

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_102780</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of land configuration, seed rates and variety on growth and yield of soybean in Central India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, because and application of experiment to show effect +or- .</p> <p>Exploring the Role of Land Configuration and Seed rates on Yield of Soybean (Central India)</p> <p>No, needed a rewrite It is written in an inappropriate way and unorganized. To some extend</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes , needed to be write in scientific way , e.g. (Aher, S.B., Lakaria, B., Singh, A.B., Kaleshananda, S., Ramana, S., Ramesh, K., Thakur, J.K., Rajput, P.S. and Yashona, D.S.) not appropriate , needed to write like this Aher, S.B., Lakaria, B., Singh, etal ..</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	It is needed to be some editing	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	It is good needed to write recommendations	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hind Bushra Ahmed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Rural Extension Education and Development, Ahfad University for Women, Sudan</b>