

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102512
Title of the Manuscript:	Disaster Risk Profile analysis for better decision on climate financing Instrument in Mauritania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. YES, manuscript can support the disaster management authority of the country</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. No</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subsections of the manuscript is not satisfactory. Author must need to change it, such as he/she must remove the word /heading method, instead of method heading author should write the literature review and then inside the review try to start with some concepts regarding topic/disaster and then sequentially try to come each topic which are mentioned inside the heading method. Author should mention the existing vulnerabilities before discussion heading. After adding all sub headings/ collected material with references, author should simply discuss each observation inside the discussion heading and then write the conclusion. Author should understand that discussion always consist on the material which are included in the manuscript portion such as reviewed data, qualitative data ore quantitative data ore from other data/ material he/she has collect for manuscript. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	NA	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sindhi Tevta
Department, University & Country	Shah Abdul Latif University, Pakistan