

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJAEES_102428</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Rice Farmers' Knowledge on Greenhouse Gas Emission (GHG) Mitigation Strategies; New Evidence from Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Scientific article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1-Yes, research is of great importance in the scientific community to document these contributions to raise the level of knowledge of mitigation strategies for greenhouse gas emissions.</p> <p>2-Yes, the title is appropriate</p> <p>3- The abstract may be somewhat incomplete and needs a more comprehensive explanation Or combine the titles included with it and have only one main title, which is (The Abstract).</p> <p>4- Yes, suitable</p> <p>5- The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6- The sources are modern and abundant, you do not need to add, you just need to arrange them according to the alphabet .</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, suitable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>- Conclusion It is preferable to start with the main objective of the actual study and then explain the study design</p> <p>- It is also preferable to specify the period to initiate the search in any month of the year</p> <p>- References should be listed alphabetically at the end of the manuscript. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hawraa Yahya Mohammed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, Iraq</b>