meter	Olsen *et al.*,1954
6.	Available Potassium (kg ha^{-1})	Flame photometer	Toth and Prince,1949
7.	Micro nutrients (Fe, Cu, Mn, Zn)	DTPA extractable method by AAS	Lindsay and Norvell, 1978

(Source: Jaiswal,2011)

Result and discussion

Formatted: Left, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Left, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Left, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Left, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Left, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Left, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Left, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

The pH of soil w/v (1:2.5):- ~~The~~ Table 2 and Figure 1 depict~~s~~ the statistical analysis ~~on~~ of pH of villages and depths which was found to be significant due to depths and due to site. In soil depths the maximum pH ~~was~~ found was 8.08 at 30-45 cm in village Goner (V_4) and the minimum pH ~~was~~ found was 6.22 at 0-15 cm in the village Nwalpura (V_9). The increase in pH with depths of soil is possibly due to the leaching down of salts from upper soil depths to lower soil depths, which is the accumulation of salts in lower depths of soil and an increase in soil pH. Similar results were reported by Mehta *et al*. (2012), Gill *et al*. (2012), and Maheshwari and Sharma, (2013).

Electrical conductivity (dSm^{-1}) :- ~~The~~ Table 2 and Figure 1 depict~~s~~ the statistical analysis ~~on~~ of the electrical conductivity of villages and depths which was found to be significant due to depths and due to site. In soil depths, the maximum electrical conductivity ~~was~~ found to be 0.62 dSm^{-1} at 0-15 cm in village Manoharpur (V_8), and the minimum electrical conductivity ~~was~~ found to be 0.36 dSm^{-1} at 30-45 cm in village Goner (V_4). The low EC may be due to good drainage conditions which favoured the removal of released bases by percolating and drainage water. Similar results were reported by Mehta *et al*. (2012), Gill *et al*. (2012) and Ram *et al*. (2010).

Comment [A4]: https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Physico-chemical-properties-of-soils-in-the-study-area_tbl3_320632168

Organic carbon (%) :- ~~The~~ Table 2 and Figure 1 depict~~s~~ the statistical analysis on organic carbon of villages and depths which was found to be significant due to depths and due to site. In soil depths the maximum organic carbon ~~was~~ found was 0.25 % at 0-15 cm, in village Nwalpura (V_9), and the minimum organic carbon ~~was~~ found was 0.10 % at 30-45 cm, and in village Nindola (V_3). organic carbon content of these soils was found to be and ranging from 0.10 to 0.25. The organic carbon content decreased with depths and this is due to the addition of plant residues in surface horizons than in the lower horizons. Similar results were reported by Mehta *et al*. (2012), Gill *et al*. (2012), Maheshwari and Sharma (2013).

Formatted: Font color: Red

Organic matter (%) :- ~~The~~ Table 2 and Figure 1 depict~~s~~ the statistical analysis ~~on~~ of organic matter of villages and depths which was found to be significant due to depths and due to site. In soil depths the maximum organic matter ~~was~~ found was 0.43 % at 0-15 cm in village Nwalpura (V_9) and the minimum organic matter was found 0.17 % at 30-45 cm in village Nindola (V_3). ~~Organic~~ The organic matter content of these soils was found to be low to medium and ranging from 0.17 to 0.43%. The organic matter content decreased with depths and this is due to the addition of plant residues in surface horizons than in the lower horizons. Similar results were reported by Mehta *et al*. (2012), Gill *et al*. (2012), and Maheshwari and Sharma (2013).

Available nitrogen (kg ha^{-1}):- ~~The~~ Table 2 and Figure 2 depict~~s~~ the statistical analysis ~~on~~ of available nitrogen of villages and depths which was found to be significant due to depths and due to site. In soil depths, the maximum available nitrogen ~~was~~ found was 198.11 kg ha^{-1} at 0-15 cm in village Nwalpura (V_9), and the minimum available nitrogen ~~was~~ found was 157.56 kg ha^{-1} at 30-45 cm in village Durgapura (V_6). The available nitrogen status in the entire area was found to be low to medium. The reason may be attributed to the fact that nitrogen content is positively correlated with organic matter content which decreases with

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough depth~~s~~. Similar results were reported by Misnra *et al*. (2014), Dash *et al*. (2018), and Digal *et al*. (2018).

Available phosphorus (kg ha^{-1}):- ~~The~~ Table 2 and Figure 2 depict~~s~~ the statistical analysis ~~on~~ of available phosphorus of villages and depths which was found to be significant due to depths and due to site. In soil depths the maximum available phosphorus ~~was~~ found was 37.77 kg ha^{-1} at 0-15 cm in village Nwalpura (V_9) and minimum available phosphorus (P) ~~was~~ found was 13.24 kg ha^{-1} at 30-45 cm in village Morija (V_2). The available P varied from 13.24 to 37.77 kg ha^{-1} in different depths and villages, which is low to medium content of phosphorus in soil. The maximum P content was observed in the surface horizons and decreased with depths. Similar results were reported by Meena *et al*. (2010), Dash *et al*. (2019), and Digal *et al*. (2018).

Available potassium (kg ha^{-1}) ~~The~~ Table 2 and Figure2 depict~~s~~ the statistical analysis ~~on~~ of the available potassium of villages and depths which was found to be significant due to depths and due to site. In soil depths, the maximum available potassium ~~was~~ found was 232.32 kg ha^{-1} at 0-15 cm in village Nwalpura (V_9), and the minimum available potassium ~~was~~ found was 146.88 kg ha^{-1} at 30-45 cm in village Morija (V_2). The available potassium in soil varied in a high range (146.88 to 232.32 kg ha^{-1}). The maximum K content was observed in the surface horizons and showed more or less decreasing trend with depths. This might be attributed to more intense weathering, the release of liable K from organic residues, the application of K fertilizers, and the upward translocation of K from lower depths along with the capillary rise of groundwater. Similar results were reported by Urmila *et al*. (2018), Sharma and Chaudhary(2017) and Digal *et al*. (2018).

Available zinc (mg kg^{-1}) :- ~~The~~ Table 2 and Figure2 depict~~s~~ the statistical analysis ~~on~~ of available zinc ~~of~~ in villages and depths which was found to be significant due to depths and due to site. Low values of available zinc were recorded in all the sites. In soil depths, the maximum available zinc ~~was~~ found was 0.56 mg kg^{-1} at 0-15 cm in village Nwalpura (V_9), and the minimum available zinc ~~was~~ found was 0.32 mg kg^{-1} at 30-45 cm in village Nindola (V_3). The available zinc in the soil varied in the high range (0.56 to 0.32 mg kg^{-1}). Low values of zinc may be due to the high availability of phosphorus which has an antagonistic effect on zinc. Similar results were reported by Urmila *et al*. (2018) and Singh *et al*. (2013).

Available iron (mg kg^{-1}) :- ~~The~~ Table 2 and Figure 2 ~~depicts~~ depict the statistical analysis ~~on~~ of available iron ~~of~~ in villages and depths which was found to be significant due to depths and due to site. Low values of available iron were recorded in all the sites. In soil depths the maximum available iron ~~was~~ found was 2.97 mg kg^{-1} at 0-15 cm in village Nwalpura (V_9) and the minimum available iron ~~was~~ found was 2.23 mg kg^{-1} at 30-45 cm in village Nindola (V_3). The available iron in soil varied in the high range (2.23 to 2.97 mg kg^{-1}). Deficiency of iron in an acidic soil is usually due to the effect of deficiency of another nutrient as in this case, of calcium deficiency and manganese toxicity. Iron values varied significantly with depths. Similar results were reported by Yadav *et al*. (2009) and Singh *et al*. (2013).

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Available copper (mg kg^{-1}) :- ~~The~~ Table 2 and Figure 2 depict~~s~~ the statistical analysis ~~on~~ ofavailable copper ~~of~~ invillages and depths which was found to be significant due to depths and due to site. Low values of available copper were recorded in all the sites. In soil depths the maximum available copper ~~was~~ found was 0.67 mg kg^{-1} at 0-15 cm in village Nwalpura (V_9) and the minimum available copper ~~was~~ found was0.40 mg kg^{-1} at 30-45 cm in village Manoharpur (V_8). The available copper in the soil varied in the high range (0.40 to 0.67 mg kg^{-1}). Low levels of copper may be attributed to high organic matter content while high values may be the result of low soil pH. Similar results were reported by Yadav *et al*.(2009) and Meena *et al*. (2017).

Available manganese (mg kg^{-1}) :- ~~The~~ Table2 and Figure 2 depict~~s~~ the statistical analysis ~~on~~ ofavailable manganese ~~of~~ invillages and depths which was found to be significant due to depths and due to site. In soil depths the maximum available manganese ~~was~~ found was2.95 mg kg^{-1} at 0-15 cm in village Nwalpura (V_9) and the minimum available manganese ~~was~~ found was 2.17 mg kg^{-1} at 30-45 cm in village Manoharpur (V_8). The available manganese in the soil varied in the high range (2.17 to 2.95 mg kg^{-1}). Low levels of manganese may be attributed to high organic matter content while high values may be the result of low soil pH. Similar results were reported by Baishya*et al*. (2017) and Meena *et al*. (2017).

Conclusion:- ~~The~~ In the present study area the soil pH was acidic to moderately acidic, the main reason is the increasing trend of using nitrogenous fertilizer in the area and very normal with respect to soluble salt content. Physico-chemical properties of soil are to be affected by the management practices adopted by the farmers and the degree of manure and fertilizer usage over a period of time. The variable concentrations of various parameters and irregular distributions of micronutrients may be attributed due to the added fertilizers during ~~the~~ crop production. It is concluded that there is a need ~~of~~ forproper nutrition and management approaches ~~for~~ toattain optimum economic yield and maintain soil fertility.

References

Baishya, K.,Challa, O. and Prasad, J. (2017) Available Micronutrient Status and Their Relationship with Soil Properties of Jhunjhunu Tehsil, District Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan. *Journal of Agricultural Science.* 1(2): 25-32.

Chandra,R. and Singh, S.K. (2009) Study of macro nutrient and physical status of soil in a part of Varuna River in Varanasi, India. *International journal of environmental sciences,* 4(4):468-471.

Chander, P.,Middha, R., Jain, S. and Juneja, S. K. (2013) A Comparative Study of Physico-Chemical Parameters of Restored and Unrestored Soils of Two Villages of *Chaksu*Block, Jaipur, Rajasthan. *International Journal of Engineering Technology Science and Research*.(2): 9-13.

Dash, P.K., Mishra, A. and Saren, S. (2019) Vertical distribution of available nutrients in an Eastern Indian Catena. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*,21(4): 320-325.

Digal, M., Saren,S., Mishra, A., Dash, P.K., Swain, N. and Acharya, B.P. (2018) Soil fertility statusof some villages in Phiringia block of Kandhamal district under North Eastern Ghat agro climatic zone of Odisha, India*Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*; 7(6): 658-662.

Gill, R.S., Kanthaliya, P.C. and Giri, J.D. (2012) Characterization and classification of soils Phalasia block of Udaipur district, Rajasthan. *Agropedology*, 22(1): 61-65.

Jackson, M.L. (1973) *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Oxford IBH Publishing House, Bombay. 38.

Jaiswal, P.C. (2011) Soil, Plant and Water Analysis, 72-132.

Maheshwari and Sharma (2013) Soil Status in Relation to Blast Disease in Jaipur district of Rajasthan, India. *International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience*, 1(1): 13-19.*Applied Sciences*,6(8): 3765-3772.

Mehta, K.M.,Shankaranarayana, H.S. andJaisinghani, C.J. (2012) Study of Pedo Genesis of soils of Jaipur district (Rajasthan). *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 8(5): 32-38.

Misnra, A., Pattnaik, T.M., Das, D. and Das (2014) Vertical Distribution of Available Plant Nutrients in Soils of Mid Central Valley at Odisha Zone, India, *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture.*7(4): 214-22.

Meena, H.B., Giri, J.D. and Mishra, H.K. (2010) Nutrient availability in soils as affected by physiography in Chittorgarh district, Rajasthan. *Agropedology*, 20(1): 85-87.

Meena, R.S. and Mathur, A.K. (2017) Distribution of Micronutrients in Soil of Garhi Tehsil, Banswara District of Rajasthan. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*,6(8): 3765-3772.

Page, A.L., Millar, R.H. and Kenny, D. R. (1982) Methods of Soil Analysis. *American Society of Agronomy*. Madison, Wisconsin, USA. pp. 1159.

Ram, D., Ram, T. and Subhash, C. (2010) Characterization and classification of flood-prone soils of eastern plains of Rajasthan for their corrective measures. *Journal of the IndianSociety ofSoil Science*. 58: 228232.

Rattan, A.P., Naidu, M.V.S., Ramavatharam, N. and Rao, G.R. (2009) Characterization, classification and evaluation of soils ondifferent land forms in Ramchandra Puram Mandal of Chittoordistrict in Andhra Pradesh for sustainable land use planning. *Journal of the Indian Society ofSoil Science*. 56: 23–33.

Sharma, P.D. (2008) Nutrient management challenges and options. *Journalof the Indian Society of Soil Science*.33: 672-675.

Sahrawat, N., Nama, K. S. and Choudhary, K. (2008) Study on Soil Parameters of Selected Sites in Mukundara Hills National Park, Kota, Rajasthan. *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 4 (4): 316-320.

Sharma, K. M. and Chaudhary, H. (2017) Physico Chemical Analysis of Soils ofDigodTehsil, Kota and Their Statistical Interpretation. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 1681-1683.

Singh, S.P., Srivastava, P.C. and Singh, P. (2013) Different forms of sulphur in soils ofUdham SinghNagar district, Uttarakhandand their relationship with soil properties. *Agropedology*, 19(1): 68-74.

Urmila, P., Singh, H.S., Meena, D., Jain, S.C., Kumar, H.K., Amit and Verma, S.N. (2018)Effect of tillage on physico-chemical indices of soil of southern Rajasthan. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 6(4): 2490- 2493.

Yadav, R.L. and Meena, M.C. (2009) Available micronutrient status and their relationship with soil properties of Degana soil series of Rajasthan. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*, 57:90-92.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0"

Table No.- 2:- Electrical conductivity (E.C), pH, organic carbon (OC), organic matter (OM), nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), copper (Cu), manganese (Mn) available of soil in different villages of Jaipur at 0-15 cm, 15-30 cm and 30-45 cm depths.

	Villages	**Depth (cm)**	**EC (dS/m)**	**pH**	**OC (%)**	**OM (%)**	**N (kg/ha)**	**P (kg/ha)**	**K (kg/ha)**	**Zn (mg/kg)**	**Fe (mg/kg)**	**Cu (mg/kg)**	**Mn (mg/kg)**
B_1	**V_1**	**0-15**	0.51	7.17	0.20	0.34	187.92	22.14	180.61	0.43	2.55	0.59	2.05
		15-30	0.45	7.36	0.18	0.31	180.86	20.45	169.80	0.40	2.41	0.56	1.99
		30-45	0.42	7.44	0.16	0.27	171.74	18.30	155.29	0.38	2.58	0.53	1.86
	V_2	**0-15**	0.60	7.24	0.18	0.31	175.36	18.36	175.98	0.41	2.46	0.50	2.75
		15-30	0.55	7.46	0.15	0.25	169.12	15.70	163.33	0.38	2.42	0.48	2.63
		30-45	0.48	7.67	0.12	0.20	162.76	13.24	146.88	0.36	2.39	0.47	2.50
	V_3	**0-15**	0.53	7.10	0.17	0.29	170.17	19.40	203.81	0.42	2.38	0.56	2.80
		15-30	0.48	7.29	0.1	0.2	163.	17.	195.	0.36	2.35	0.5	2.7

					4	4	10	99	30			3	0
		30-45	0.42	7.52	0.10	0.17	158.94	15.62	172.40	0.32	2.23	0.51	2.64
B_2	**V_4**	**0-15**	0.41	6.76	0.19	0.32	193.89	28.92	209.10	0.49	2.95	0.52	2.72
		15-30	0.38	7.91	0.17	0.29	183.09	25.22	197.99	0.47	2.82	0.49	2.59
		30-45	0.36	8.08	0.13	0.22	172.14	22.39	179.84	0.45	2.79	0.47	2.46
	V_5	**0-15**	0.57	6.35	0.24	0.41	198.30	32.44	221.21	0.46	2.88	0.58	2.86
		15-30	0.48	7.47	0.19	0.32	186.33	29.63	217.70	0.44	2.75	0.55	2.72
		30-45	0.42	7.49	0.15	0.25	173.99	26.10	189.56	0.41	2.71	0.53	2.68
	V_6	**0-15**	0.61	7.31	0.18	0.31	178.13	21.15	189.80	0.52	2.45	0.49	2.45
		15-30	0.56	7.43	0.15	0.25	163.44	18.79	175.04	0.47	2.34	0.46	2.31
		30-45	0.52	7.55	0.12	0.20	157.56	15.29	162.16	0.32	2.27	0.44	2.28
B_3	**V_7**	**0-15**	0.53	6.37	0.21	0.36	182.13	30.97	236.64	0.44	2.77	0.60	2.59
		15-30	0.47	7.59	0.18	0.31	173.44	27.17	224.50	0.41	2.70	0.57	2.46
		30-45	0.42	7.64	0.15	0.25	167.56	24.88	190.99	0.39	2.58	0.56	2.32
	V_8	**0-15**	0.62	7.25	0.19	0.32	192.21	26.64	217.27	0.49	2.60	0.54	2.34
		15-30	0.60	7.42	0.16	0.27	185.81	22.08	199.69	0.46	2.54	0.41	2.21
		30-45	0.57	7.51	0.13	0.22	178.66	18.51	186.07	0.42	2.41	0.40	2.17
	V_9	**0-15**	0.51	6.22	0.25	0.43	198.11	37.77	232.32	0.56	2.97	0.67	2.95
		15-30	0.46	7.39	0.22	0.37	192.79	34.21	225.54	0.52	2.90	0.64	2.82
		30-45	0.44	7.52	0.19	0.32	187.37	29.64	208.61	0.48	2.82	0.61	2.73
F-test	**Due to depths**		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
	Due to site												
S.Ed. (±)	**Due to depths**		0.04	1.75	0.05	0.15	8.08	3.71	13.15	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.04
	Due to site		0.55	5.42	0.07	0.23	13.11	7.15	39.68	0.03	0.63	0.07	0.42
C.D. at 5%	**Due to depths**		0.007	0.007	0.012	0.038	0.008	0.021	0.003	0.001	0.003	0.006	0.008
	Due to site		0.002	0.004	0.018	0.043	0.009	0.018	0.009	0.002	0.006	0.003	0.006



Fig.-2Fertility status at study area



Fig.-3**Available nutrient at study area**