

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_102448
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Hyperparathyroidism Presenting as Pancreatitis : Retrospective Study and Review of Literature
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrre.com/index.php/AJRRE/editorial-p>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>YES .It is important for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes.the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>Yes .the abstract of the article Is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes .subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate</p> <p>Yes . I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>NO. The references are not up-to-date, references of the last 10 years should be cited, please cite last 10 years references ,especially references for the last 5 years.</p> <p><b><u>Apart from above mentioned 6 points, there are additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p> <p>1.the part of discussion is not well discussed combined with results and references and should make some modifications.</p> <p>2.The language is not fluent ,suggesting that it should be edited by an English native editor.</p> <p>3.The references are not up-to-date, references of the last 10 years should be cited, please cite last 10 years references ,especially references for the last 5 years.</p> <p>4.The tables should use the format of 3-line table, please revise the format of the tables to 3-line table, especially Table2,and table1.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is not fluent ,suggesting that it should be edited by an English native editor</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Please make large revisions, especially in the part of discussion, references and language-editing. After making large revisions, the paper may be considered for publication.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Changping Li</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Southwest Medical University, China</b>