

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102893
Title of the Manuscript:	Field efficacy of different insecticides against brinjal shoot and fruit borer, Leucinodes orbonalis (Guenee).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	This manuscript is very important for scientific community because brinjal shoot and fruit borer is very common and serious problem and assessment of field efficacy of different insecticides against this problem is need of the hour. Although the recommendation will come only after its multi-location trials but authors have done good work on area specific efficacy of insecticides. Yes Yes Yes Yes Need more recent reference <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Need to revise/rewrite some paragraphs (as comment given in manuscript) ● Require more recent data of production and productivity. ● Materials and methods - It would be better to mention the soil type and its status. 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is a need to write the article in a slightly more scientific way.	
Optional/General comments	Author should follow the guideline of journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pradeep Kumar Rai
Department, University & Country	SKUAST, India