

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_102732
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of young girls' menstrual attitudes on dysmenorrhea
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It has an average impact . The study only studies the attitude of females on dysmenorrhoea. There are various Physiological ,Pathological factors which effect menstrual cycle and leading to dysmenorrhoea.</p> <p>Can be reframed using "Exploring the Impact of Young Girls' Menstrual Attitudes on Dysmenorrhea: A cross-sectional study"</p> <p>Aim needs to be reframed.</p> <p>Results need to be written clearly. It does give any message or conclude any findings</p> <p>Graphical representations of the results and findings would give clear message for the readers.Need not write so many details . The results need to crisp and brief.</p> <p>On an average score it is correct</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Few of the participants are under 18 years , The parents consent needs to be taken for the minor participants and mentioned in the study.</p> <p>The study does not add much to the scientific knowledge .</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayesha Juhi
Department, University & Country	All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India