

## **Original Research Article**

### **Study on Physico-Chemical Properties Of Value Added Guava Toffee During Storage (*Psidium guajava L.*)**

#### **Abstract**

The present experiment was carried out during June 2022 to September 2022 in post-harvest laboratory of Department of Horticulture, SHUATS, Prayagraj. The experiment was conducted in (CRD) completely randomized design, with ten treatments which were replicated thrice. The treatments were T<sub>0</sub>: (Blanching) Control, T<sub>1</sub>: (Blanching) Sugar 70%+Chocolate 10% + Mango 0.5%, T<sub>2</sub>: (Blanching) Sugar 70%+chocolate 10% + Strawberry 0.5%, T<sub>3</sub>: (Blanching) Sugar 70%+chocolate 10% +Orange 0.5%, T<sub>4</sub>: (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5%, T<sub>5</sub>: (Sulphitation) control, T<sub>6</sub>: (Sulphitation) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Mango 0.5%, T<sub>7</sub>: (Sulphitation) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +strawberry 0.5%, T<sub>8</sub>: (Sulphitation) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Orange 0.5%, T<sub>9</sub>: (Sulphitation) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5%. The Guava toffee was stored for 45 days at ambient temperature. From the present investigation it is found that treatment T<sub>4</sub> is superior in respect of physico-chemical parameters like total soluble solids, acidity, ascorbic acid, P<sup>H</sup>, total sugar. Treatment T<sub>4</sub> is also found superior in organoleptic scoring of Guava toffee. In terms of benefit cost ratio the net return, was also found T<sub>4</sub> and minimum was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> in all the parameters.

**Keywords:** *Guava; toffee, physico-chemical properties; economics.*

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Guava (*Psidium guajava L.*) is one of the most important subtropical fruit crops. It belongs to family myrtaceae. Guava is a native tropical America perhaps from Mexico and Peru. It is widely distributed all over the equatorial regions of the tropical and sub-tropical climate. It is commonly called poor man's fruit [1]. It is a big source of Vitamins C, A, B (riboflavin) and minerals like calcium, phosphorus and iron. It contains about 180-300 mg of vit. per 100 g of pulp [2]. Ripe guava fruits contain 14 percent TSS; 0.3 percent acidity and 7 percent fiber. Guava fruits are used for Jam and Jelly making. Its juice is used to blend the pear and peach juice. Guava fruits are used as mixed fruit chats in parties. [3]. It is a big source of Vitamins C, A, B (riboflavin) and minerals like calcium, phosphorus and iron. It contains about

180-300 mg of vit. per 100 g of pulp. Ripe guava fruits contain 14 percent TSS; 0.3 percent acidity and 7 percent fiber. Guava fruits are used for Jam and Jelly and toffee making. [4]. Guava is considered to be one of the exquisite, nutritionally valuable and remunerative crops, bears heavy crop every year and give good economic returns. This has prompted several farmers to take up guava orcharding on a commercial scale. In recent years, guava is gaining popularity in the international trade due to its nutritional value and processed products. Guava is rich source of vitamin A, 250 I.U. and vitamin B ,0.7 mg. niacin 1.2 mg., Vitamin C, 302 mg. Calcium 30mg. phosphorous 29 mg. carbs 17.1 gm., protein 1.0 gm. Calories. The ripe fruit is usually eaten as desert, it can also utilize in many ways for making jellies, jam, paste, juice, toffee, baby food, syrup and other processed products [5].

Fruit toffee are made from pulpy fruit like banana, mango, jackfruit, guava etc. fruit are grown seasonally and are perishable in nature. Fruit preservation technique enable the mankind to enjoy fruit during even off-season fruit toffee are one such product. Fruit toffee are highly nutritious products compared to sugar boiled confectionaries. The prerequisite for this project is availability of fruit all round the year. The state of uttranchal produces many fruit and thus availability round the year would not be a problem. The technology is easy and standardized and the capital cost of the project is also not very high [6].

Among the different products of guava toffee is preferred by all groups of people but standardized recipe of a good quality toffee has not yet been reported. Undoubtedly this product holding all the characteristics of guava will not have a good market value with longer shelf life then its other products [7].

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation entitled “Study on physico-chemical properties of value added guava toffee during storage” was laid out in the Post Harvest Lab Department of Horticulture, Prayagraj for a period of 6 months (Sept 2022-Feb 2023). The treatments were  $T_0$ : (Blanching) Control,  $T_1$ : (Blanching) Sugar 70%+Chocolate 10% + Mango 0.5%,  $T_2$ : (Blanching) Sugar 70%+chocolate 10% + Strawberry 0.5%,  $T_3$ : (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Oragne 0.5%,  $T_4$ : (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5%,  $T_5$ : (Sulphitation) control,  $T_6$ : (Sulphitation) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Mango 0.5%,  $T_7$ : (Sulphitation) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +strawberry 0.5%,  $T_8$ : (Sulphitation) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Orange 0.5%,  $T_9$ : (Sulphitation) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5%.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

TSS of guava toffee was observed to increase continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. The total soluble solid of Guava toffee differed significantly in all the treatments as well as during storage period at 0, 15, 30, and 45 Days. The highest total soluble solid ( $^{\circ}$ Brix) observed was (78.9) with the treatment  $T_9$  (Sulphitation) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5% followed by  $T_4$  (Blanching) Sugar 70%+Chocolate 10%+Pineapple 0.5%, While the lowest total soluble solid ( $^{\circ}$ Brix) observed was (71.6) with the treatment  $T_3$  (Blanching) +sugar 70% +Chocolate 10%+ Orange 0.5%..This findings correlates the findings of Ahmad and Tariq [8], Manivasaganet et al. [9] and Mall and Tandon[10].

PH of was guava toffee observed to decrease continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions lowest pH observed was (3.75) with the treatment  $T_2$  (Blanching) Sugar 70%+chocolate 10% + Strawberry 0.5%, followed by treatment  $T_1$  (Blanching) Sugar 70%+Chocolate 10% + Mango 0.5%, the lowest pH highest was (5.58) with the treatment  $T_4$  (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5%. This findings correlates the findings of Braimwelland Badrie[11], Siddiqui [12]and Khushbu et al. [13].

Acidity of guava toffee was observed to decrease continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions lowest acidity (%) observed was (0.18) with the treatment  $T_1$  (Blanching) Sugar 70%+Chocolate 10% + Mango 0.5%,  $T_0$  (Blanching) Control,  $T_3$  (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Oragne 0.5%, While the maximum acidity (%) observed was (.288)  $T_6$  (Sulphitation) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Mango 0.5%This findings correlates the findings of Rathoreet al.[14]and Khushbuet al.[13].

Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) of guava toffee was observed to decrease continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. maximum ascorbic acid (mg/100g) observed was (19.02) with the treatment  $T_4$  (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5%,  $T_3$  (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Oragne 0.5%,  $T_2$  (Blanching) Sugar 70%+chocolate 10% + Strawberry 0.5%. While the lowest ascorbic acid (mg/100g) observed was (13.87) with the treatment  $T_0$  (Blanching) Control. Similar results were reported by Daisy and Gehlot [15] in Aonla preserve and Neelesh (2014) in papaya candy.

Reducing sugar (%) of guava toffee was observed to increase continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. maximum reducing sugar observed was (10.30) with the treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Blanching) Control, followed by treatment, T<sub>1</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+Chocolate 10% + Mango 0.5%, T<sub>5</sub> (Sulphitation) control, While the maximum Reducing sugar (%) observed was (14.50) with the treatment T<sub>4</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5%. Similar results were reported by Daisy and Gehlot [15] in Aonla preserve.

Non-reducing sugar (%) of guava toffee was observed to increase continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. lowest non-reducing sugar observed was (6.1) with the treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control followed by treatment, T<sub>5</sub> (Sulphitation) control, T<sub>1</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+Chocolate 10% + Mango 0.5% While the minimum Non-Reducing sugar (%) observed was (3.89) with the treatment T<sub>4</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5%. Non-reducing sugar in any food commodity plays important role in deciding its shelf life. Usually, high sugar content makes the moisture unavailable for the growth of microorganisms, thus improves the shelf life of food. Similar results were reported by Daisy and Gehlot [15] in Aonla preserve.

Total sugar (%) of guava toffee was observed to increase continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. maximum total sugar maximum total sugar (%) observed was (18.39) with the treatment T<sub>4</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5%, followed by treatment, T<sub>8</sub> (Sulphitation) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Orange 0.5%, T<sub>2</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+chocolate 10% + Strawberry 0.5% While the lowest total sugar (%) observed was (16.40) with the treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Blanching) Control. Similar results were reported by Krishnaveni et al. (2001) in jack fruit RTS, Jain et al. [16] in papaya cubes.

Colour and Appearance (sensory score) of guava toffee was observed to decrease continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. highest score of colour was noted (8.62) with the T<sub>3</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Oragne 0.5% treatment followed by treatment T<sub>9</sub> (Sulphitation) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5%, While least score of colour was noted (6.7) with the treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control. Colour and in any food commodity plays important role in deciding its market value. colour is an attribute of food quality and loss of colour by osmotic dehydration process is one of the most significant changes. Similar results were reported by Heredia (2004) and Singh et al., (2012) in ber candy.

Flavour (sensory score) of guava toffee was observed to decrease continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. highest score of Flavour was noted (8.63) with the treatment T<sub>4</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5% followed by treatment, T<sub>3</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Oragne 0.5%, T<sub>2</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+Chocolate 10% + Mango 0.5% While least score of Flavour was noted (6.4) with the treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control This findings correlates the findings of Rathoreet al. [14], Shakti et al.[19]and Khushbuet al.[13].

Taste (sensory score) of guava toffee was observed to decrease continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. flavour was noted (8.54) with the treatment T<sub>3</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Oragne 0.5% followed by treatment, T<sub>2</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+chocolate 10% + Strawberry 0.5% While least score of Taste was noted (6.45) with the treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control. This might be due to degradation of volatile substance and flavor constituents. Similar results were reported by Ames [17] and Chavan [18] in Jackfruit products.

Consistency (sensory score) of guava toffee was decrease continuously up to end of research under ambient storage condition the , highest score of Consistency was noted (7.39) with the treatment T<sub>2</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+chocolate 10% + Strawberry 0.5% followed by treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Blanching) Sugar

70%+Chocolate 10% + Mango 0.5%,While least score of Consistency was noted (6.8) with the treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control. The finding correlates the findings of Nidhi, Prasad, V.M. al. [20].

Overall acceptability (sensory score) of guava toffee was observed to increase continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. highest score of overall acceptability was noted (8.54) with the treatment T<sub>3</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Orange 0.5% followed by treatment T<sub>4</sub> (Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5% While least score of overall acceptability was noted (6.4) with the treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control,This findings correlates the findings of Vikram and Singh [21] and Rekha et al.[22].

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 1: Effect of flavour on TSS (<sup>0</sup>Brix), p<sup>H</sup> and Acidity % of guava toffee during storage**

| S. No. | Treatment           | Total soluble solid ( <sup>0</sup> Brix) |              |              |              | pH           |              |              |              | Acidity (%)  |              |              |              |
|--------|---------------------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|        |                     | 0 Day                                    | 15 Days      | 30 Days      | 45 Days      | 0 Day        | 15 Days      | 30 Days      | 45 Days      | 0 Day        | 15 Days      | 30 Days      | 45 Days      |
| 1      | T <sub>0</sub>      | 74.150                                   | 72.963       | 73.700       | 74.041       | 5.047        | 5.092        | 5.135        | 5.793        | 0.226        | 0.225        | 0.233        | 0.195        |
| 2      | T <sub>1</sub>      | 72.883                                   | 73.630       | 74.580       | 74.840       | 4.550        | 4.660        | 5.223        | 5.371        | .189         | 0.256        | 0.247        | 0.243        |
| 3      | T <sub>2</sub>      | 73.103                                   | 74.310       | 74.643       | 74.970       | 3.757        | 4.893        | 5.193        | 5.583        | 0.268        | 0.259        | 0.255        | 0.244        |
| 4      | T <sub>3</sub>      | 71.603                                   | 75.413       | 75.633       | 75.473       | 5.020        | 5.077        | 5.077        | 5.290        | 0.250        | 0.247        | 0.256        | 0.239        |
| 5      | T <sub>4</sub>      | 76.927                                   | 71.713       | 72.517       | 72.843       | 5.589        | 5.329        | 5.239        | 5.679        | 0.263        | 0.257        | 0.251        | 0.267        |
| 6      | T <sub>5</sub>      | 73.573                                   | 72.400       | 72.103       | 71.820       | 5.550        | 5.400        | 5.127        | 5.027        | 0.285        | 0.269        | 0.278        | 0.257        |
| 7      | T <sub>6</sub>      | 74.980                                   | 77.073       | 77.327       | 77.640       | 4.850        | 5.083        | 4.933        | 5.365        | 0.288        | .271         | 0.278        | 0.270        |
| 8      | T <sub>7</sub>      | 73.893                                   | 75.013       | 75.580       | 75.907       | 4.792        | 4.860        | 4.997        | 5.202        | 0.275        | 0.282        | 0.275        | 0.252        |
| 9      | T <sub>8</sub>      | 76.277                                   | 75.000       | 75.680       | 75.567       | 5.280        | 5.070        | 5.367        | 5.771        | 0.274        | 0.271        | 0.261        | 0.226        |
| 10     | T <sub>9</sub>      | 78.990                                   | 76.447       | 76.983       | 76.760       | 4.893        | 5.020        | 5.160        | 5.370        | .250         | 0.264        | 0.249        | 0.210        |
|        | <b>F-Test</b>       | <b>S</b>                                 | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     |
|        | <b>S.E.M</b>        | <b>0.257</b>                             | <b>0.307</b> | <b>0.219</b> | <b>0.200</b> | <b>.220</b>  | <b>0.241</b> | <b>0.249</b> | <b>0.251</b> | <b>0.348</b> | <b>0.256</b> | <b>0.008</b> | <b>0.025</b> |
|        | <b>C.D. at 0.5%</b> | <b>0.763</b>                             | <b>0.913</b> | <b>0.652</b> | <b>0.593</b> | <b>0.654</b> | <b>0.865</b> | <b>5.490</b> | <b>0.634</b> | <b>.032</b>  | <b>.0176</b> | <b>0.025</b> | <b>.0187</b> |

**Table 2: Effect of flavour on Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) Reducing sugar % And Non-reducing sugar % of guava toffee during storage**

| S. No. | Treatment           | Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) |              |              |              | Reducing sugar (%) |              |              |              | Non- reducing sugar (%) |              |              |              |
|--------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|        |                     | 0 Day                   | 15 Days      | 30 Days      | 45 Days      | 0 Day              | 15 Days      | 30 Days      | 45 Days      | 0 Day                   | 15 Days      | 30 Days      | 45 Days      |
| 1      | T0                  | 13.873                  | 13.807       | 13.753       | 13.443       | 10.307             | 11.543       | 12.593       | 13.580       | 6.100                   | 6.125        | 6.867        | 7.062        |
| 2      | T1                  | 17.539                  | 17.363       | 17.297       | 17.307       | 11.203             | 12.690       | 13.690       | 15.570       | 5.400                   | 5.627        | 5.840        | 5.910        |
| 3      | T2                  | 18.113                  | 17.553       | 17.260       | 17.413       | 12.517             | 13.613       | 14.683       | 16.383       | 4.817                   | 4.887        | 5.600        | 5.097        |
| 4      | T3                  | 18.443                  | 18.203       | 18.277       | 18.247       | 13.437             | 14.580       | 15.677       | 16.653       | 4.047                   | 4.790        | 4.723        | 5.783        |
| 5      | T4                  | 19.022                  | 18.567       | 18.233       | 18.323       | 14.507             | 15.653       | 16.727       | 18.447       | 3.892                   | 4.782        | 4.639        | 4.919        |
| 6      | T5                  | 14.757                  | 14.973       | 14.650       | 14.500       | 11.527             | 12.663       | 14.233       | 15.397       | 5.522                   | 5.829        | 5.362        | 5.876        |
| 7      | T6                  | 15.267                  | 15.757       | 14.943       | 14.580       | 11.630             | 12.710       | 13.820       | 15.257       | 5.441                   | 5.771        | 5.746        | 5.994        |
| 8      | T7                  | 16.980                  | 16.043       | 15.357       | 15.913       | 11.947             | 13.350       | 14.490       | 15.620       | 5.167                   | 5.220        | 5.213        | 5.893        |
| 9      | T8                  | 17.287                  | 16.820       | 15.673       | 16.910       | 12.140             | 13.397       | 14.547       | 15.623       | 5.256                   | 5.286        | 5.112        | 5.849        |
| 10     | T9                  | 14.747                  | 14.323       | 14.057       | 13.960       | 11.617             | 12.587       | 13.407       | 14.703       | 4.943                   | 5.700        | 6.053        | 5.740        |
|        | <b>F-Test</b>       | <b>S</b>                | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>           | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>                | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     |
|        | <b>S.EM</b>         | <b>0.329</b>            | <b>0.394</b> | <b>0.380</b> | <b>0.216</b> | <b>0.178</b>       | <b>0.087</b> | <b>0.139</b> | <b>0.118</b> | <b>0.26</b>             | <b>0.135</b> | <b>0.197</b> | <b>0.153</b> |
|        | <b>C.D. at 0.5%</b> | <b>0.976</b>            | <b>1.170</b> | <b>1.128</b> | <b>0.643</b> | <b>0.529</b>       | <b>0.260</b> | <b>0.414</b> | <b>0.351</b> | <b>0.800</b>            | <b>0.402</b> | <b>0.584</b> | <b>0.455</b> |

**Table 3: Effect of flavour on total sugar, colour and flavour of guava toffee during storage**

| S. No. | Treatment           | Total sugar % |              |              |              | Colour       |              |              |              | Flavour      |              |              |              |
|--------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|        |                     | 0 Day         | 15 Days      | 30 Days      | 45 Days      | 0 Day        | 15 Days      | 30 Days      | 45 Days      | 0 Day        | 15 Days      | 30 Days      | 45 Days      |
| 1      | T <sub>0</sub>      | 16.407        | 17.668       | 19.460       | 20.642       | 6.700        | 6.363        | 6.437        | 6.063        | 6.413        | 6.487        | 6.353        | 5.977        |
| 2      | T <sub>1</sub>      | 16.603        | 18.317       | 19.530       | 21.480       | 8.603        | 8.317        | 8.477        | 7.670        | 8.427        | 8.587        | 8.410        | 8.170        |
| 3      | T <sub>2</sub>      | 17.333        | 18.500       | 20.283       | 21.480       | 8.617        | 8.457        | 8.527        | 8.167        | 8.537        | 8.607        | 8.467        | 8.220        |
| 4      | T <sub>3</sub>      | 17.483        | 19.370       | 20.400       | 22.437       | 8.627        | 8.450        | 8.530        | 8.440        | 8.550        | 8.630        | 8.493        | 8.230        |
| 5      | T <sub>4</sub>      | 18.399        | 20.436       | 21.366       | 23.366       | 8.523        | 8.543        | 8.270        | 8.387        | 8.633        | 8.360        | 8.440        | 8.237        |
| 6      | T <sub>5</sub>      | 17.049        | 18.492       | 19.596       | 21.272       | 7.793        | 7.403        | 7.477        | 7.387        | 7.513        | 7.587        | 7.557        | 7.563        |
| 7      | T <sub>6</sub>      | 17.071        | 18.481       | 19.566       | 21.251       | 8.333        | 7.900        | 7.657        | 7.707        | 7.997        | 7.753        | 7.757        | 7.707        |
| 8      | T <sub>7</sub>      | 17.113        | 18.570       | 19.703       | 21.513       | 8.297        | 8.170        | 7.597        | 7.604        | 8.270        | 7.697        | 8.143        | 7.643        |
| 9      | T <sub>8</sub>      | 17.396        | 18.682       | 19.659       | 21.472       | 8.333        | 8.377        | 7.577        | 7.633        | 8.457        | 7.657        | 8.374        | 7.757        |
| 10     | T <sub>9</sub>      | 16.560        | 18.287       | 19.460       | 20.443       | 7.787        | 7.543        | 7.557        | 7.350        | 7.623        | 7.637        | 7.517        | 7.517        |
|        | <b>F-Test</b>       | <b>S</b>      | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     |
|        | <b>S.E.M</b>        | <b>0.193</b>  | <b>0.107</b> | <b>0.116</b> | <b>0.150</b> | <b>0.079</b> | <b>0.084</b> | <b>0.172</b> | <b>0.171</b> | <b>0.130</b> | <b>0.189</b> | <b>0.119</b> | <b>0.115</b> |
|        | <b>C.D. at 0.5%</b> | <b>0.574</b>  | <b>0.319</b> | <b>0.344</b> | <b>0.446</b> | <b>0.235</b> | <b>0.249</b> | <b>0.512</b> | <b>0.509</b> | <b>0.386</b> | <b>0.562</b> | <b>0.353</b> | <b>0.342</b> |

**Table 4: Effect of flavour on score of taste, consistency and overall acceptability and benefit cost ratio of guava toffee during storage.**

| S. No. | Treatment           | Taste        |              |              |              | Consistency  |              |              |              | overall acceptability |              |              |              | B:C Ratio |
|--------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
|        |                     | 0 Day        | 15 Days      | 30 Days      | 45 Days      | 0 Day        | 15 Days      | 30 Days      | 45 Days      | 0 Day                 | 15 Days      | 30 Days      | 45 Days      |           |
| 1      | T0                  | 6.457        | 5.833        | 5.730        | 5.257        | 6.883        | 6.510        | 6.013        | 5.363        | 6.413                 | 6.277        | 5.813        | 5.420        | 1.08      |
| 2      | T1                  | 8.383        | 7.730        | 7.663        | 7.457        | 7.557        | 7.393        | 7.067        | 6.480        | 8.430                 | 8.197        | 7.797        | 7.373        | 1.46      |
| 3      | T2                  | 8.510        | 8.487        | 7.753        | 7.563        | 7.396        | 7.370        | 6.623        | 6.550        | 8.270                 | 8.117        | 8.480        | 7.533        | 1.40      |
| 4      | T3                  | 8.543        | 8.343        | 7.657        | 7.550        | 6.877        | 7.250        | 6.973        | 6.547        | 8.540                 | 8.313        | 8.323        | 7.817        | 1.45      |
| 5      | T4                  | 8.307        | 8.210        | 8.257        | 7.537        | 6.904        | 6.853        | 6.687        | 6.583        | 8.507                 | 8.193        | 8.173        | 8.087        | 1.63      |
| 6      | T5                  | 7.390        | 7.367        | 6.953        | 6.577        | 6.851        | 6.787        | 6.610        | 6.540        | 7.703                 | 7.533        | 7.437        | 7.420        | 1.53      |
| 7      | T6                  | 7.543        | 7.380        | 7.247        | 6.973        | 7.323        | 7.147        | 6.890        | 6.480        | 7.690                 | 7.570        | 7.460        | 7.393        | 1.51      |
| 8      | T7                  | 7.483        | 7.290        | 7.313        | 6.763        | 7.020        | 6.613        | 6.803        | 6.613        | 7.983                 | 7.876        | 7.363        | 7.220        | 1.51      |
| 9      | T8                  | 7.280        | 6.867        | 6.860        | 6.953        | 6.941        | 6.937        | 6.943        | 6.660        | 8.047                 | 7.557        | 7.070        | 7.203        | 1.56      |
| 10     | T9                  | 7.490        | 6.890        | 6.777        | 6.403        | 6.750        | 6.773        | 6.787        | 6.387        | 7.597                 | 7.283        | 6.937        | 6.823        | 1.59      |
|        | <b>F-Test</b>       | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>              | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     | <b>S</b>     |           |
|        | <b>S.E.M</b>        | <b>0.174</b> | <b>0.128</b> | <b>0.103</b> | <b>0.197</b> | <b>0.121</b> | <b>0.174</b> | <b>0.121</b> | <b>0.150</b> | <b>0.112</b>          | <b>0.147</b> | <b>0.148</b> | <b>0.146</b> |           |
|        | <b>C.D. at 0.5%</b> | <b>0.518</b> | <b>0.380</b> | <b>0.305</b> | <b>0.586</b> | <b>0.359</b> | <b>0.517</b> | <b>0.359</b> | <b>0.447</b> | <b>0.332</b>          | <b>0.436</b> | <b>0.440</b> | <b>0.435</b> |           |

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on present investigation, it is concluded treatment T<sub>4</sub> [(Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5%] was best in terms of best recipe with value addition for preparation of papaya candy. The same treatment T<sub>4</sub> [(Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5%] was found best in terms of quality changes in papaya candy during storage. The maximum B:C ratio was observed in T<sub>4</sub> [(Blanching) Sugar 70%+ chocolate 10% +Pineapple 0.5%].

#### REFERENCES

1. AOAC. Official method of Analysis, (17 th ed.). Washington DC, USA, 2002.
2. S. Bhattarai, and R. Kusma, "Preparation and Quality Evaluation of Sugar and Honey Based Beetroot Candies", Sustainability in Food and Agriculture, Vol. 3, No. 1, Pp. 15-18, 2022. <http://doi.org/10.26480/sfna.01.2022.15.18>.
3. T.I. Borokini, "Ethnomedical significance, mineral composition and phytochemicals constituents of Carica Papaya in Oyo state", International Journal of Current Research, Vol. 4, No. 3, Pp. 43-48, 2012. <http://www.journalcra.com>
4. V. Chaudhary, V. Kumar, J. Singh, and R. Kumar, "Effect of Hot Air Oven Drying on the Moisture Kinetics and Drying Rate of Osmo-Dried Papaya (Carica papaya L.) Slices", International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, Vol. 8, No. 2, Pp. 1945-1951, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.802.226>
5. CIHAR Standard. 2017, 11 07. Carbohydrate and sweetness of honey. Retrieved 06 26, 2022, from [https://cdn.agclassroom.org/media/uploads/2017/11/07/Carbohydrates\\_and\\_the\\_Sweetness\\_of\\_Honey.pdf](https://cdn.agclassroom.org/media/uploads/2017/11/07/Carbohydrates_and_the_Sweetness_of_Honey.pdf).
6. A.M. Durrani, P. Srivastava, and S. Verma, "Development and quality evaluation of selected honey based carrot candy", Journal of Food Science and Technology, Vol. 48, No. 4, Pp. 502-505, 2009. <http://hdl.handle.net/10603/57546>
7. FAO-Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations FAOSTAT <http://www.fao.org/faostat/es/#data/QC/visualize>. Accessed 22 Oct 2018, 2016.
8. Ahmad Tariq. Effect of different levels of sugar and citric acid on the physico-chemical properties of Apple jam, Thesis, M.Sc., Horticulture, AAIDU.2004;18.

9. Manivassagan S, Rana GS, KumarS, Joon MS. Qualitative changes in karonda (*Carissa carandus* Linn.) candy during storage at room temperature. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* 2006;35(1&2):19-21.
10. MallP, Tondon DK. Development of guava-aonla blended beverage. *Acta Hort.* 2007;(735):555-560.
11. Braimwell MG, Badric N. Processing and quality evaluation of banana cheese, *J. Food. Sci. & Tech. (Indian)* 2002;39:94-95.
12. Siddiqui AR. Effect of different levels of sugar and citric acid on the physico-chemical properties of pear jam. Thesis, M.Sc. horticulture Fruit Production and PHT AAIDU. 2004;34-35.
13. Khushbu, Vijay Bahadur, Prasad VM, Mishra Saket, Paul V. Study on Preparation and Characterization of Value Added Herbal Beverage of Aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) cv. NA-6. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 2017;6(9):2373-2379.
14. Rathore HA, Sammi TMS, Soomro AH. Effect of storage on physico-chemical composition and sensory properties of mango. *Pk. J. Nutrition.* 2007;6:2,143-148.29.
15. Daisy, Gehlot R. Physical and bio-chemical changes in fresh aonla fruits and preserve of cvs. Banarasi and Chakaiya. *Haryana Journal of Horticulture Sciences.* 2006;35(1):57-9.
16. Jain SK, Verma RC, Mathur AN, Murdia LK. Studies on osmotic dehydration of papaya cubes. *Journal of interacademia.* 2004;8(2):221-9.
17. Ames JM. Browning. *Encyclopedia of Food Science and Nutrition* (2nd). Elsevier; 2003.
18. Chavan UD, Prabhukhanolkar AE, Panwar, V.D. Preparation of osmotic Dehydration ripe banana slices. *Journal of Food Sciences and Technology.* 2010; 47(4):380-6.
19. Shakti Chandra Mondal, Md. Mostafa Kamal, Mustafishak Ali Mumin, Md. Mojaffor Hosain, Md. Rahmat Ali. Effect of Sucrose on the Physicochemical Properties, Organoleptic Qualities and Shelf-Life Stability of Aonla (*Emblica officinalis*) Candy. *IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology (IOSR-JESTFT).* 2017;85-94.
20. Nidhi, Prasad, R, Prasad, V.M. and Sheikh, S. (2011). Development of carrot cheese through value addition with guava, *The Allahabad Farmer.* Vol. LXVI, No. 2, 132-135.
21. Vikram Balaji, Singh Purnima Sikarwar. Studies on Preparation of Value Added Herbal Kinnow –Aonla Beverages (RTS and Squash) during Storage. *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 2018;6(1):758-765.
22. Rekha Kailey, Kajal Dhawan, Prasad Rasane, Jyoti Singh, Sawinder Kaur, Bhanu Pratap Singh, Navneet Kaur, Damanpreet Kaur. Utilization of *Foeniculum vulgare* in herbal candy preparation and analysing its effect on the physico-chemical and sensory properties. *Current Science.* 2019;116:12,25.