

**Molecular characterization of *Salmonella* Species
isolated from animal sources in Southern-Taraba
State, North-East Nigeria.**

ABSTRACT

Aims: To detect the *Salmonella invA* gene from chicken's droppings in Southern Taraba, North East Nigeria. The invasive "invA" gene facilitates the invasive of epithelial cells from *Salmonella* isolates

Study design: This is an experimental study involved 98 *Salmonella* isolates from chicken droppings from poultry farms and domestic chicken coops.

Place and duration of Study: Between November 2021 and May 2023, chicken fecal samples were collected from Wukari, Donga, Ibi omitted here and Takum towns located in Southern Taraba State, North-East Nigeria and analyzed. How many poultry farms were examined in this study? I mean from each town?

Methodology: Boiling method was used to extract the DNA of the 98 (Wukari; 36, Donga; 19, Ibi; 27, and Takum; 16) culturally mention the name of agar used and biochemically identified *Salmonella* isolates, and gene amplification process was done using Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). Using agarose gel electrophoresis, DNA band patterns were visualized showing different patterns and interpreted with the 100 bp DNA ladder.

Results: The results of this study indicate that the *invA* gene was detected in 80.6% (Wukari; 86.1%, Donga; 68.5%, Ibi;85.2%, and Takum; 75%) of the 98 *Salmonella* isolates with an amplicon size of 284bp. This finding implies that 19 isolates which did not show the targeted band are not invasive, and even if they are, other invasive genes may be liable.

Conclusion: The detection of the invasive *invA* invasive gene from *Salmonella* species from the fecal droppings of physically (apparently) healthy chickens from poultry farms and domestic chicken coops is really of great concern to public health. Unless a proper hygienic protocol and biosecurity measures are strictly adhered to where applicable in food processing chain, *Salmonella* harboring the *invA* traits could be opportunistically invasive among immunocompromised individuals and children.

Keywords: *Salmonella*, chicken, poultry, *invA* gene, Polymerase Chain Reaction PCR.

INTRODUCTION

The nature of *Salmonella* infection depends on the strain, serovar, infectious dose the nature of contaminated food and most importantly the host status because, some serovar are highly pathogenic in humans than other animals; and even strains of the same serovar exhibit disparity in their pathogenicity [1]. *Salmonella* species are more severe among children and immunocompromised individuals [2].

Salmonella pathogen may gain entrance to the digestive tract when contaminated food and water is ingested, or through direct contact with infected animals in the case of the NTS [3]. Irrespective of the serovars, *Salmonella* have a similar ability to *invA* the host cell by inducing self-entrance into cells of the intestinal epithelium using sophisticated virulent mechanism [4]. Once ingested, *Salmonella* activates the Acid Tolerance Response (ATR) in order to endure the acidic nature of the intestinal tract when it enters the small bowel [2]. Thereafter, it reaches and transverse the intestinal mucus layer and attach to the small intestinal epithelial cells, and subsequently taken up by phagocytic cells at the submucosa [3]. Engulfed *Salmonella* is internalized into large vesicles called *Salmonella*-containing vacuoles (SCV) where it can only survive and replicate [5]. The NTS travels and stops at the mesenteric lymph nodes, while the TS serovar which are usually invasive could defeat the host's defensive mechanism, multiply in mononuclear phagocyte system and spread to systemic sites of infections such as the spleen, blood, bone marrow and liver and causes systemic infection [1]. Unlike the TS, most NTS cannot overcome the host's defensive mechanism [6]. Hence, they remain localized to the intestine and mesenteric lymph nodes inducing inflammation which results in gastrointestinal disorder [7]. However, the NTS could be invasive among in immunocompetent patients, children and the elderly, by defeating their weak defensive system and become non-localized in the intestine, thereby spreading to other systemic sites of the body to effect infection [7,8].

Salmonella possesses several physiological abilities that enhance its virulence, thereby enabling them to inflict acute infection. One of the more important virulent factors required by *Salmonella* to accomplish pathogenesis is the type three secretion system (T3SS) encoded in the *Salmonella* pathogenicity islands (SPIs) which are bulky gene cassettes inside the bacterial chromosome that determines the specific interactions within the host (Fabrega and Vila 2013). So far, over 20 SPIs have been identified to enhance pathogenesis but only two (T3SS-1 and T3SS-2) have been widely studied for their respective involvement in cell *invA*sion of the intestinal epithelium and survive within the macrophages [9]. Other features enhancing *Salmonella* pathogenesis includes adhesions, flagella, protein adhesions and virulence plasmid (pSLT) [2,3].

Salmonella pathogenicity is intermediated by several genes and are not limited to the invasive gene (*invA*) [10]. The *invA* gene is localised in the pathogenicity island 1 (SPI1) and has been extensively

investigated owing to its virulent potential. Also, many researchers have opined the *invA* gene as a biomarker for the molecular confirmation of *Salmonella* from clinical samples [11]. The invasive “*invA*” gene facilitates the coding for the protenous content in the *Salmonella* internal membrane leading to the invasion of epithelial cells [12]. NTS serovars that are responsible for systemic *Salmonella* infections are known as invasive NTS (iNTS) [6,13]. This occurrence is predominantly due to the emergence of invasive clones in some NTS serotypes via conjugative plasmid transfer [6]. *Salmonella* does not significantly multiply in natural habitats, except in the digestive tract of humans and animals where they predominantly live as their natural habitats [14]. Hence, the intracellular conjugation of bacteria plays important role in the evolution of virulence and transmission of antimicrobial resistant genes in pathogens including *Salmonella* [6]. Virulence plasmids assist the invasive NTS in surviving phagocytes, thus defeating the host’s defensive mechanism of immunocompetent host and spreads from the small intestine to the spleen and liver [15]. Hence this study will establish the virulence of *Salmonella* isolates from chicken source by amplification of the *invA* genes.

METHODS

Cultural and biochemical identified *Salmonella* isolates from human and chicken source were obtained from stock culture of previous prevalence study [14]. This statement is not cleared

DNA extraction: The gene amplification process was carried out using Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). The genomic DNA of presumed *Salmonella* isolates was obtained using the conventional boiling method. Using a sterilized wire loop, colony from pure culture medium was inoculated into a microtube containing 300 µL basic buffer; TE (tris-ethylenediaminetetraaceticacid). Following vortexing to mix contents, the microtube was placed in boiling water for approximately 20 minutes. Thereafter the microtube was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 minutes to separate the DNA from the contents of the microtubes. The obtained supernatant contained the DNA which was immediately transferred to a separate microtube.

DNA amplification: The *Salmonella invA* gene detection was carried out using the PCR. A final volume of 50µl of reagents used in the amplification are, sterile distilled water, 1 × GoTaq PCR reaction buffer (containing 1.5 mM MgCl₂), 5 units of GoTaq DNA polymerase (enzyme to amplify gene replication), 2.5 µl DNA template, 0.2 mM PCR nucleotide mix (reproducing a single DNA strand into two by unzipping the strand), and 0.5 µl each of forward and reverse DNA primers. Specific primers selected to target 284 bp segment of the *invA* gene of *Salmonella* are, forward (*invA*) ‘5GTG AAA TTA TCG CCA CGT TCG GGC AA3’ and primers reverse (*invA*) ‘5TCA TCG CAC CGT CAA AGG AAC C3’. This is shown in Table 1 below. Final mixture of PCR reagent was placed in a thermo-cycler with an initial incubation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles consisting of 94°C for denaturation for 1 min, then annealing at 58.3°C for 30 s, and 72°C elongation for 1 min followed by a final extension for 5 mins at 72°C. A volume of the 3 µl of

each amplified product was mixed with 3 µl of loading solution (Bromophenol blue and Xylen cyanol) until a homogenous mix was achieved. Negative control was solution without a DNA template.

Electrophoresis of PCR products and band visualization: A final volume of 6 µL of each amplification product were subjected to electrophoresis to verify amplicon sizes using 2% agarose gel prepared with tris-borate-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (TBE) and stained with 5 µg/ml ethidium bromide. Electrophoresis procedure was conducted with a constant voltage of 100 v for 45 minutes. Results were viewed under ultraviolet light. Various DNA band patterns were compared against a 100bp DNA 100 bp marker to determine the size of amplicons.

RESULTS

Table 1. Sequences, size and source of primers used in this study

SN	Target gene	Primer sequence	Amplicon size	Reference
1	<i>invA</i>	GTG AAA TTA TCG CCA CGT TCG GGC AA TCA TCG CAC CGT CAA AGG AAC C”	284bp	[16]

Table 2: Prevalence of *invA* gene detected among *Salmonella* isolates from Chicken source

SN	Location	No of NTS isolates	<i>invA</i>	Total <i>invA</i> (%)
1	Wukari	36	31	86.1
2	Donga	19	13	68.5
3	Takum	16	12	75
4	Ibi	27	23	85.2
	Total	98	79	80.6

Detection of *invA* gene in animal isolates **THE BANDS OF THE LADDER ARE NOT SHOWN IN YOUR RESULT FIGURES HERE**



Figure 1. Agarose-gel electrophoresis displaying PCR amplicons of 284bp *invA* gene in 23 *Salmonella* isolates from human sources in Ibi

Lane M, 100 bp marker; Lane 1–27, test samples (NTS); lane N, Negative control




Figure 2. Agarose-gel electrophoresis displaying PCR amplicons of 284bp *invA* gene in 13 *Salmonella* isolates from human sources in Ibi

Lane M, 100 bp marker; Lane 1–27, test samples (NTS); lane N, Negative control



Figure 3. Agarose-gel electrophoresis displaying PCR amplicons of 284bp *invA* gene in 12 *Salmonella* isolates from human sources in Ibi
Lane M, 100 bp marker; Lane 1–27, test samples (NTS); lane N, Negative control




Figure 4. Agarose-gel electrophoresis displaying PCR amplicons of 284bp *invA* gene in 31 *Salmonella* isolates from human sources in Ibi
Lane M, 100 bp marker; Lane 1–27, test samples (NTS); lane N, Negative control

DISCUSSION

Results from PCR analysis showed that *invA* gene was seen in 79 (80.8%) *Salmonella* isolates with an approximate length of 284bp. A total of 19 isolates did not show the target band implying that that the isolates are not *invA*sive, and even if they are, other invasive genes such as *misL* or *hilA* may be liable and not the *invA* gene. This finding is unswerving with the findings of [17] which detected “*invA* gene” in 106 of 195 *Salmonella* samples characterized. Other studies such as [18] identified the presence of the *invA* gene in 91% of NTS screened. Similarly, [9,11] discovered *invA* gene in 66% and 50% of respective isolates subjected to characterization. In a Contrasting view, [19] detected the *invA* gene in all samples screened and argued that the *invA* gene is considered the main target gene for the detection of strains belonging to *Salmonella*. Supportably, [10] detected the invasive “*invA*” gene in all 37 *Salmonella* isolates screened and argued that the outcome was probable since the *invA* gene is conserved among all *Salmonella* serotypes particularly for pathogenetic purposes. Also, [20] had established previously that the amplification of *invA* gene is a molecular confirmatory test for *Salmonella* isolates.

Observably, identified studies disputing the absence of *invA* gene in *Salmonella* isolates have one or more similarities. From available evidence, *Salmonella invA* genes are strangely detected in all isolates subjected to characterization when several serovars from various locations are involved. In fact, only TS and NTS *Salmonella*, *Enteritidis*, *Salmonella Typhimurium* and a few other serovars have been studied based on their complicity in the invasion of intestinal macrophages and epithelial cells [21,22]. All 35 *Salmonella* species (13 *Enteritidis* and 24 *Typhimurium* 24) subjected to characterization by [10] were isolated from pigs in a particular abattoir environment and presented with invasive *invA* gene. Similarly, [19] amplified the *invA* gene in all 126 *Salmonella Heidelberg* samples obtained from in a precise poultry slaughterhouse. Also, the study by [23] confirmed that the *invA* gene was not detected in some cultural and biochemical identified *Salmonella* isolates from clinical samples of diverse sources.

Available evidence has suggested that intracellular conjugation of bacteria is partially responsible for the evolution and spread of virulent and antibiotic resistance genes in pathogenic organisms. Intracellular virulent gene transfer between *Salmonella* is possible via horizontally mobile elements (HMEs) which includes cis-acting signal sequences, integrons, conjugative plasmids and transposons collectively known as vectors [21,22]. It is possible for non- invasive form *Salmonella* to acquire virulent genes inside the mammalian cell from other resident pathogens via conjugative plasmid transfer, as many new virulence traits are plasmid borne [21,22]. According to [24], *Salmonella Enteritidis* and *Salmonella Typhimurium* are the most serovars harboring the invasive *invA* gene responsible for invasive gastroenteritis. When *Salmonella* specie with invasive potentials enters the cells, it can exchange plasmids containing virulent genes with other strains or even other bacterial species [22]. Since virulence is partially dependent upon invasion, the *invA* *Salmonella* probably dominates the human cells more, and can transmit *invA* genes to the non- invasive bacteria (De-Jong et al., 2012). It is therefore possible that the isolates which do not harbour the *invA* gene as observed from this study may not have been involved in a successful gene exchange with a donor or lacks antimicrobial resistance gene. Indiscriminate antibiotic use leading to antimicrobial resistance has been found to enhance bacterial gene exchange. This is because; intracellular gene exchange between pathogens is possible when antimicrobial resistant *Salmonella* translocate to the mesenteric lymph nodes and other systemic sites from the gut via the intestine [25]. Consequently, the detection of the *invA* gene in all human isolates as reported by several studies may be possible through intracellular conjugative virulent gene exchange with other *Salmonella* strains harboring the gene [26,27].

CONCLUSION

This current study has been able to substantiate that *Salmonella* may be or not *invA*sive. However, the non-virulent ones have the potentials of receiving virulent genes via gene exchange. The detection of the *invA* invasive gene from *Salmonella* species from the fecal droppings of physically healthy chickens is really of great concern to public health. Chicken in commercial poultry farms and domestic coops have shown to harbor the invasive gene. This finding therefore implies that, if proper hygienic conditions are

not maintained in the various aspects of food processing the NTS could be invasive opportunistically among immunocompromised individuals and children. This research findings will ultimately be useful in effective health promotion in the food production industry and *Salmonella* surveillance.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of the Department of Microbiology, Federal University Wukari, Taraba State, Nigeria. Informed consent was gotten from poultry farm and domestic chicken coop owners before fecal samples were obtained.

REFERENCES

1. Todar, K. *Salmonella* and Salmonellosis. Todar's Online Textbook of Bacteriology. "The Good, the Bad, and the Deadly". 2020; Online web review. Accessed on 15th June 2021. Available at <https://textbookofbacteriology.net/Salmonella.html>
2. Fabrega, A. and Vila, J. "Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium Skills to Succeed in the Host: Virulence and Regulation". *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 2013; 26 (2): 308–341.
3. Jay LS, Davos D, Dundas M, Frankish E, Lightfoot D. *Salmonella*. In: Hocking AD (ed) Foodborne Microorganisms of Public Health Significance. 6th ed, Chapter 8. Australian Institute of Food Science and Technology (NSW Branch), Sydney, p. 207–266;2003.
4. Ohl, M. and Miller, S. *Salmonella*: a model for bacterial pathogenesis. *Annual Review Medicine*. 2001; 52(2):59-74.
5. Li Q. (2022). Mechanisms for the invasion and Dissemination of *Salmonella*. The Canadian journal of infectious diseases & medical microbiology. *Journal canadien des maladies infectieuses et de la microbiologie medicale*, 2022; 26(5): 5801.
6. Christian, S. M., Fabio, F., Elena, P., John, A. C., Laura, B. M., Gianluca, B., et al. Incidence of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* invasive disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis, *Journal of Infection*, 2021; 83 (5): 523-532.
7. Raffatellu, M.; Wilson, P.; Winter, S. and Bäumlner, A. Clinical pathogenesis of typhoid fever. *J Infect Developing Countries*; 2008; 2(4): 260-266.
8. Fashae, K., Ogunsola, F., Aarestrup, F & Hendriksen, R. Antimicrobial susceptibility and serovars of *Salmonella* from chickens and humans in Ibadan, Nigeria. *J Infect Dev Countries*. 2010; 4(8), 484-494.
9. Kombade, S., & Kaur, N. Pathogenicity Island in *Salmonella*. *IntechOpen*; 2021. Peer review chapter
10. Nikiema, M. E. M., Kakou-Ngazoa, S., Ky/Ba, A., Sylla, A., Bako, E., Addablah, A. Y. A., Ouoba, J. B., Sampo, E., Gnada, K., Zongo, O., Traoré, K. A., Sanou, A., Bonkougou, I. J. O., Ouédraogo, R., Barro, N., & Sangaré, L. Characterization of virulence factors of *Salmonella* isolated from human stools and street food in urban areas of Burkina Faso. *BMC microbiology*. 2021;21(1): 338
11. Chaudhary, J. H., Nayak, J. B., Brahmhatt, M. N., & Makwana, P. P. Virulence genes detection of *Salmonella* serovars isolated from pork and slaughterhouse environment in Ahmedabad, Gujarat. *Veterinary world*. 2015; 8(1), 121–124.
12. Kadry, M., Nader, S. M., Dorgham, S. M., & Kandil, M. M. Molecular diversity of the *invA* gene obtained from human and egg samples. *Veterinary world*. 2019; 12(7), 1033–1038.
13. Gordon M. A. invasive nontyphoidal *Salmonella* disease: epidemiology, pathogenesis and diagnosis. *Current opinion in infectious diseases*, 2011; 24(5): 484–489.

14. Imarenezor, E.P.K., & Abhadionmhen, O. A. Prevalence of *Salmonella* Isolated from Poultry Farms and Domestic Chicken Coops in Southern Taraba, North-East, Nigeria. *International journal of innovative research & development*. 2022; 11(9) 17 – 20
15. Wang, M., Qazi, I. H., Wang, L., Zhou, G., & Han, H. *Salmonella* Virulence and Immune Escape. *Microorganisms*, 2020; 8(3): 407.
16. Kumar R, Surendran PK, Thampuran N. Aneight-hour PCR-based technique for de-tection of *Salmonella* serovars in seafood.*World J Microbiol Biotech* 2008; 24: 627-3.
17. Mthembu, T. P., Zishiri, O. T., & El Zowalaty, M. E. Detection and Molecular Identification of *Salmonella* Virulence Genes in Livestock Production Systems in South Africa. *Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland)*, 2019; 8(3): 124.
18. Somda, N.S., Bonkougou, I.J., Sambe-Ba, B., Drabo, M.S., Wane, A.A., Sawadogo-Lingani, H., & Savadogo, A. Diversity and antimicrobial drug resistance of non-typhoid *Salmonella* serotypes isolated in lettuce, irrigation water and clinical samples in Burkina Faso. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*. 2021;5.100167.
19. Webber, B., Borges, K. A., Furian, T. Q., Rizzo, N. N., Tondo, E. C., Santos, L. R. D., Rodrigues, L. B., & Nascimento, V. P. D. Detection of virulence genes in *Salmonella* Heidelberg isolated from chicken carcasses. *Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de Sao Paulo*. 2019; 61 (36).
20. Adesiji, Y. O., Deekshit, V. K., & Karunasagar, I. Antimicrobial-resistant genes associated with *Salmonella* spp. isolated from human, poultry, and seafood sources. *Food science & nutrition*. 2014; 2(4), 436–442.
21. Moreno Switt AI, den Bakker HC, Cummings CA, Rodriguez-Rivera LD, Govoni G, et al. Identification and Characterization of Novel *Salmonella* Mobile Elements Involved in the Dissemination of Genes Linked to Virulence and Transmission. *PLOS ONE*. 2012; 7(7): e41247
22. Ferguson, G. C., Heinemann, J. A., & Kennedy, M. A. Gene transfer between *Salmonella* enterica serovar Typhimurium inside epithelial cells. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2002; 184(8), 2235–2242.
23. Adesiji, Y. O., Shivakumaraswamy, K.S., Deekshit, V. K., Kallappa, S.G., & Karunasagar, I. Molecular characterization of antimicrobial multi-drug resistance in non-typhoidal *Salmonellae* from chicken and clam in Mangalore, *India*. *Journal of biomedical research*. 2017; 32(3), 237–244.
24. Nazari Moghadam, M., Rahimi, E., Shakerian, A. et al. Prevalence of *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Salmonella* Enteritidis isolated from poultry meat: virulence and antimicrobial-resistant genes. *BMC Microbiol* 2023; 23, 168
25. De Jong HK, Parry CM, van der Poll T, Wiersinga WJ (2012) Host–Pathogen Interaction in invasive Salmonellosis. *PLOS Pathogens* 8(10):
26. Phumkhachorn, P., and P. Rattanachaikunsopon. “Detection of Viable *Salmonella* Typhi Using Three Primer Pairs Spesific To *InvA*, *Ivab*, And *Flic-D* Genes”. *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture*. 2017; 29 (4): 312-316.
27. El-Tayeb MA, Ibrahim ASS, Maany DA, Elbadawi YB, Al-Salamah AA. Detection of virulence genes and investigation of the immunogenicity of outer membrane proteins of *Salmonella* enterica. *J Appl Biol Biotech*. 2019;7(05):62-73.