

INFLUENCE OF SOWING PATTERN AND SEED RATE ON PLANT GROWTH AND YIELD IN WHEAT (*Triticum aestivum*)

Comment [A1]: It is preferable to remove the scientific name from the title and include it only in keywords

ABSTRACT

Comment [A2]: Include general objective at the beginning, Experimental design used, treatments (quantity and its repetitions), parameters evaluated, analyzes used and the most important results.

The majority of people on earth consume wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) as a staple grain. It is the most important crop of Himachal Pradesh during winter season in low and mid hills of the State. In order to ensure optimum plant dispersion over the cultivated area and better utilize both above- and below-ground natural resources in order to increase yield, manipulation of agronomic practices such as planting pattern/geometry and seed rate is thought to be the first step. Both in-field and laboratory-based investigation was undertaken to study the impact of different planting patterns and seed rates on seed production in wheat by evaluating the yield parameters. The experiment with twelve treatment combinations comprising of four planting patterns (15 cm, 23 cm, 15 x 15 cm and 23 x 23 cm) and three seed rates (100, 120 and 140 kg/ha) was conducted in Randomized Block Design with three replications at the experimental farm of department of Seed Science and Technology. The observations showed that compared to other planting patterns, 23 x 23 cm planting patterns significantly increased plant height, leaf area index, number of spikes/m², spike length, number of spikelets per spike, number of grains per spike, biological yield, seed yield, and seed recovery percentage. The number of shoots/m² after full emergence, days to 50% heading, or harvest index did not significantly differ with planting pattern. 140 kg/ha seed rate produced significantly higher number of shoots per m², leaf area index, number of spikes per m², biological and seed yield over 120 kg/ha and 100 kg/ha seed rate.

Keywords: Planting pattern, Yield, Wheat, leaf area index, harvest index

Comment [A3]: Include scientific name

Abbreviations: %: Per cent; @: At the rate; °C: Degree Celsius; CD: Critical Difference; cm: Centimetre; et al.: et alii (and other); g: Gram; g/ha: Gram per hectare; g/m²: Gram per square metre; ha: Hectare; K: Potassium; kg: Kilogramme; kg/ha: Kilogramme per hectare; m ha: Million hectare; m²: Per square metre; mm: Millimetre; m t: Million tonnes; N: Nitrogen; No.: Number; /: per; P: Phosphorus; pH: Power of hydrogen ions; q/ha: Quintal per hectare; t/ha: Tonne per hectare.

INTRODUCTION

Comment [A4]: Use citations not older than 10 years.

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) is the most important crop for the majority of world's population. It is the important staple food of about two billion people (36% of the world population). It is the source of flour for the world's breadmaking. Much of the wheat used for livestock and poultry feed is a byproduct of the flour milling industry. Wheat straw is used for livestock feeding. Wheat is a nutrient-dense food that is high in carbohydrates as well as important proteins, minerals, and vitamins. Industrial uses of wheat grain include starch for paste, alcohol, oil, and gluten.

Include results of other previous studies, in addition the general objective is not observed at the end of the introduction

World production of wheat is 749 million tonnes, making it the second most

important cereal. India is the second largest producer of wheat after China. Wheat originated in Southeast Asia. In India three species of *Triticum* mainly *aestivum*, *durum* and *dicoccum* are cultivated and occupy approximately 95, 4 and 1 percent area, respectively. *Triticum aestivum* is cultivated in all the regions of the country while *durum* is cultivated in Punjab and Central India, and *dicoccum* in Karnataka only. In India, wheat occupies an area of 31.61 m ha with a production of 109.52 m t and average yield of 34.64 q/ha (Anonymous 2021).

Low tillering due to prolonged low temperature at initial stages results in sub-optimal plant population and improper utilization of natural resources and other inputs. Light modifies the entire micro-environment within the crop canopy. Planting pattern too modifies the crop environment (Kler and Bains 1992). Increasing the plant densities by higher seed rates had been visualized as one of the best ways to increase the yield. Appropriate planting arrangement with suitable plant population is vital for efficient use of available resources like solar radiation, soil moisture and better weed management. Uniform distribution of plants over cropped area and bidirectional orientation of plants to harvest maximum radiation through its greater penetration in crop canopy results in higher productivity.

Manipulation of agronomic practices such as planting pattern/geometry and seed rate are considered to be foremost steps to achieve proper distribution of plants over cultivated area, thereby better utilization of above and below ground natural resources towards increasing seed yield.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at Experimental Farm of Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, Chaudhary Sarwan Kumar Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Palampur during *Rabi* 2015-16. The experimental farm is situated at 32° 6' N latitude, 76° 3' E longitude and an elevation of 1290.80 m (a.m.s.l). It falls in the mid-hill zone of the Shivalik ranges of Himachal Pradesh. The climate of the region is characterized as wet temperate with mild summers (March to June) and cool winters. The soil of experimental site was silty loam texture, slightly acidic in nature and classified as *Typic Hapludalf* as per the Taxonomic System of Soil Classification. There was 10.3 mg/100 g soil CEC, 0.59% O.C., 356.1 kg/ha available N (Subbiah and Asija, 1956), 15.6 kg/ha available P (Olson et al., 1954) and 184.7 kg/ha available K (Merin and Peech, 1950).

Comment [A5]: More procedurally describe the experiment setup process so that readers can replicate the experiment

parameters evaluated?

used statistical analysis and software?

An experiment with twelve treatment combinations comprising of four planting patterns (15 cm row to row, 23 cm row to row, 15 x 15 cm criss cross and 23 x 23 cm criss cross) and three seed rates (100, 120 and 140 kg/ha) was conducted in Randomized Block Design with four replications.

Wheat variety HPW 236 was sown by hand as per planting pattern. Seeds were dropped behind the plough in the furrow with the help of manual labour by hand. Recommended dose of nitrogen @ 120 kg/ha was applied in two equal splits through urea (46% N) half at sowing and the remaining half was top dressed at the time of first irrigation after 40 days of sowing at CRI stage by broadcast method. A uniform basal dose of 60 kg P₂O₅ and 40 kg K₂O per hectare were applied through SSP and MOP, respectively at the time of sowing, by band placement in the furrow. Isoproturon and 2,4-D were used for weed control after 40 days of sowing. Combination of isoproturon and 2,4-D @ 1.0 and 0.5 kg/ha respectively was used for the control of mixed population of weeds. Harvesting was done manually with sickles and crop produce was threshed with the help of a plot thresher.

Result and Discussion

Effect of sowing pattern and seed rate on growth

Number of shoots/m² after complete emergence

The number of shoots was not influenced significantly by the sowing pattern. The outcomes were in contrast to those of Jat and Singhi (2004) and Pandey and Kumar (2005), who found that different sowing pattern affected the number of shoots per square metre.

However, higher seed rate resulted in production of significantly more number of shoots (145.0) per unit area. This was due to more number of seeds sown per unit area. Similar outcomes were also observed by Rosy (2003).

Plant Height

There was no significant difference in plant height during initial stages, i.e., at 30 to 60 DAS. Plant height was significantly affected after 90 days of sowing. Significantly more plant height was recorded in cross sowing at 23 cm as compared to all other treatments. The similar trend was observed during different stages of crop growth till harvest. It could be due to more LAI after 90 days of sowing which resulted in the vigorous growth of plants due to better sunlight, aeration and other micro environmental conditions as compared to other treatments. Sowing at 23 cm

Comment [A6]: Update references used.
Improve reference format

Improve considering material and methods

rowspacingwasatparwith15x15cmcrosssowingandproducedsignificantlytallerplantscomparedtonarrow row spacing of 15 cm. Similar results were obtained by Tigabu and Asfaw (2016) who discovered that the height of plants was significantly affected by the different row spacings.

There was no significant increase in plant height with increase in seed rate up to 140 kg/ha at all the stages of crop growth. Different seed rates in wheat had little to no impact on plant height, according to Rosy (2003).

50percentheadingstage

The perusal of data revealed that 50 percent heading was not significantly influenced by different sowing patterns and seed rates. This may be due to favourable climate for flowering at a particular point of time. According to Kalpana et al. (2014) studies, the alternation of row arrangement had no appreciable impact on days to 50% heading.

Leafareaindex

Consistent and significant increase in LAI was recorded in the order of 15 cm, 23 cm, 15 x 15 cm and 23 x 23 cm sowing patterns. Similarly, increase in seed rate from 100 to 140 kg/ha caused a significant increase in LAI. This could be due to better environmental conditions of plants by proper distribution of plants resulting in increased interception, absorption, and utilization of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), thereby resulting in higher photosynthesis and finally higher LAI in cross sowing. This could also be due to proper utilization of nutrients, water, air and sunlight in cross sowing and closer sowing. According to Pandey and Kumar (2005), criss-cross sowing (20 cm x 20 cm) reported a significantly higher leaf area index than broadcasting and line sowing.

Higher LAI with increase in seed rate may be due to more number of plants per unit area ultimately increased light interception and net assimilation rate depending on specific plant. Bavec et al. (2007) also reported similar results when increased seed rates from 350 to 800 viable seeds m².

Number of Spike/m²

The number of spikes was significantly influenced by sowing pattern. Cross sowing at 23x23cm produced significantly highest number of spikes per unit area as compared to all other methods of sowing. Normal sowing at 23 cm row spacing also produced significantly more number of spikes than closer sowing at 15 cm. An improvement in number of spikes under cross sowing could be on account of vigorous growth and higher tillering of plants due to more uniform distribution of sowing. Kumpawat (1998), Hussian et al. (2003) and Mekonnen (2020) reported similar results.

The number of spikes was significantly influenced by the different seed rates. The number of spikes increased significantly with increase in the seed rate. This may be because of more number of mother shoots because of higher seed rates in result more plants being established. This study is in line with the findings of Worku (2008) who reported that the number of productive spikes per 0.5 m row length increased linearly with increasing rates of seeding from 72.31 spikes per 0.5 m row length at the seeding rate of 100 kg ha⁻¹ (the lowest rate) to 85.95 spikes per 0.5 m row length at the highest (150 kg ha⁻¹) seeding rate. Kalita and Choudhary (1984), Sarkar and Torofder (1992), Ahmed et al. (1995), Kumpawat (1998), Rosy (2003) and Mekonnen (2020) all reported comparable findings for wheat.

Spikelength (cm)

Significantly more spike length was recorded in cross sowing at (23x23cm) compared to all other sowing patterns followed by (15 x 15 cm) spacing. It could be on account of vigorous growth of plants due to more uniform distribution of plants per unit area which resulted in proper utilization of nutrients, water, air and sunlight in cross sowing. Normal sowing of 23 cm and closer sowing at 15 cm row spacing produced more or less similar spikes and remained at par with each other. Prasad et al. (1991), Kler and Bains (1992), Parihar and Singh (1995), Sharma and Angrias (1996), Kumpawat (1998) and Pandey and Kumar (2005) and reported similar results.

Seed rate did not affect the spike length significantly. Singh and Singh (1984), Sarkar and Torofder (1992), Singh et al. (1995) and Pandey et al. (1999) reported similar results in wheat.

Number of spikelets per spike

Significantly more spikelets per spike were recorded in cross sowing at (23x23cm) compared to all other sowing patterns followed by (15 x 15 cm) spacing. This was due to more spike length. Normal sowing of 23 cm and closer sowing at 15 cm row spacing produced more or less similar number of spikelets per spikes and remained at par with each other. Sharma and Angrias (1996), Sharma and Malik (1993), Jat and Singhi (2004) and Terfa (2020) reported similar results.

Different seed rates did not affect the number of spikelets per spike significantly. Singh and Singh (1984) and Pandey et al. (1999) reported similar results in wheat.

Table: 1 Effect of sowing pattern (cm) and seed rate (kg/ha) on plant height

Treatments	Plant height (cm)					
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS	150 DAS	At Harvest
Sowing pattern (cm)						
15	5.9	14.8	31.5	85.9	119.0	119.1
23	6.2	15.0	32.6	88.0	121.9	122.2
15 x 15	6.1	14.9	32.7	88.4	122.0	122.6
23 x 23	6.3	15.2	33.3	90.4	125.3	125.5
SE ±	0.09	0.15	0.23	0.78	0.98	0.99
CDat 5 %	NS	NS	0.66	2.24	2.81	2.83
Seed rate (kg/ha)						
100	6.1	14.9	32.2	87.0	121.6	121.6
120	6.1	15.0	32.6	88.6	122.2	122.2
140	6.1	15.0	32.8	88.9	122.5	123.3
SE ±	0.08	0.13	0.20	0.68	0.85	0.83
CDat 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table: 2 Effect of sowing pattern (cm) and seed rate (kg/ha) on various plant growth and yield attributes

Treatments	Number of shoots/m ² after complete emergence	50 percent heading stage	Leaf area index	Spike/m ²	Spike length (cm)	Number of spikelets per spike	Number of grains per spike	Biological yield (q/ha)	Raw seed yield (q/ha)	Graded seed yield (q/ha)	Seed recovery (%)	Harvest index
Sowing pattern (cm)												
15	131.8	124.7	4.3	102.5	10.3	19.1	57.1	96.7	29.2	23.3	79.6	0.30
23	131.3	124.3	4.6	105.5	10.4	19.1	57.6	99.8	30.8	25.6	83.2	0.31
15 x 15	132.8	124.3	4.9	109.5	10.7	19.5	58.3	104.7	31.6	26.7	84.7	0.30
23 x 23	133.5	125.6	5.3	113.2	11.1	20.0	61.0	107.7	32.3	28.4	87.7	0.30
SEm±	0.81	0.51	0.08	0.95	0.10	0.10	0.22	0.44	0.15	0.12	0.43	0.00
CD at 5%	NS	NS	0.22	2.73	0.28	0.28	0.64	1.27	0.42	0.35	1.22	NS
Seed rate (kg/ha)												
100	119.1	124.7	4.5	103.3	10.6	19.3	58.2	100.0	29.8	25.0	83.8	0.30
120	132.9	124.8	4.8	107.3	10.7	19.4	58.4	102.5	30.9	25.9	83.9	0.30
140	145.0	124.6	5.1	112.5	10.7	19.6	58.6	104.1	32.3	27.1	83.8	0.31
SEm±	0.71	0.44	0.07	0.83	0.09	0.09	0.19	0.38	0.13	0.11	0.37	0.00
CD at 5%	2.02	NS	0.19	2.36	NS	NS	NS	1.10	0.37	0.31	NS	NS

Effect of sowing pattern and seed rate on yield attributes

Number of grains per spike

Significantly more number of grains per spike was recorded in cross sowing at (23 x 23 cm) compared to all other sowing patterns followed by (15 x 15 cm) spacing. This was due to more spike length and spikelets per spike. Normal sowing of 23 cm and closer sowing at 15 cm row spacing remained at par with each other. Kler and Bains (1992), Sharma and Malik (1993), Kumpawat (1998), Jat and Singhi (2004), Pandey and Kumar (2005) and Bakh et al. (2007) reported similar results.

Different seed rates did not affect the number of grains per spike significantly. Sarkar and Torofder (1992) and Pandey et al. (1999) reported similar results.

Biological yield (q/ha)

Cross sowing (23 x 23 cm) gave significantly higher biological yield over other sowing patterns. Similarly, Cross-sowing (15 x 15 cm) gave significantly higher biological yield than the line sowing. An improvement in biological yield under cross-sowing appears to be due to vigorous growth of the plants which resulted in higher biomass production due to better plant height and LAI. Normal sowing at 23 cm produced significantly more biological yield than closer sowing at 15 cm. Kler (1988), Kaur et al. (2001a) and Hussian et al. (2003) reported similar results.

The biological yield increased significantly with successive increase in the seed rate from 100 to 140 kg/ha. This could be because of higher plant population with increase in seed rate. Khan et al. (2000), Rosy (2003) and Tigabu and Asfaw (2016) reported similar results.

Raw seed yield (q/ha)

Significantly higher seed yield was recorded from cross sowing (23 x 23 cm) over sowing with (15 x 15 cm). Cross sowing gave significantly higher raw seed yield as compared to line sowing. Normal sowing at 23 cm produced significantly more raw seed yield than closer sowing at 15 cm. The reason for this increase in seed yield was due to more number of shoots per unit area, more number of spikes, more number of spikelets per spike and more number of grains per spike. Kler (1988), Jain et al. (1989), Prasad et al. (1991), Kler and Bains (1992), Jadho and Nalamwar (1993), Sharma and Malik (1993), Singh et al. (1993), Angiras and Sharma (1996), Kaure et al. (2001a),

Kauretal.(2001)b,JatandSinghi(2004),Bakhetal.(2007), PandeyandDwivedi(2007) and Terfa (2020)alsoreportedsimilar results.

The raw seed yield increased significantly with increase in the seed rate up to 140 kg/ha. This could be because of higher plant population with increase in seed rate. Kalita and Choudhary (1984), Singh et al. (1985), Samra and Dhillon (1987), Kumpawat (1988), Pawar et al. (1988), Khare et al. (1989), Mahajan et al. (1991), Naik et al. (1991), Sarkar and Torofder (1992), Sharma and Malik (1993), Singh et al. (1993), Behera (1995), Parihar and Singh (1995), Khan et al. (2000), Baloch et al. (2010), Hussain et al. (2010), Worku (2008) and Terfa (2020) also reported similar results. However, Bhargava and Shekhawat (1981) in wheat reported that different seed rates had no significant effect on seed yield.

Graded seed yield (q/ha)

Trend for graded seed yield was similar as reported for raw seed yield. Cross sowing (23x23cm) method gave significantly higher grain yield over cross sowing at (15 x 15 cm), normal sowing at 23cm and closer sowing at 15cm row spacing. Differences among the latter treatments were also significant and yield decreased in the same order. Cross sowing (23 x 23 cm) planting pattern is showing 10.94% increase in graded seed yield over normal sowing at 23cm, 6.37% increase with cross sowing at (15 x 15 cm) and 21.89% increase over closer spacing at 15 cm. Cross sowing at (15x15cm) is showing 4.3% increase in graded seed yield over normal sowing at 23cm and 14.59% increase over closer spacing at 15cm. However, closer spacing at 15cm is showing 8.98% decrease in graded seed yield over normal sowing at 23 cm.

The seed yield increased significantly with increase in the seed rate up to 140 kg/ha. It was because of higher raw seed yield obtained under respective treatment. 140 kg/ha seed rate is showing 8.4% increase in graded seed yield over 100kg/ha and 4.63% increase in yield over 120kg/ha. Seed rate of 120 kg/ha is showing 3.6% increase in graded yield over 100kg/ha.

Seed recovery (%)

It is evident from the given table that sowing pattern affected seed recovery percentages significantly. High seed recovery percentage was recorded with cross sowing (23x23cm) over cross sowing at (15 x 15 cm), normal sowing at 23 cm and sowing at 15 cm row spacing because of bold seeds obtained due to better input and available resources utilization. The recovery was significantly lowest at 15 cm normal sowing while difference due to 23 cm normal and cross sowing at (23 x 23 cm) was

not significant. No significant difference was observed in seed recovery percentage due to increase in seed rates.

Harvest index

Perusal of data revealed that different sowing pattern and seed rates had no significant effect on harvest index of wheat. Lal and Bhardwaj (1982) reported similar results.

Table:3 Interaction effect of sowing pattern and seed rate on graded seed yield (q/ha)

Sowing pattern (cm)	Seed rate (kg/ha)		
	100	120	140
15	22.65	23.40	23.70
23	25.33	25.55	26.03
15 x 15	25.38	27.18	27.68
23 x 23	26.60	27.5	31.05
SE \pm CD	0.21		
5%	0.61		

Interaction effect

The interaction effect of sowing pattern and seed rate on graded seed yield was significant. Comparison of seed yield due to different seed rates at each of the sowing pattern recorded that at closer sowing of wheat at 15 cm row spacing or cross sowing (15 x 15 cm) difference between seed yield at 120 and 140 kg/ha was not significant but it was significantly higher over 100 kg/ha. Whereas in normal sowing of wheat at 23 cm, seed yield was significantly higher at 140 kg/ha over the lower seed rates of 100 and 120 kg/ha, the difference between the latter being non-significant. In cross sowing at 23x23cm, seed yield increased consistently and significantly with increasing seed rate from 100 to 140 kg/ha. Comparison of sowing pattern at each seed rate exhibited interesting results. At lower seed rate of 100 kg/ha, difference in graded seed yield due to cross sowing at 15 x 15 cm and normal sowing at 23 cm was statistically same, but it was significantly highest with cross sowing at 23 x 23 cm and significantly lowest at 15 cm normal sowing. At 120 kg/ha yield trends were similar but difference between the cross sown plots at

15x15cm and 23x23cm was not significant, while it was significantly lower at 15cm normal row spacing. At highest seed rate of 140kg/ha and a consistent and significant increase in graded seed yield was recorded in the order of 15 cm, 23 cm, 15 x 15 cm and 23 x 23 cm sowing pattern. Consequently, significantly highest graded seed yield was recorded with cross sowing at 23x23

UNDER PEER REVIEW

cmusing 140kg/ha. However, differences due to normal sowing at 23cm row spacing with 140kg/ha and cross sowing at 15x 15 cm and 23x 23 cm was not significant.

Conclusion

From the results it can be concluded that criss cross sowing (23 x 23 cm) with 140 kg per hectare is the best combination for quality seed production of wheat.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

References

- Ahmad G, Shah P and Bari A. 1995. Effect of different seed rates on the yield and yield components of wheat cultivar Pirsabak-85. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture* 11:569-573.
- Angiras NN and Sharma V. 1996. Influence of row orientation, row spacing and weed control methods on physiological performance of irrigated wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 41:41-47.
- Anonymous. 2021. Agricultural Statistics. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi.
- Bakht J, Qamer Z, Shafi M, Akber H, Rahman M, Ahmad N and Khan MJ. 2007. Response of different wheat varieties to various row spacing. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture* 23:839-845.
- Baloch MS, Shah, Nadim MA, Khan MI and Khakwani AA. 2010. Effect of seeding density and planting time on growth and yield attributes of wheat. *The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences* 20:239-240.
- Bavec M, Vukovic K, Grobelnik MS, Rozman C and Bavec F. 2007. Leaf area index in winter wheat: response on seed rate and nitrogen application by different varieties. *Journal of Central European Agriculture* 8(3): 337-342.
- Behera AK. 1995. Effect of seed rate, row spacing and fertilizer on wheat. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 40:510-511.

- Bhargava SS and Shekhawat GS. 1981. Effect of nitrogen, row spacing and seed rate on the yield of triple dwarf wheat. *Agricultural Sciences* 1:97-99.
- Hussain I, Khan MA and Ahmad K. 2003. Effect of row spacing on the grain yield and yield component of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Pakistan J. Agron.* 2:153-59.
- Hussain I, Khan MA and Hayatullah K. 2010. Effect of seed rates on the agro-physiological traits of wheat. Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Gomal University, Dera Ismail Khan – Pakistan.
- Jadhao SL and Nalamwar RV. 1993. Response of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) genotype to planting method and manual weeding. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 38:382-385.
- Jat LN and Singhi SM. 2004. Growth, yield attributes and yields of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under different planting pattern or cropping systems and varieties. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 49:111-113.
- Kalita P and Choudhary AK. 1984. Effect of varieties, seed sizes and seed rates on the yield of wheat. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 29:287-290.
- Kalpna A, Prusty P and Mukhopadhyay SK. 2014. Performance of wheat genotypes under different row spacing in new alluvial zone of west Bengal. *Journal of Crop and Weed* 10:480-483.
- Kaur G, Kler DS and Singh S. 2001a. Effect of planting techniques at high nitrogen nutrition on tiller count, PAR interception, soil temperature, grain yield and yield attributing characters of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Environment and Ecology* 19:313-319.
- Kaur G, Kler DS and Singh S. 2001b. Relationship of height, lodging score and silica content with the grain yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under different planting techniques at high nitrogen nutrition. *Environment and Ecology* 19:412-417.
- Khan H, Khan MA, Hussain I, Khan Z, Khattak MK. 2000. Effect of sowing methods and seed rates on grain yield and yield components of wheat variety Pak-81. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* 3:1177-1179.
- Khare JP, Usrahe KP, Pandey RP, Singh Rohan and Namdeo KH. 1989. Response of wheat varieties to soil moisture regimes and seed rates under late-sown rainfed conditions. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 34:75-79.
- Kler DS and Bains DS. 1992. Effect of sowing patterns on growth, development, microclimate and yield of Durum wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Environment and Ecology* 10:499-506.
- Kler DS. 1988. Better use of solar energy for improving crop yield through bidirectional sowing.

- Kumpawat BS. 1998. Response of late sown wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) to sowing method and seed rate. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 43:650-652.
- Lal RB and Bhardwaj RBL. 1982. Effect of row spacing on the yield of wheat varieties. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 27:276-281.
- Mahajan AK, Dubey DP, Namdeo KN and Shukla ND. 1991. Response of late sown wheat to seed rates and seed soaking sprouting. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 36:288-291.
- Mekonnen A. 2017. Effects of Seeding Rate and Row Spacing on Yield and Yield Components of Bread Wheat (*Triticum Aestivum L.*) in Gozamin District, East Gojam Zone, Ethiopia. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare* 7(4): 19-37.
- Merwin HD and Peech M. 1950. Exchangeability of soil potassium in sand, silt and clay fractions influenced by the rupture of complementary exchangeable cations. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.* 15:125-128.
- Naik PL, Patel BA and Kalaria KK. 1991. Response of wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) varieties to sowing date and seed rate. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 36:225-226.
- Pandey IB and Dwivedi DK. 2007. Effect of planting pattern and weed control methods on weed growth and performance of wheat (*Triticumaestivum*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 52:235-238.
- Pandey IB and Kumar K. 2005. Response of wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) to seeding methods and weed management. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 50:48-51.
- Pandey IB, Thakur SS, and Singh SK. 1999. Response of timely sown wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) varieties to seed rate and fertility level. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 44:745-749.
- Parihar GN and Singh R. 1995. Response of wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) genotype to seed rate and sowing method under Western Rajasthan conditions. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 40:97-98.
- Pawar VS, Joshi AC and Umrani NK. 1988. Effect of method of sowing, fertilizer and seed rate on wheat. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 33:276-278.
- Prasad K, Singh P and Prakash V. 1991. Response of irrigated wheat to planting methods, seed and fertilizer levels. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 36:44-48.
- Rosy. 2003. Studies on seed rates, methods of sowing and FYM application on late sown rainfed wheat. M.Sc. thesis submitted to HPKVV, Palampur.
- Subbiah BV and Asija GL. 1956. Arapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen

insoils.*CurrentScience* 25(1):259-260.

- Samra JS and Dhillon SS. 1993. Effect of seed rate and nitrogen level on new genotypes (PBW154and PBW222)of wheat(*Triticumaestivum*).*Indian JournalofAgronomy*38:111-112.
- Sarkar S and Torofder MGS. 1992. Effect of date of sowing and seed rate on wheat (*Triticumaestivum*)under rainfed condition. *IndianJournalofAgronomy* 37:352-354.
- Sharma RP and Malik CVS. 1993. Effect of seed rate, nitrogen and sowing method on yield oflate-sownwheat(*Triticumaestivum*).*Indian JournalofAgronomy*38:289-291.
- Sharma RR. 1986. Studies on seed rates, row spacing and soil moisture conservation practices onrainfedWheat(*Triticumaestivum*).Ph.D thesissubmittedtoHPKVV,Palampur.
- Sharma V and Angiras NN. 1996. Effect of row orientations, row spacings and weed controlmethodsonlightinterception,canopytemperatureandproductivityofwheat(*Triticumaestivum*).*IndianJournalofAgronomy* 41:390-396.
- Singh G, Singh OP, Yadav RV and Singh RS. 1993. Response of wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) toplantingmethods,seedratesandfertilityinlatesownconditions.*IndianJournalofAgronomy*38:195-199.
- SinghHandSinghR.1984.Effectofnitrogenandseedrateonwheat.*IndianJournalofAgronomy*29:129-130.
- Singh S, Matzen R and Pedersen TT. 1985. The effect of seed rates and sowing machines on thegrowth,yieldandyieldcomponents ofspringwheat.*IndianJournalofAgronomy*30:55-58.
- Singh V, Singh RP, panwar KS. 1995. Response of wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) to seed rate anddateof sowing.*Indian JournalofAgronomy* 40:697-699.
- Terfa K, Abera T and Nagasa D. 2020. Influence of Seed Rates and Sowing Methods on Yield and Yield Components of Bread Wheat (*Triticumaestivum* L.) Varieties in AbbayChommen District, Western Oromia, Ethiopia. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Science* 20 (4): 275-294.
- TigabuRandAsfawF.2016.Effectsofseedrateandrowspacingonyieldandyieldcomponentsof bread wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) in DalboAwtaruWoreda, Wolaita Zone, Southern Ethiopia.*JournalofBiology, Agricultureand Healthcare*7:19-37.
- TripathiP.1988.Studies onseedrateandNrequirementofrainfedwheat(VL-616).M.Sc.thesissubmittedto HPKVV, Palampur.
- Worku A. 2008. Effects of nitrogen and seed rates on yield and yield components of bread

wheat (*Triticumaestivum L.*) in yelmanadensa district, northwestern Ethiopia. M.Sc. Thesis.
The School of Graduate Studies of Haramaya University. Harar, Ethiopia.

UNDER PEER REVIEW