

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_102457
Title of the Manuscript:	Frequency of Red cell alloantibodies among pregnant women receiving Antenatal care in a tertiary health facility in Jos, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalahrj.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	Yes	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is well written and worth of reading. However, there are some minor issue if coorrected which will improve the readability of the manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> It will be important to have line numbers which will make the reviewing process suitable. On the abstract section, Place and Duration of Study sub-section, the sentence "Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology and Department of Haematology and Blood Transfusion, Jos University Teaching Hospital (JUTH) Jos Nigeria April 2017 and May 2017." Should be paraphrase to read as « The study was conducted at Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology and Department of Haematology and Blood Transfusion, Jos University Teaching Hospital (JUTH) Jos Nigeria from April 2017 to May 2017». And also please indicate the start and end dates. In the methods section, the number of participants stated in text and figure are not similar. Please look for other comments on the manuscript supplied with track changes. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Woldeteklehaymanot Kassahun Dagne
Department, University & Country	Woldia University, Ethiopia