

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_101654
Title of the Manuscript:	Nature's Entropy-Lowering Processes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaari.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, It is suitable for scientific community</p> <p>Yes, Title of the article is suitable</p> <p>Yes, This article is very much article comprehensive</p> <p>Yes, The sub-section of this article are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, This manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes, the references submitted with the paper are sufficient and I found recent years references</p> <p>The author discussed about the challenges of reducing entropy, how gravitational aggregation effect entropy, thermal neutron structure-dimension.</p> <p>The discussion about entropy lowering pressure is good</p> <p>The discussion about background mechanism of gravity, summary of the article, implication for cosmology is good.</p> <p>The methodology chosen in the article is good and acceptable.</p> <p>The end the discussion of results so comprehensive and good.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Good communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Excellent and acceptable</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Santhikumar Rajamahanthi
Department, University & Country	Aditya Institute of Technology and Management, India