

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_102587
Title of the Manuscript:	CASE REPORT:A RARE CASE OF MISPLACED COPPER - T IN APPENDIX ALONG WITH APPENDICITIS
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes.it makes the doctor safe and those who are not expert in inserting the device become careful when reading such serious complications</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes.Astract woul look better if headings are omitted</p> <p>There should be 5headings only.,abstract,introduction,case report,management and discussion. Inroductionis about the problem and why to write this study.Under heading of case report,write a continuous paragraph of history and examination findings instead of gving other headings.Then under the heading of management,there should be a paragraph of counselling ,investigations and preoperative preparation and operative procedure.Finally under discussion,write can write how many such cases have been observed before or it is first such case.how findings are different from other cases where uterus is perforated.What can be probable reason of this event.(most likely,it may be due to insertion of Cu T through posterior Fx into the abdominal cavity near cecum as cecum is immobile and cannot move the CuT upward as is case with small intestine.It means,original insertion was in the same place by an inexperienced hand. References about the difference between perforation of uterus and vinsertion of CuT through Fornices can be given in reference section</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.it is simple, very interesting and clear.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is an interesting and informative case.It deserves publication after revision needs revision and proper structure of manuscript</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shazia Saaqib
Department, University & Country	Allama Iqbal Medical College, University of Health Sciences, Pakistan