

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_100999
Title of the Manuscript:	QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE PHYTOCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF LEAF EXTRACTS OF Mimosa pudica (Mimosaceae).
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	The topic is part of the researchers' concerns to bring scientific data regarding the use of medicinal plants Yes Yes Yes It is necessary to add the following data: The origin of the plant material, when it was collected (year, month) The concentration of alcohol In the case of infusion, how much is infused What does it represent in Table I, mass after extraction? What kind of yield did you calculate? What is the unit of measure for the concentration of primary and secondary metabolites contained in the leaves Results and discussions lack correlations, graphs and explanations For the bibliography you need recent data for the last 3 years	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriela Tatarina
Department, University & Country	UMF, Romania