

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_102910
Title of the Manuscript:	CHITOSAN APPLICATION IN AQUATIC FEED AND ITS IMPACT ON FISH AND SHRIMP PRODUCTIVITY
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. No. Abstract should be well structured to include the summary of the result of authors findings as regarding the overall contribution of chitosan in fish and shrimp production resulting in waste reduction environmental improvement. The Abstract looks more like ordinary explanation of chitosan</p> <p>4. The structure should be reorganized to include literature review section, method of analysing findings to draw result and conclusion. And from the introduction "Shrimp and crabs have shells that comprise a substantial proportion (50-60%) of their total weight, containing valuable components such as chitin (20-30% content)" and " In the case of shrimp, the shell waste and shrimp heads from the annual export of 91,176 tonnes amount to approximately 36,470 tons, while crab processing yields a waste volume roughly half that of shrimp" The Author should reference the two sentences in the beginning of introduction since figures are quoted if it is not authors own research reported on this manuscript.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chinedu James Ujam
Department, University & Country	Federal University Otuoke, Nigeria