

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_102412
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of amphipods (<i>Grandierella megnae</i>) density on the growth and survival rate of mangrove crab (<i>Scylla tranquebarica</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>[1] Yes it's a good paper and will contribute to the development of agriculture industry.</p> <p>[2] It is suggested that the title to be changed to A REVIEW : Effect of amphipods (<i>Grandierella megnae</i>) density on the growth and survival rate of mangrove crab (<i>Scylla tranquebarica</i>) in (please put the place of study).</p> <p>[3] This is an interesting study of effect of amphipod density on the growth, survival and molting of mangrove crabs. Such study should be continued.</p> <p>[4] When it comes to discussions of the brain, the finding is interesting. The subsection is good.</p> <p>[5] Yes it is correct, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>[6] It is suggested that the writer add more literature review of the subject matter.</p> <p>[7] Please check the capital letters.</p> <p>[8] Please provide clear pictures. Add the picture of subject matter.</p> <p>[9] Please provide location map of the study are if possible.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>[1] Need some paragraph rephrase in some part especially in the methodology section.</p> <p>[2] Please check the spelling especially the scientific name</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is well-written, organised, and of high quality. I would be happy to recommend the manuscript for Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research as it is an example of good study on the subject matter.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eleanor Daniella BintiLokman
Department, University & Country	Malaysia