

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_103368</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of herbicidal weed management on yield attributes and yield of kodo (Paspalum scrobiculatum L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>practicle</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the impact of herbicidal weed management on the yield attributes and yield of kodo millet. This research provides valuable insights into effective weed control strategies in millet cultivation, which is crucial for enhancing crop productivity and supporting sustainable agriculture practices.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable as it accurately reflects the content and focus of the research. It clearly indicates the subject matter and key variables examined in the study.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive and provides a concise overview of the research. It includes the objective, methodology, and key findings of the study. However, I suggest adding a sentence at the end of the abstract to highlight the practical implications of the findings or the potential applications of the research in the context of kodo millet cultivation.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The paper follows a logical organization, with clear headings and subheadings that facilitate the understanding of the content. The structure allows readers to navigate through the different sections of the paper easily.</p> <p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. The research design, methodology, and data analysis are robust, and the results are supported by the data presented. However, I recommend providing more specific information about the weed species encountered in the experimental area and their impact on kodo millet growth and yield. This additional information will enhance the scientific accuracy and contextual understanding of the study.</p> <p>The references provided are relevant and contribute to the literature on weed management and millet cultivation. However, I suggest adding a few more recent references, especially those published within the last five years, to ensure the inclusion of the most up-to-date research in the field. Additionally, consider including references that specifically focus on herbicidal weed management in kodo millet or other millet crops.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, some minor language and grammatical errors are present throughout the manuscript. Conducting a thorough proofreading and editing process will improve the overall language quality and ensure the clarity and coherence of the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amjed Abbas Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Imam AL -Kadhum College, Iraq