

WATER REQUIREMENT OF MAJOR TUBER CROPS: A REVIEW

ABSTRACT

One of the most crucial input required for the growth of crops is water. It is required by plants in enormous amounts and continually throughout their lifecycle. It has a significant impact on plant processes like photosynthesis, respiration, cell division, absorption, translocation, use of mineral nutrients and agriculture operations like irrigation, land husbandry, crop production, medium for spraying chemicals etc. But the future water supply is questionable due to climate change and related problems like rise in temperature. This lead to change in precipitation patterns and the entire water cycle are thrown off balance. Therefore, in the current environment, the development of water-saving agriculture is essential. As tuber crops are known for their high water use efficiency, it is having big part in water saving agriculture. Tuber crops are major sources of carbohydrates, thus play a key role in food safety. The starchy root of tuber crops can be used for various purposes like food, livestock feed, raw material in industry mainly for starch production etc. As most of the tuber crops are compatible with the farming systems, resistant to drought and withstand aberrant rainfall conditions, farmers follows rainfed cultivation of tuber crops. Anyhow water deficit stress occurring during the critical phases would adversely affect the ultimate performance of tuber crops and judicious use of water will result maximum yield. This review paper aims to know the water requirement of major tuber crops (Potato, Cassava, Sweet Potato, Amorphophallus, Taro and Tannia) and influence of water management in their yield potential.

Key words: Tuber crops, Water requirement, Potato, Cassava, Sweet potato, Amorphophallus, Taro, Tannia

1. INTRODUCTION

Water is the key input in crop production. The large proportion of water resource consumption is by agriculture sector, so the increase in agricultural production mainly depends on the development of water saving agriculture and water resource management [1]. Additional agricultural production can be achieved with irrigation compared to rainfed agriculture and this is essential for food security on a global level [2]. In many locations, climate change causes a great deal of uncertainty regarding future water supplies. It will have an impact on precipitation, runoff, snow melt, hydrological systems, water quality, temperature, and groundwater recharge which will adversely affect the agriculture sector [3]. In India, Agriculture uses 85 per cent of the water resources with low efficiency [4]. Water management is related to three important challenges in the agriculture sector of India namely raising productivity per unit of land, reducing poverty, and responding to food security needs [4].

Most of the tuber crops are known for their resistance to drought conditions and higher water use efficiency. Around 2.2 billion people live in underdeveloped nations worldwide, and tuber crops are regarded as a significant nutritional source in these countries [5]. Tuber crops mainly include cassava, potato, sweet potato, yams and minor tuber crops like taro, tannia, coleus etc. Among these tuber crops, potato contributes major share in global production whereas cassava and sweet potato ranks first in area under cultivation in India [5]. Tropical root and tuber crops have greater planting and harvesting flexibility, which opens up numerous opportunities for different crop production systems. Lentil, cereal, and vegetable intercropping in root and tuber crops can boost system productivity and land-use effectiveness [6]. Compared to cereals, their water productivity is also on higher side. So water plays a major role in their production potential. When water scarcity or drought struck the tuberization period compared to their vegetative phase, there will be a greater reduction in tuber yield and their drought resistance be more related to survival rather than yield [7]. So understanding of critical stages of water requirement and irrigation scheduling in tuber crops are need of the hour in considering their importance in food and nutritional security.

55 2. COMPARISON OF WATER REQUIREMENT OF MAJOR TUBER CROPS

56 2.1. Potato

57 Among tuber crops, potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is a winter season crop with shallow root
58 system. Water requirement of potato varies with many factors like soil, climate, cultural
59 practices etc. Latha et al. [8] reported difference in water requirement of potato with planting
60 dates, where early sown potato required 212.5 mm water and late sown potato required
61 226.7mm water. The critical stages in growth cycle of potato demands water differently. The
62 critical stages are stolonization, tuberization and tuber development [9]. Several studies
63 shows that moisture stress in this critical stages results reduction in tuber yield. Iqbal et al.
64 [10] studied field response of potato subjected to water stress at different growth stages
65 and concluded that water stress at early development and tuber formation stage resulted
66 greatest reduction in yield. An investigation on tuber dry matter yield of potato under
67 different irrigation regimes by Faradonbeh et al. [11]. reported that under drought stress,
68 there is suppression of starch content of tubers. Water stress during tuber bulking stage
69 critically influences tuber market grade, tuber specific gravity and tuber processing quality
70 [12]. In deficit irrigation study of potato with reduced irrigations during tuber bulking stage
71 showed reduction in yield and grade [13]. Among different irrigation methods, sprinkler and
72 drip irrigation resulted significantly higher potato tuber yield compared with furrow irrigation.
73 [14]. The result from different investigations clearly shows the positive yield response of
74 potato to water management practices during their critical periods of growth.

75 2.2. Cassava

76 Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz.) is a popular tuber crop particularly in the tropics. It
77 provides food and revenue to over eight hundred million people particularly in Africa [15].
78 Cassava productivity is expected to be significantly impacted by climate change in both
79 African and worldwide growing regions [15]. The drought is expected to continue to be a
80 problem for cassava productivity because it will decrease tuber yield [15]. A yield increase of
81 150-200 per cent has been observed when crop is grown under irrigation compared with
82 rainfed crop and furrow irrigation with 25 mm water at 100 mm CPE is recommended [16].
83 According to Sruthy and Rajasree [17] the aberrant weather conditions makes the rainfed
84 cultivation of cassava risky due to poor seedling establishment on account of drying of setts
85 and ultimately results unavailability of planting material. During first 3-4 months of growth,
86 cassava requires enough moisture and it will not withstand waterlogged conditions [18].
87 Supplementary irrigations during dry months in cassava results more yield and drip irrigation
88 system with 20 mm of water when the daily cumulative pan evaporation value reached 40
89 mm recorded two fold increase in the root yield [19]. For sprouting and establishment of
90 setts, cassava needs sufficient moisture in soil and three fold yield of cassava was reported
91 when drip irrigation at 100% of pan evaporation along with application of 50%N and K
92 fertilizers during the first 40 days, 30% during 40-80 days and the rest 20% during 80-120
93 days after planting, supplied through drip irrigation system [20]. The experiment conducted at
94 South Western Nigeria by Olanrewaju et al [21] shows that drip irrigation using 60% of the
95 available water could be advised in places with moderate water constraint and drip irrigation
96 at 100% available water can be advised in places where water is extremely scarce for
97 greater cassava yields. The above investigations emphasise that cassava is well known for
98 its ability to withstand aberrant rainfall conditions but water supplied at right time and right
99 quantity will result in more tuber with high quality.

100

101 2.3. Sweet Potato

102

103 The water requirement studies in sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) also revealed increase in
104 tuber yield with sufficient moisture in soil. Suitability of sweet potato in drought-prone
105 areas in terms of their survival rate was reported by various drought tolerance studies and
106 their leaves can tolerate up to a wilting point of -1.3 MPa [22]. According to Laurie et al. [23],
107 drought had a harmful impact on the growth of the sweet potato plants and drought-induced
108 growth retardation has a significant impact on its yield. When a greater dose of fertilizer was
109 provided coupled with the maximum number of irrigation, the greatest root output was seen
110 in the study conducted by Nedunchezhiyan et al [24]. The fragile and succulent nature of
111 sweet potato vines cause drying up of vines, if sufficient moisture is not present in soil
112 immediately after planting [25]. The study conducted by Opafole et al. [26] revealed that
113 water requirement of sweet potato for early season is 22.80 mm and for late season it is
114 473.87 mm in south-western Nigeria and the study also concluded that supplementary

115 irrigation is necessary during early and late seasons of growth. Ekanayake and Collins [27]
116 studied different irrigation responses of sweet potato and concluded that irrigation treatments
117 significantly altered dry root yield, dry matter, root nitrogenous compounds and root
118 carbohydrates.

119 **2.4. Amorphophallus**

120 Amorphophallus (*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius*) is a tropical tuber crop commonly known as
121 Elephant foot yam. A well drained soil of medium texture with annual rainfall of 150 cm
122 during the crop period is suitable for its growth [16]. According to Santosa et al. [28],
123 Amorphophallus is a soil water stress tolerant crop but that stress affects yield and it should
124 be avoided for better results. It is having higher production potential of 50-80 t ha⁻¹ and water
125 availability influences corm yield and plant growth [29]. They studied effect of watering
126 frequency on growth of elephant foot yam and concluded that number and size of leaves,
127 corm size, cormel number and root growth were affected by watering frequency. The growth
128 of yam was restricted by long interval, infrequent watering reduced yield and forced the
129 corms to enter dormancy. Irrigation water management studies of elephant foot yam in
130 tropical zones of India by Sunitha et al. [30] concluded that judicious management of
131 irrigation water in tuber crops are need of the hour and water smart technologies such as
132 mulching and ground cover mats help in elephant foot yam cultivation with less irrigation,
133 without affecting corm yield. The yield of amorphophallus shows significant difference with
134 fertilizer and irrigation levels and the highest corm yield (47.66 t ha⁻¹) was observed under
135 application of 100% recommended dose of fertilizer along with irrigation at 100% CPE [31].
136 In elephant foot yam and green gram intercropping system, nutritionally rich corms, higher
137 nutrient yield, stem productivity and water use efficiency were obtained with fertigation
138 treatments [32].

139 **2.5. Taro and Tannia**

140 Taro (*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott.) and Tannia (*Xanthosoma sagittifolium* (L.) Schott.)
141 are important aroids which are cultivated and consumed as staple or subsistence food in the
142 tropical climate. Taro is a popular vegetable crop that was grown for both nutritional and
143 therapeutic purposes. It provides 135 calories per 100 grams of food, more than twice as
144 much as potatoes do [33]. There are two groups of taro “eddoe” type and “dasheen” type,
145 both are widely grown in flooded and upland conditions [34]. In a study conducted at
146 Newlands, South Africa, taro performed well and provided good yield when grown in
147 continuous wetting [35]. According to Vieira et al [36], In addition to fostering the maximum
148 water use efficiency, the subsequent application of light, more frequent watering encouraged
149 increases in irrigation depth and favoured taro development and yield (up to 17.6 t ha⁻¹). The
150 study on water requirement and irrigation schedule in upland taro revealed that, it's ideal
151 water demand was 618 mm over the course of six months and drip irrigation at 100% crop
152 evapo-transpiration resulted maximum cormel yield and water use efficiency [37]. Among the
153 edible aroids, the highest starch concentration is for tannia cormels and the leaves'
154 nutritional content is comparable to that of spinach [38]. Under conditions of low water
155 supply, sandy soils has a larger chance of increasing the water use efficiency of taro [39]. At
156 the Gurabo Substation, yields of 12 indigenous and imported tannia cultivars were studied by
157 Irizarry et al. [40] under irrigated and non-irrigated conditions and it is observed that all
158 cultivars had a tendency to produce more when irrigation was present than when irrigation
159 wasn't there. According to the research that is currently accessible, tannia has growth
160 tendencies that are comparable to those of taro but is more drought tolerant and susceptible
161 to water logging. However, it has been noted that in rainfed conditions, supplementary
162 irrigation might increase tannia cormel output. Water management and the consequences of
163 water stress on this crop are yet the subject of systematic research [41].

164 **3. CONCLUSION**

165 Water is the most important input in agriculture and is expected to become more limited in
166 the near future, so the wise use of water with correct scheduling of irrigation is important in
167 producing maximum yields. Even while tubers can resist drought conditions, efficient
168 irrigation techniques, additional irrigation during dry spells, and irrigation during crucial
169 growth stages can all increase their yield.

170 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

171 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

172 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

173

174 This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved
175 the final manuscript.

176 **REFERENCES**

- 177 1. Zhou L, Wang X, Zhang S. A review on development water-saving agriculture in Asia.
178 Agric. Sci. 2022;13(4):491-499.
- 179 2. Food And Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Water for Sustainable Food
180 and Agriculture. 2017 . Accessed 10 June 2023. Available:
181 <https://www.fao.org/3/i7959e/i7959e.pdf>
- 182 3. Food And Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Climate change and food
183 security: risks and responses.2015. Accessed 10 June 2023. Available:
184 <https://www.fao.org/3/i5188e/i5188E.pdf>
- 185 4. Hans VB. Water management in agriculture:issues and strategies in India. Int. J. Dev. Sustain.
186 2018;7(2):578-588.
- 187 5. Prakash P, Jaganathan D, Sheela I, Sivakumar PS. Analysis of global and national scenario of
188 tuber crops production: trends and prospects. Indian J. Econ. Dev. 2020;16(4):500-510.
- 189 6. Nedunchezhiyan M, Suja G, Ravi V. Tropical-root and tuber crops-based cropping systems:A
190 review. Curr. Hort. 2022;10(1):14-22.
- 191 7. Daryanto S, Wang L, Jacinthe PA. Drought effects on root and tuber production: A meta-analysis.
192 Agric. Water. Management. 2016;176:122-131.
- 193 8. Vishnoi L, Roy S, Murty NS, Nain AS. Study on water requirement of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*
194 L.) using CROPWAT MODEL for Tarai Region of Uttarakhand. J. Agrometeorology. 2012;14(4)
195 :180-185.
- 196 9. Begum M, et al. Water management for higher potato production: A review. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol.
197 App. Sci. 2018; 7(5): 24-33.
- 198 10. Iqbal MM, Shah SM, Mohammad W, Nawaz H. Field response of potato subjected to water stress
199 at different growth stages. Nuclear techniques to assess irrigation schedules for field crops . IAEA.
200 1996.Accessed 10 June 2023. Available:
201 [https://inis.iaea.org/collection/NCLCollectionStore/ Public/27/065/27065320.pdf?r=1](https://inis.iaea.org/collection/NCLCollectionStore/Public/27/065/27065320.pdf?r=1)
- 202 11. Faradonbeh HRB, Bistgani ZE, Barker AV. Tuber yield and physiological characteristics of potato
203 under irrigation and fertilizer application. Communication in Soil Sci. Plant Analysis. 2022;53(11).
- 204 12. Shock CC, Wang FX, Flock R, Eldredge E, Pereira A. Successful potato irrigation scheduling.
205 Oregon State University.2006;EM 8911.
- 206 13. Shock CC, Feibert EBG. Deficit irrigation of Potato. FAO. Deficit Irrigation Practices.2000.
207 Accessed 10 June 2023. Available:<https://www.fao.org/3/y3655e/y3655e07.htm#TopOfPage>
- 208 14. Raskar BS. Increasing yield potential of potato by using irrigation and fertilizer level. Indian J.
209 Agron. 2003; 48(3): 229-231.
- 210 15. Muiruri SK, Ntui VO, Tripathi L, Tripathi JN. Mechanisms and approaches towards enhanced
211 drought tolerance in cassava (*Manihot esculenta*). Curr. Plant Biology. 2021;28: 100227.
- 212 16. KAU [Kerala Agricultural University]. Package of Practices Recommendations: Crops. 2016. 15th
213 Ed. Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, 392p.
- 214 17. Sruthy KT, Rajasree G. Minisett Nursery Techniques in Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz): A
215 Review. Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci. 2020 ;9(3): 2731-2735.
- 216 18. Oshunsanya SO, Nwosu NJ. Soil-Water-Crop Relationship: A Case Study of Cassava in the
217 Tropics .In: Waisundara V. editor. Cassava. InTech; 2018. Available:
218 <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.71968>
- 219 19. Polthanee A, Srisutham M. Growth, yield and water use of drip irrigated cassava planted in the
220 late rainy season of Northeastern Thailand. Indian J. Agric. Res. 2018; 52(5) : 554-559.
- 221 20. Sunitha S, George J, Sreekumar J. Productivity of cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) as affected by
222 drip fertigation in the humid tropics. J. Root Crops. 2013; 39(2):100-104.
- 223 21. Olanrewaju OO, Olufayo AA, Oguntunde PG, Ilemobade AA, Water Use Efficiency of Manihot
224 Esculenta Crantz Under Drip Irrigation System in South Western Nigeria. European Journal of
225 Scientific Research. 2009; 27(4): 576-587.
- 226 22. Pushpalatha R, Gangadharan B. Climate resilience, yield and geographical suitability of sweet
227 potato under the changing climate : A review. Natural Resources Forum. 2023. Accessed 5 July
228 2023. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-8947.12309>.

- 229 23. Laurie RN, Laurie SM, Plooy CP, Finnie JF, Staden JV. Yield of Drought-Stressed Sweet Potato
230 in Relation to Canopy Cover, Stem Length and Stomatal Conductance. J. Agric. Sci.
231 2015;7(1):201-214.
- 232 24. Nedunchezhiyan M, Byju G, Ray RC. Effect of Tillage, Irrigation, and Nutrient Levels on Growth
233 and Yield of Sweet Potato in Rice Fallow. ISRN Agronomy. 2012.
234 Available: <https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/291285>
- 235 25. Nedunchezhiyan M, Byju G, Jata SK, Sweet potato Agronomy. Fruit vegetable and cereal science
236 and biotechnology. Global Science Books. 2012;6:1-10.
- 237 26. Opafola OT, David AO, Lawal NS, Babalola AA. Estimation of water needs of sweet potato
238 (*Ipomea batata*) using the penman-monteith model in Abeokuta, South Western Nigeria. Arid
239 Zone Journal of Engineering, Technology and Environment. 2018; 14(1):143-152.
- 240 27. Ekanayake, IJ, Collins W. Effect of irrigation on sweet potato root carbohydrates and nitrogenous
241 compounds. Food, Agriculture & Environment.2004; 2 (1) : 243-248.
- 242 28. Santosa E, Sugiyama NO, Sulistyono E, Sopandie D. Effects of watering frequency on the growth
243 of elephant foot yams. J. Trop. Agr. 2004; 48(4) : 235 – 239.
- 244 29. Ravi V, et al. Crop physiology of elephant foot yam [*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius*(Dennst.
245 Nicolson)]. Adv. Hort. Sci. 2011; 25(1): 51-63.
- 246 30. Sunitha S, George J, Suja G, Jyothi AN, Rajalekshmi A. Water smart technologies for irrigation
247 water management of elephant foot yam in tropical zones of India. J. Water and Climate Change.
248 2020;11(4):1495.
- 249 31. Venkatesan K, Saraswathi T, Pugalendhi L, Jansirani P. Impact of Irrigation and Fertigation
250 Levels on the Growth and Yield of Elephant Foot Yam (*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius* (Dennst.)
251 Nicolson). J. root crops. 2014; 40(1):1-4.
- 252 32. Jata SK, Nedunchezhiyan M, Maity SK, Mallikarjun M. Drip fertigation effects on quality
253 characters of Elephant Foot Yam and water use efficiency of Elephant Foot Yam+Green Gram
254 intercropping system. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol .App. Sci. 2020; 9(8): 1307-1316.
- 255 33. Patel A, Singh J. Taro (*Colocasia esculenta* L.): Review on Its botany, morphology, ethno medical
256 uses, Phytochemistry and pharmacological activities. The Pharma Innovation J. 2023; 12(2): 05-
257 14.
- 258 34. Okonkwo CAC. Taro: *Colocasia* spp. In: Kalloo G, Bergh BO, editors. Genetic Improvement of
259 Vegetable Crops. 1st ed. Pergamon; 1993.
- 260 35. Busari TI, Senzanje A, Odindo AO, Buckly CA. Evaluating the effect of irrigation water
261 management techniques on (taro) madumbe (*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott) grown with
262 anaerobic filter (AF) effluent at Newlands, South Africa. J. Water Reuse and Desalination. 2019;
263 9 (2): 203–212.
- 264 36. Vieira GH, et al. Strategies for Taro (*Colocasia esculenta*) Irrigation. J. Exp. Agric. Int.
265 2018; 24(1):1-9.
- 266 37. Sunitha S, Kumar SJ, Sreekumar J, Suja G, Ramesh V, Byju G. Water requirement of upland
267 Taro (*Colocasia esculenta*) under humid tropical zones of India. Indian J. Agric. Sci.
268 2022;92 (11): 1364–1368.
- 269 38. O’Hair SK, Maynard DN. Edible Aroids. In: Cabellaro B, editors . Encyclopedia of Food
270 Sciences and Nutrition. . 8th ed. Academic Press.1993;5970-5973.
- 271 39. Li M, Deus A, Ming LC. Response of Taro to Varying Water Regimes and Soil Textures. J.
272 Irrigation and Drainage Engineering. 2019;145(3).
- 273 40. Irizarry H, Capiel M, Acosta MA. Yield of twelve tanager cultivars grown with and without
274 irrigation in East central Puerto Rico. The J.Agric.university of Puerto Rico. 1977; 61(1):100-
275 105.
- 276 41. Sunitha S, Ravi V, George J, Suja G. Aroids and Water Relations: An Overview. J. Root
277 Crops. 2013; 39(1):10-21.