

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_102474</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Combinative Effect of Liquid Organic Manures and Spraying Schedule on Growth and Yield of Cowpea Under Natural Farming</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript has both scientific and practical relevance, since only healthy soils can grow healthy plants.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes. But references should be reviewed.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>I think, it is appropriate.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It would be necessary to explain the Zaid season, because the magazine is different. The unit of measure ml/lit should be considered, because it is either ml/l or ml/lit, etc. (consistency). It is not clear what the liter refers to. Water? I think it's water because the paper is about spraying. The word 'detoxify' is mentioned in the Introduction, but the term is not correct here, because it is not a matter of extracting toxins, but rather of not burdening the soils any further. Organic matter is also mentioned, but of course this also has application limits. Until what plant phase can you spray (carry out the treatments)? Consider the foliage spray. Some plant photos would illustrate the treatment results. RBD could be briefly explained in the Materials and Methods chapter. Were the weather conditions the same as in previous years? Anonymous is not appropriate for a scientific publication. Suemitsu et al. not listed in References. Patel (2012) is in the text, but References 2013. Pratik et al. (2020) is not included in the References. What about Devakumar, Gomez, Selvaraj literature? No citations found. The Conclusion chapter is too short.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Valeria Nagy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Szeged, Hungary</b>