

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_97905</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Response to Foliar Spray of Boron along with Different Fertilizer Management Strategies on Growth, Yield and Quality of Brinjal (Solanum melongena L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Importance:</b> The authors studied the role of foliar spray of boron on the growth, yield, and quality of Brinjal (<i>Solanum melongena</i> L.).</li> <li>2. <b>Title:</b> Too long and preferred to be modified into “<b>Impact of Foliar Boron and Fertilizer Management systems on Growth, Yield and Quality of Brinjal</b>”.</li> <li>3. <b>Abstract:</b> Provide an opening statement of one or two sentences before illustrating the aim. Some abbreviations were used and have to be illustrated in full names at their first appearance. The P-value was not displayed with significant results. Many modifications were recommended to enhance the readability and understanding.</li> <li>4. <b>Structure of the manuscript:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <b>Keywords:</b> Please rearrange in alphabetical order.</li> <li>b. <b>Introduction:</b> Improperly displayed in six paragraphs. Preferred to rearrange the introduction into three paragraphs only i.e., 1. Introduction 2. Significance of the study, and 3. Aim of the study. Many modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding.</li> <li>c. <b>The aim:</b> Not listed by the end of the introduction section.</li> <li>d. <b>Materials and methods:</b> Please notice the following:           <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No ethical approval number and considerations were provided.</li> <li>2. The authors did not provide the statistical analysis section with a reference and the statistical model used.</li> <li>3. Many modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text.</li> </ol> </li> <li>e. <b>Results:</b> Novel and clear to a certain extent. Provide the P-value for the significant results in the text. Avoid the repetition of the treatment details over and over. Many modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text.</li> <li>f. <b>Discussion:</b> Clear, informative, and contribute to knowledge with moderate comparison and speculation. Many modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text.</li> <li>g. <b>Conclusion:</b> Clear and informative. Some modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding.</li> <li>h. <b>Tables:</b> Well-presented and organized.</li> <li>i. <b>Figures:</b> Well-presented and organized.</li> </ol> </li> <li>5. <b>Scientific Soundness:</b> The manuscript presented a good idea with poor language and grammar that requires serious copyediting and proofreading to enhance readability and understanding.</li> <li>6. <b>References:</b> MUST BE UPDATED as 16.6% (4 out of 24) of the listed references were published in the past five years. The percentage has to increase to 30-40%. The old reference indicates less interest in the study field.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p><b>General view:</b> The manuscript was written in poor English and grammar. Copyediting and proofreading have to be carried out before resubmission to achieve publishing value and enhance the importance of the study.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Essam Samir Soliman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Suez Canal University, Egypt</b>