

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_103050
Title of the Manuscript:	Papillary microcarcinoma of thyroid with tuberculosis: an exceptional association
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1)This is important as it is a rare case with association of infective and malignant condition.</p> <p>2)Yes, it is suitable.</p> <p>3)The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4 and 5) My queries regarding the surgical management:</p> <p>1) Why was preoperative FNAC not done in this case?</p> <p>2) What was the reason for doing total thyroidectomy in this case? Why not go for more conservative surgeries?</p> <p>3) Was intraoperative frozen sections done to confirm the diagnosis?</p> <p>4) Was TB lymphadenopathy ruled out?</p> <p>5) What was the time period for follow up to confirm no recurrence in this case?</p> <p>6) Was the final histopathology report based on confirmation of TB bacilli or just the granulomatous cells?</p> <p>7) Is there any role of postop ATT if there is no other extrapulmonary TB features?</p> <p>6)Sufficient references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	There are some spelling mistakes which needs correction. Some sentence restructuring is required.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashish Dhakal
Department, University & Country	Dhulikhel Hospital, Kathmandu University, Nepal