

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102426
Title of the Manuscript:	VARIABILITY IN PHYSICO-CHEMICAL FRUIT QUALITY IN DESSERT TYPE OF JACKFRUIT (<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i> Lam.) GENOTYPES LOCATED IN FOUR DISTRICTS OF KARNATAKA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The article would benefit from a more comprehensive literature review, particularly on previous studies related to jackfruit variability and clonal selection. ➤ Providing a more detailed discussion on the implications of the findings and their potential impact on jackfruit cultivation and breeding programs would enhance the practical relevance of the study. ➤ Must introduce more recent references. All of the references are very old. ➤ More than two keyword not corrected 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Correct the language	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Check and follow author guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thamer Mouhi Jasiem
Department, University & Country	Al-Karkh University of Science, Iraq