

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_102405</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of Cherry Tomato genotypes for yield and quality in polyhouse in Prayagraj agro-climatic condition [Solanum lycopersicum (L) var. cerasiforme Mill.]</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Including a brief background on why cherry tomatoes are becoming popular in the retail market could add more context and interest to the introduction.</p> <p>2. OK</p> <p>3. The abstract could be more concise by summarizing the main findings of the experiment in fewer words.</p> <p>4. It would be helpful to include a discussion section where the authors can interpret their findings in the context of previous research and provide insights for future research directions.</p> <p>5. OK</p> <p>6. Ok</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>well-enough</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The writing is clear and concise. However, it would be helpful to include more information on the methodology used for the experiment, such as the specific techniques for measuring growth, yield, and quality.</p> <p>Additionally, including a discussion on the potential challenges and limitations of growing cherry tomatoes in polyhouses could provide useful insights for other researchers or farmers interested in this crop.</p> <p>Finally, it would be helpful to provide more information on the economic benefits of growing cherry tomatoes, such as the potential market demand and profitability for this crop.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Irfan Martiansyah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indonesia</b>