

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_102388
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of Sensory Evaluation and Functional Properties of Therapeutic Bar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>The article is of interest to scientists and clinicians who focus on ancient traditions (the emphasis in the article is on the dietary supplement "chyavanprash". The usefulness of chyavanprash for health promotion has been proven by centuries of Indian history.</b></p> <p>2. <b>The Title of the article reflects the content.</b></p> <p>3. <b>The Abstract of the article contains information about all the spices in chyavanprash.</b></p> <p>4. <b>The article structure is correct.</b></p> <p>5. <b>The scientific content of the article is meagre. But the information about the spice's unique qualities is intriguing.</b></p> <p>6. <b>References to scientific articles are correct. The first publications about the spice appeared in 2001 (PubMed). The number of publications is small. Therefore, it's advisable to publish new information about chyavanprash.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of the English language is clear to the Reader.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I assume that the scientific article is written by authors who have little experience in scientific publications. However, the information about this amazing spice is clearly presented for Scientists and Clinicians.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vladimir Kulchitsky</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Physiology, National Academy of Sciences, Belarus</b>