

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_102441
Title of the Manuscript:	PREDICTING STUDENTS' PERFORMANCE USING MACHINE LEARNING ALGORITHMS: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**l a c k o f N o v e l t y**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Fair</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>No. It is suggested that the authors add the number of recently published articles (1 to 3 years old) reviewed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Good enough</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>* Abstract subsection generally contains the research background, objective, method, and findings (explicitly). Therefore, you need to add research method and research results (explicitly) in the Abstract subsection. Please add it.</p> <p>* In the Introduction subsection: Abstract subsection generally contains the research background, objective, method, and findings (explicitly). Therefore, you need to add research method and research results (explicitly) in the Abstract subsection. Please add it.</p> <p>* In the Introduction subsection: After discussing the gap analysis, the authors must also explicitly state the purpose of the research being conducted. Please add.</p> <p>* For Literature Review content: It is better if the contents of the discussion in the Literature Review sub-section are moved to the contents of the introduction sub-section, while the contents of the discussion in the Related Works sub-section are moved to the Literature Review sub-section, then delete the Related Works sub-heading.</p> <p>* The Related Works: It is suggested that the authors add the number of recently published articles (1 to 3 years old) reviewed. Please add it.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>* Findings subsection: Generally, the main part of a scientific article consists of subsections/subtitles: Introduction, Related works (Literature review), research methodology, results and discussion, and conclusion. Please adjust your article writing by following the standard article structure. Or please follow the format of the article you are aiming for. Note: In the Conclusion subsection, the authors describe the research findings. The author must also mention the research results' implications and suggestions for further research. Please complete it.</p> <p>* The contents in Table 2 should be not empty, there must be something in it. For example, in the accuracy column in Table 2, there is a column that is empty for accuracy data. If the research does not test accuracy, then write "no accuracy test". Likewise, in the Attributes column of the data set, if the research does not find any attributes from the data set, include the sentence "no data set attributes" or other similar sentences.</p> <p>* In the Conclusion subsection, the authors not only describe the research findings but also mention the research results' implications and provide suggestions for further research on the shortcomings of the research carried out. Please complete it</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Anggrawan
Department, University & Country	Bumigora University, Indonesia