

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102821
Title of the Manuscript:	Waste to Worth: A Review on Utilization of Vegetable Waste
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, to implement sustainability. Explore your topic and for introduction please stated why this area is to be studied and what is the need of it. Need to relate to the community or behaviour. The abstract should be rewritten to capture the content of the paper. Need to make in standard journal writing & good structure. No, Its common note-taking methods. Please write in sentences and paragraph should be related to a single controlling idea. Please use APA style for references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok	
Optional/General comments	Emphasis how and whom the study of this topic is important. Significant of study	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nor Eeda Haji Ali
Department, University & Country	Centre of Studies for Town & Regional Planning, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia