

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_103243
Title of the Manuscript:	CAPACITY FOR ACCIDENT RISK PREDICTION THROUGH COMPUTERIZED ASSESSMENT OF READINESS FOR WORK (FOCOS/PRONTOS SYSTEM): Study of a series of rare cases
Type of the Article	Case reports

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The paper is an indicative analysis of the predictive capabilities of the system and is able to throw light on the same aptly.</p> <p>The title is a little lengthy. The author could try keeping it short</p> <p>Abstract is fine</p> <p>The manuscript may be placed under specific headings which may include Introduction, Objectives, Methodology, Analysis and conclusion</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is prepared well to meet the publication standard</p> <p>More recent references should be incorporated</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the article is prepared in the research language	
Optional/General comments	The author could have added a few research articles/ white papers as literature review	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hema Harsha
Department, University & Country	MP Birla Institute of Management, India